

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.e-jmii.com



Original Article

Increased risk of *Pneumocystis jirovecii* colonization in rheumatoid arthritis patients on biologics and Janus kinase inhibitor



Ya-Chun Huang^a, Nan-Yao Lee^b, Meng-Yu Weng^{a,*}

^a Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Allergy, Immunology, and Rheumatology, National Cheng Kung University Hospital, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan ^b Department of Internal Medicine and Center for Infection Control, National Cheng Kung University Hospital and Medical College, Tainan, Taiwan

Received 1 August 2023; received in revised form 15 August 2024; accepted 26 August 2024 Available online 4 September 2024

KEYWORDS Biologics; Janus kinase inhibitor; Pneumocystis jirovecii colonization; Rheumatoid arthritis	Abstract <i>Background:</i> The prevalence of <i>Pneumocystis jirovecii</i> (PJ) pneumonia among rheumatic patients is rising. PJ colonization serves as a reservoir for transmission and precedes the development of PJ pneumonia. We aim to clarify the association of PJ colonization in patients of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) treated with biologics or Janus kinase inhibitors (JAKi). <i>Methods:</i> A prospective cohort study was performed from March 2021 to July 2022 in the rheumatology outpatient department of National Cheng Kung University Hospital. We obtained oral-wash samples from asymptomatic RA patients treated with biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (bDMARDs) and JAKi. A real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction assay focusing on the mitochondrial large subunit ribosomal ribonucleic acid gene of PJ was applied to detect colonization. <i>Results:</i> One hundred and ten RA patients were enrolled. Adjusted odds ratios (ORs) of PJ colonization were 6.40 (95% CI 1.34-30.57, p-value =0.02) in patients receiving bDMARDs or JAKi. Specifically, in patients treated with bDMARDs the adjusted OR was 8.08 (95% CI 1.57-41.51, p-value =0.012), and a trend toward developing PJ colonization was further identified in patients receiving JAKi (adjusted OR: 4.79, 95% CI 0.89-25.91, p=0.069). Among patients treated with bDMARDs or JAKi, medication duration >3 years and age >60 y/o are risk factors for PJ colonization. <i>Conclusion:</i> RA patients on bDMARDs or JAK inhibitors have an approximately 6-fold higher risk of developing P. jirovecii colonization. Risk factors of PJ colonization are medication duration >3 years and age > 60 y/o.

^{*} Corresponding author. No. 138 Shen-Li Rd. Tainan 704 Taiwan.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmii.2024.08.013

E-mail addresses: hermosa6111@gmail.com (Y.-C. Huang), nanyao@mail.ncku.edu.tw (N.-Y. Lee), maggieweng@ncku.edu.tw (M.-Y. Weng).

^{1684-1182/}Copyright © 2024, Taiwan Society of Microbiology. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Copyright © 2024, Taiwan Society of Microbiology. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is characterized by chronic erosive arthritis leading to irreversible deformity if untreated. Since the early twenty-first century, biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (bDMARD), including TNF- α inhibitor, IL-6 inhibitor, CTLA4 inhibitor, and anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody, as well as Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitor, have been administered to RA patients to achieve disease remission, but with accompanying increases in susceptibility to infection.¹

Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia (PJP) is a lethal threat in immunocompromised patients.² The incidence of PJP among HIV populations has decreased since the introduction of highly active antiretroviral therapy, while in the same time period the occurrence of PJP has risen significantly in patients with connective tissue disease (CTD),^{3,4} which may be attributed to the advancement of immunosuppressive agents. Compared to HIV-PJP, patients with CTD-PJP have more fulminant clinical courses and devastating outcomes, including severe respiratory insufficiency, intensive care unit hospitalization, and even death.⁵⁻⁸ Previous studies have described higher incidence of PJP in RA patients treated with TNF- α inhibitor⁹⁻¹¹ and rituximab.¹² Since there is no established consensus of PJP prophylaxis for RA patients on immunosuppressants, early identification of potential PJP cases among this population is crucial.

Individuals with P. jirovecii colonization serve as a reservoir for transmission and for subsequent development of PJP.^{13,14} A cohort study in the UK reported the odds of PJP compared to P. jirovecii colonization were 12 times greater for those ever exposed to immunosuppressive agents (OR 12.1; 95 % CI 1.94, ∞).¹⁵ Therefore, more attention should be paid to P. jirovecii colonized patients treated with immunosuppressive agents due to this elevated risk of developing subsequent PJP. Published studies reported the prevalence and risk factors of P. jirovecii colonization in rheumatic patients using various conventional synthetic DMARDs (csDMARDs) and infliximab.^{16–20} These study results were either limited by very small sample sizes 16-18 or by a heterogenous disease population.¹⁸⁻²⁰ No other study has explored the association between bDMARD or JAK inhibitor therapy and P. jirovecii colonization. We aim to clarify the risk of *P. iirovecii* colonization in RA patients treated with DMARDs in a more comprehensive range of different forms.

Methods

Eligible participants

This prospective cohort study was performed from March 2021 to July 2022 in the rheumatology outpatient

department of National Cheng Kung University Medical Center. RA patients \geq 20 years old undergoing treatment with DMARDs including csDMARD, bDMARD, or JAK inhibitor were included: to increase statistical power, we recruited at least 30 patients in each of these 3 treatment groups. National Cheng Kung University Medical Center prescribes csDMARDs including hydroxychloroquine, methotrexate, sulfasalazine, and leflunomide; bDMARDs including TNF- α inhibitor (etanercept, adalimumab, golimumab), IL-6 inhibitor (tocilizumab), CTLA-4 inhibitor (abatacept), and anti-CD20 Ab (rituximab); and JAK inhibitors including tofacitinib, baricitinib, and upadacitinib. Prescribing a specific DMARD treatment is based on an assessment of the RA patient's characteristics, disease activity, and comorbidities. Therefore, random assignment was not conducted in this study.

All patients signed informed consent prior to participation in our study. We excluded patients with acute respiratory symptoms, a diagnosis of active cancer or HIV. This study was approved by the National Cheng Kung University Hospital institutional review board (approval number: A-BR-109-057).

Data collection

Demographic characteristics were recorded, including age, sex, and immunocompromised status associated with P. jirovecii colonization. Also recorded were the patient's current medications, including steroids, csDMARDs, bDMARDs and JAK inhibitors. Total white blood count and lymphocyte count were collected for each patient at the time of their entry to the study. Patients on bDMARDs or JAK inhibitors were further divided into five groups according to mechanism of action, including: TNF inhibitor group (etanercept, adalimumab, golimumab), JAK inhibitor group (tofacitinib, baricitinib, upadacitinib), CTLA-4 inhibitor group (abatacept), IL-6 inhibitor group (tocilizumab), and anti-CD20 Ab group (rituximab). Patients under the treatment of hydroxychloroguine, methotrexate, sulfasalazine, or leflunomide were classified as the csDMARD group. For patients treated with tofacitinib, baricitinib, or upadacitinib, they were categorized as the JAK inhibitor group.

Sampling and definition of *P. jirovecii* colonization and pneumonia

Each participant received a clinical examination during their visit to our clinic. Demographic variables, underlying comorbidities, and current related medication were recorded using a standardized form. Oral-wash samples were obtained by having the participants gargle 20 mL of sterile saline for 1 min. Samples were centrifuged at $2900 \times g$ for 5 min and kept frozen at -20 °C until DNA

extraction. DNA was extracted from the oral-wash samples using the NucliSens kit (Organon Teknika), as reported elsewhere. $^{\rm 21,22}$

P. jirovecii colonization was defined when a person met the following conditions: 1) there were no respiratory symptoms 2) there was no evidence of pneumonia patch on chest X-ray 3) the oral wash specimen contained detectable *P. jirovecii* DNA identified using nested polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in 2 independent analyses, or a successful quantification by targeting mitochondrial large subunit ribosomal RNA (mtLSU-rRNA) using quantitative PCR.

P. jirovecii pneumonia was defined by 1) patient presenting with respiratory symptoms or 2) chest X-ray showing pneumonia patch 3) the oral wash specimen containing detectable *P. jirovecii* DNA using nested PCR in 2 independent analyses, and with successful quantification by targeting mtLSU-rRNA using quantitative PCR.

DNA extraction and polymerase chain reaction

We extracted genomic DNA from oral wash fluid (QIAamp DNA kit; QIAGEN, Hilden Germany), collecting a variable DNA yield ranging from 3 to 12 mg. A 2-step protocol was administered for the *P. jirovecii* internal transcribed spacer nested PCR, the detailed procedure being described elsewhere.²³ Several preventive measures were applied to avoid PCR contamination, including pre- and post-PCR reactions being performed in separate rooms with individual sets of micropipettes. The PCR mixture and extraction steps were performed in 2 different laminar flow cabinets. In addition, each sample was amplified twice, with a negative control using distilled water included in each PCR step.

Quantitative PCR assay

A real-time quantitative PCR assay focusing on the mtLSUrRNA gene of *P. jirovecii* was applied, as described by previous studies.^{24,25} We operated thermocycling and fluorescence detection on a PCR system (7500 PCR system, Applied Biosystems) by using the TaqMan Gene Expression Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). Plasmid suspensions were prepared as standards for quantification and positive controls by cloning the mtLSU-rRNA insert into the plasmid vector pGEM-T (pGEM-T Easy Vector System II; Promega). Each PCR step consisted of 10 serial 10-fold dilutions of the plasmid suspension, with a range of $7.0 \times 10-2$ to $7.0 \times 10-7$ copies/mL of extracted DNA, and 2 negative controls.

We used plasmid dilutions to create a calibration curve giving the correlation between cycle threshold values and the number of copies per microliter of extracted DNA. The detection limit was estimated at 7 copies/mL of extracted DNA, correlating to a cycle threshold value of 43. All oral wash samples, plasmid dilutions, and negative controls were performed in duplicate, with 1 tube containing an internal positive control (TaqMan Exogenous Internal Positive Control Reagents; Applied Biosystems) to detect PCR inhibitors.²⁴

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as medians with ranges and compared using the Kruskal–Wallis test. Categorical variables were expressed as a percentage and compared using Fisher's exact test. Demographic characteristics were compared between different treatment groups. Further, we performed a subgroup analysis of RA patients treated with bDMARD or JAK inhibitor to identify risk factors for colonization. A multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed using the variables with a P value < 0.05 from the univariate analysis of RA patients. P < 0.05 was defined as statistically significant. All analyses were conducted by SAS 9.4 statistical software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

One hundred and ten RA patients were included during March 2021 to July 2022, and median age was 56.11 years old. All patients are Taiwanese and the majority were female (83.6%). All participants satisfied 2010 American College of Rheumatology/The European League Against Rheumatism classification criteria of RA. To reach sufficient statistical power, we included over 30 patients in each group. There were 31 patients treated with csDMARDs, 48 patients treated with bDMARDs, and 31 patients treated with JAK inhibitors. P. jirovecii colonization rates in the csDMARD group and bDMARD/JAKi group were respectively 6.45% and 31.6%. Patients on bDMARD or JAKi were further divided into five groups according to different mechanism of action, including TNFi group (etanercept, adalimumab, golimumab), JAKi group (tofacitinib, baricitinib, upadacitinib), CTLA-4i group (abatacept), IL-6i group (tocilizumab), and anti-CD20 Ab group (rituximab). On comparing the variables of age, sex, related comorbidities, lymphopenia (lymphocyte <1000 per microliter), and steroid use in the six treatment groups, statistically significant differences (p = 0.001) are present for patients with any of the following 8 comorbidities, including non-tuberculosis mycobacterium (NTM), type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), tuberculosis (TB), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), interstitial lung disease (ILD), chronic kidney disease (CKD), liver cirrhosis, and malignancy history (Table 1).

P. colonization rates were respectively 6.45%, 29.17%, 28.57%, 60%, 60%, and 25.81% in the six groups csDMARD, TNFi, CTLA-4i, IL-6i, anti-CD20 Ab, and JAKi (Table 1 and Fig. 1). No patient developed PJP during the study period. In the multivariate model, after adjusting for age, sex, related comorbidity, and steroid use, patients treated with bDMARDs or JAK inhibitors have a 6-fold higher risk of *P. jirovecii* colonization compared to those treated with csDMARDs (adjusted OR: 6.40, 95% Cl 1.34–30.57). Further, we divided bDMARDs according to mechanism of action. Patients currently treated with TNF- α inhibitors, tocilizumab, and rituximab are at a significantly increased risk of *P. jirovecii* colonization, their adjusted odds ratios being 6.84 (95% Cl 1.14–41.03, p = 0.035), 12.47 (95% Cl 1.07–145.17,

Variables	csDMARD (N = 31)	TNFi (N = 24)	ABA (N = 14)	TCZ (N = 5)	RTX (N = 5)	JAKi (N = 31)	p- value ^a
	n (%)						
Age							
_< 60	21 (67.74)	11 (45.83)	7 (50.00)	1 (20.00)	2 (40.00)	19 (61.29)	0.275
> 60	10 (32.26)	13 (54.17)	7 (50.00)	4 (80.00)	3 (60.00)	12 (38.71)	
Median (IQR)	56.0 (39.0, 61.0)	61.5 (47.5, 68.0)	58.0 (41.0, 71.0)	64.0 (62.0, 67.0)	77.0 (55.0, 84.0)	58.0 (44.0, 65.0)	0.218
Male	6 (19.35)	4 (16.67)	3 (21.43)	0 (0.00)	1 (20.00)	4 (12.90)	0.918
Related Comorb	idity						
NTM	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	1 (20.00)	0 (0.00)	0.091
T2DM	1 (3.23)	3 (12.50)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	3 (60.00)	1 (3.23)	0.006
ТВ	0 (0.00)	4 (16.67)	3 (21.43)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	4 (12.90)	0.102
COPD	0 (0.00)	1 (4.17)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	1 (20.00)	1 (3.23)	0.254
ILD	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	1 (20.00)	0 (0.00)	0.091
CKD	0 (0.00)	5 (20.83)	2 (14.29)	1 (20.00)	2 (40.00)	0 (0.00)	0.001
Cirrhosis	0 (0.00)	1 (4.17)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	1 (3.23)	0.840
Malignancy	1 (3.23)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	1 (20.00)	0 (0.00)	0.190
Any	2 (6.45)	11 (45.83)	5 (35.71)	1 (20.00)	4 (80.00)	8 (25.81)	0.001
comorbidity	/						
Lymphopenia (ly	/mphocyte< 1000	per microliter)					
No	28 (96.55)	23 (100.00)	12 (85.71)	4 (80.00)	4 (80.00)	25 (83.33)	0.079
Yes	1 (3.45)	0 (0.00)	2 (14.29)	1 (20.00)	1 (20.00)	5 (16.67)	
Median (IQR)	2075.0 (1546.0,	1752.0 (1522.0,	1886.5 (1594.0,	1193.0 (1106.0,	1572.0 (1320.0,	1698.5 (1082.0,	0.102
	3690.0)	2867.0)	2383.0)	1340.0)	1626.0)	2303.0)	
Steroid use							
No	18 (58.06)	17 (70.83)	9 (64.29)	5 (100.00)	5 (100.00)	20 (64.52)	0.322
Yes	13 (41.94)	7 (29.17)	5 (35.71)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	11 (35.48)	
Treatment dura	tion (mo)						
≤ 36	N/A	9 (37.50)	8 (57.14)	4 (80.00)	2 (40.00)	27 (87.10)	0.001
>36	N/A	15 (62.50)	6 (42.86)	1 (20.00)	3 (60.00)	4 (12.90)	
PJ PCR positive	2 (6.45)	7 (29.17)	4 (28.57)	3 (60.00)	3 (60.00)	8 (25.81)	0.014

Tab	le	1 (Characteristics o	f patients	with RA	on	DMARDs with	different	mechanism of	f action.
-----	----	-----	-------------------	------------	---------	----	-------------	-----------	--------------	-----------

^a Fisher's exact test for categorical data and Kruskal–Wallis test for continuous data.

csDMARD: conventional synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug; TNFi: tumor-necrosis factor inhibitor; JAKi: Janus kinase inhibitor; TCZ: tocilizumab; ABA: abatacept; RTX: rituximab; NTM: non-tuberculosis mycobacterium; T2DM: type 2 diabetes mellitus; TB: tuberculosis; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ILD: interstitial lung disease; CKD: chronic kidney disease; mo: month. IQR: interquartile range; LYM: lymphocyte; PJ: Pneumocystis jirovecii; PCR: polymerase chain reaction.

p = 0.044), 41.79 (95% CI 3.12-558.98, p = 0.005), respectively. Those currently treated with CTLA-4 inhibitor demonstrate a trend toward developing P. jirovecii colonization compared to patients on csDMARDs, with adjusted odds ratios of 6.62 (95% CI 0.97-45.30, p = 0.054). Acknowledging the limited number of participants in certain treatment groups, we merged all bDMARDs together, revealing that compared to patients on csDMARDs, patients treated with bDMARDs had an 8-fold higher risk of P. iirovecii colonization (adjusted OR: 8.08. 95% CI 1.57-41.51, p = 0.012). A tendency toward P. jirovecii colonization was also identified in those receiving JAK inhibitors (adjusted OR: 4.79, 95% CI 0.89-25.91, p = 0.069) (Table 2). We calculated the post-power of CTLA-4i (ABA) and JAKi groups as 0.83, and 0.92 respectively.

In addition, we analyzed the risk factors of P. jirovecii colonization among RA patients on bDMARDs or JAK inhibitors (Table 3). Further multivariate regression model 95% CI 1.60–15.64, p = 0.006) were significantly associated with P. jirovecii colonization (Table 4). Discussion

analysis showed that among RA patients treated with

bDMARDs or JAK inhibitors, age >60 y/o (adjusted OR 3.53,

95% CI 1.06-11.82, p = 0.040) and a bDMARD or JAK in-

hibitor treatment duration of >3 years (adjusted OR 5.00,

Biologic DMARDs and JAK inhibitors have been used worldwide to treat RA patients with inadequate response to csDMARDs.¹ In comparison to RA patients treated with csDMARDs, we found that those treated with bDMARDs or JAK inhibitors are approximately 6 times more likely to develop P. jirovecii colonization. Of the bDMARDs we assessed, patients on TNF- α inhibitors, tocilizumab and rituximab were exposed to nearly 7-fold, 12-fold, and 41fold increases, respectively, in the risk of P. jirovecii



Fig. 1. *P. jirovecii* colonization rates for RA patients in different groups.

Risk of Pneumocystis jirovecii colonization in RA

Table 2

patients on csDMARDs, bDMARDs, or JAKI.						
	Crude OR (95	p-	Adjusted OR	p-		
	% CI)	value	(95 % CI)	value		
Treatment Grou	ıp					
csDMARD	Ref.		Ref.			
bDMARD/	6.71 (1.48	0.013	6.40 (1.34	0.020		
JAKi	-30.37)		-30.57)			
Treatment Grou	ıp					
csDMARD	Ref.		Ref.			
TNFi	5.97 (1.11	0.037	6.84 (1.14	0.035		
	-32.09)		-41.03)			
CTLA-4i	5.80 (0.92	0.062	6.62 (0.97	0.054		
(ABA)	-36.64)		-45.30)			
IL-6i (TCZ)	21.75 (2.20	0.009	12.47 (1.07	0.044		
	-215.26)		-145.17)			
Anti-CD20 Ab	21.75 (2.20	0.009	41.79 (3.12	0.005		
(RTX)	-215.26)		-558.98)			
JAKi	5.04 (0.98	0.054	4.93 (0.91	0.065		
	-26.09)		-26.84)			
Treatment Grou	ıp					
csDMARD	Ref.		Ref.			
bDMARD	7.95 (1.69	0.009	8.08 (1.57	0.012		
	-37.47)		-41.51)			
JAKi	5.04 (0.98	0.054	4.79 (0.89	0.069		
	-26.09)		-25.91)			

Adjusted variables: age, sex, steroid use, and any comorbidity. csDMARD: conventional synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug; bDMARD: biologic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug.

JAKi: Janus kinase inhibitor; TNFi: tumor-necrosis factor inhibitor; IL-6i: interleukin-6 inhibitor; TCZ: tocilizumab.

CTLA-4i: cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 inhibitor. ABA: abatacept; Anti-CD20 Ab: anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody; RTX: rituximab.

Table 3	Pneumocystis jirovecii colonization status of RA
patients o	n bDMARD or JAK inhibitor.

Variables	Positive	Negative	p-	
	(N = 25)	(N = 54)	value	
	n (%)	n (%)		
Age				
\leq 60	10 (40.00)	30 (55.56)	0.296	
> 60	15 (60.00)	24 (44.44)		
Median (IQR)	61.0 (52.0,	58.0 (43.0,	0.378	
	68.0)	69.0)		
Male	1 (4.00)	11 (20.37)	0.091	
Related Comorbidit	у			
NTM	0 (0.00)	1 (1.85)	1.000	
T2DM	4 (16.00)	3 (5.56)	0.199	
ТВ	1 (4.00)	10 (18.52)	0.159	
COPD	2 (8.00)	1 (1.85)	0.234	
ILD	1 (4.00)	0 (0.00)	0.317	
CKD	3 (12.00)	7 (12.96)	1.000	
Cirrhosis	0 (0.00)	2 (3.70)	1.000	
Malignancy	0 (0.00)	1 (1.85)	1.000	
Patients with any comorbidity	7 (28.00)	22 (40.74)	0.400	
Steroid				
No	19 (76.00)	37 (68.52)	0.679	
Yes	6 (24.00)	17 (31.48)		
Lymphopenia (lymp	hocyte <1000 pe	r microliter)		
No	21 (84.00)	47 (90.38)	0.461	
Yes	4 (16.00)	5 (9.62)		
Medication Duratio	n (mo)			
≤ 36 m	11 (44.00)	39 (72.22)	0.030	
> 36m	14 (56.00)	15 (27.78)		
Median (IQR)	1539.0 (1121.0,	1810.5 (1408.5,	0.224	
	1926.0)	2502.0)		

bDMARD: biologic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug; JAKi: Janus kinase.

NTM: non-tuberculosis mycobacterium; T2DM: type 2 diabetes mellitus; TB: tuberculosis; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ILD: interstitial lung disease; CKD: chronic kidney disease mo: month.

colonization, when compared to RA patients on csDMARDs. However, the odds ratio may be unstable due to the small number of events. Recognizing the limited number of participants in certain bDMARD groups, we merged all bDMARDs together for further analysis. The results still showed that in comparison with patients on csDMARDs, patients with RA treated with bDMARDs had significantly higher risk of *P. jirovecii* colonization.

Two previous studies have investigated *P. jirovecii* colonization among TNF- α inhibitor users.^{19,20} Fritzsche et al. reported higher prevalence of *P. jirovecii* colonization with TNF- α inhibitors than with only csDMARDs in rheumatic patients.¹⁹ Wissmann et al. discovered infliximab treatment duration was significantly associated with *P. jirovecii* colonization among patients with inflammatory arthropathies.²⁰ Post-marketing surveillance studies of infliximab, etanercept, and adalimumab therapies among RA patients in Japan reported PJP incidence rates of 0.4%, 0.2%, and 0.3%, separately.^{9–11} However, no study

tion in RA patients on bDMARD/JAKi.							
Group (bDMARD/	Crude OR	p-	Adjusted OR	p-			
JAK_{1} (N = 79)	(95 % CI)	value	(95 % CI)	value			
Age							
\leq 60	Ref.		Ref.				
>60	1.88 (0.72	0.201	3.53 (1.06	0.040			
	-4.91)		-11.82)				
Gender							
Female	Ref.		Ref.				
Male	0.16 (0.02	0.091	0.08 (0.01	0.031			
	-1.34)		-0.79)				
Steroid							
No	Ref.		Ref.				
Yes	0.69 (0.23	0.497	0.92 (0.25	0.895			
	-2.03)		-3.32)				
Patients with any co	Patients with any comorbidity						
No	Ref.		Ref.				
Yes	0.57 (0.20	0.278	0.30 (0.08	0.066			
	-1.58)		-1.08)				
Treatment duration (mo)							
≤ 36 m	Ref.		Ref.				
>36 m	3.31 (1.23	0.018	5.00 (1.60	0.006			
	-8.90)		-15.64)				

Risk factors of Pneumocystis jirovecii coloniza-

Table 4

bDMARD: biologic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug; JAKi: Janus kinase inhibitor; mo: month.

addressed the prevalence of *P. jirovecii* colonization with other classes of bDMARD and JAK inhibitor. Our study is the first to identify that in RA patients, not only TNF- α inhibitors but also IL-6 inhibitor and anti-CD20 Ab are associated with increased risk of *P. jirovecii* colonization.

Our study result suggests that TNF- α , IL-6, and B lymphocyte may play roles in immune responses toward P. jirovecii colonization, which echoes previous research.^{26–29} Patil et al., using an HIV-infected monkey model, revealed elevated levels of TNF- α in bronchoalveolar lavage had persisted for weeks to months before respiratory symptoms of PJP appeared.²⁶ Another study suggested that COPD patients colonized with pneumocystis had elevated serum interleukin-6 (IL-6) and TNF- α in peripheral blood.²⁷ Therefore, using TNF- α and IL-6 inhibitors may interfere with immune system activation against P. jirovecii, leading to a higher colonization rate. Evidence indicates that both anti-pneumocystis antibody production and activation of CD4+T cells are important protective components of the B cell against pneumocystis infection,^{28,29} which can be observed indirectly in clinical studies revealing PJP after B cell-depletion therapy.^{30–32} These findings indirectly support our result that certain cytokine inhibitors ameliorate the systemic immune response toward P. jirovecii, thus potentially increasing the risk of P. jirovii colonization.

We also found that among RA patients treated with bDMARDs or JAK inhibitors, medication duration >3 years, and age >60 y/o are risk factors of *P. jirovecii* colonization. Our study mirrored the published results.^{19,20} Fritzsche et al. found that age >60 years was significantly associated with *P. jirovecii* colonization in rheumatic patients on current steroid therapy.¹⁹ Wissmann et al. examined

oropharyngeal washes collected from 125 patients with RA and spondyloarthropathy, reporting that a duration of infliximab treatment >3 years markedly increased the risk of *P. jirovecii* colonization.²⁰

Steroid use does not show as increasing risk of P. jirovecii colonization in our study, which may be explained by evidently fewer steroid users and lower daily steroid usage of our participants when compared to other studies.^{18,33} With 58% of their study population exposed to steroids, Mekinian et al. reported a significant influence of steroid use on P. jirovecii colonization in rheumatic patients on higher daily steroid doses (25 mg per day).¹⁸ A further study found significant correlation between recent one-week corticosteroid use and P. jirovecii colonization among ICU patients, with daily steroid doses ranging from 8 to 336 mg per day (average accumulative dose of 86.22 mg during 7 days).³³ In our study, fewer than one-third of participants were exposed to steroids; the median daily steroid dose among steroid users was 6.22 mg. The impact of low-dose steroid therapy on P. *iirovecii* colonization is likely to be small. Besides, since daily steroid of >20 mg for more than 1 month is reported as a risk factor of PJP,³⁴ lower concurrent steroid dosage may also explain why no incident PJP case appeared in our study during the study period, which is in concordance with previous literature.¹

In contrast to previous studies focusing on PJP, our study did not reveal a link between lymphopenia and *P. jirovecii* colonization. However, our study result is consistent with previous study results.^{16–20} The different lymphocytic response toward *P. jirovecii* colonization and PJP may indicate different underlying immune mechanisms, requiring further study for elucidation.

Our study underlines the importance of early identification of *P. jirovecii* colonization among patients with RA treated with certain bDMARDs. In a previous study using the Taiwan National Health Insurance Research Database, Hsu et al. reported that patients with autoimmune rheumatic disease (ARD) had a significantly higher incidence of PJP than the comparisons (0.18% vs. 0.001%, p < 0.0001). The risk of PJP is increased in patients with RA (IR 1.46, IRR 6.19, 95% CI 4.31–8.89).³⁵ Despite the relatively low incidence of PJP in ARD, the clinical course of PJP in patients with RA is often fulminant and life-threatening.⁷ The current study highlights the critical importance of early identification of *P. jirovecii* colonization for at-risk patients receiving treatment for RA.

There are several strengths in our study. First, we used quantitative real-time PCR targeting mitochondrial multicopy genes in respiratory specimens to detect *P. jirovecii* colonization, a method which has shown great sensitivity^{21,36,37} and good accuracy in non-invasive respiratory specimens such as oral washes.^{38,39} Second, we restricted our study population to rheumatoid arthritis patients to improve comparability. Third, by adjusting for age, sex, steroid use, and related comorbidities, we limited the impact of confounding variables.

There are some limitations to the current study. In the COVID-19 pandemic, concern about spreading the virus through airborne droplets while obtaining our saliva specimens had a slight influence on our sample size. The significance of links between certain bDMARDs and *P. jirovecii* colonization should be interpreted with caution due to the

relatively small number of events. Another limitation is that since the csDMARD group contains patients with combination treatment regimens, including hvdroxvchloroquine, methotrexate, sulfasalazine, and leflunomide, it is difficult to evaluate the effect of individual csDMARDs on P. jirovecii colonization. Third, since the incidence of PJP in patients with RA is rare,⁴⁰ no PJP cases occurred during the study, which may be also attributable to a lower proportion of steroid users, as well as a lower concurrent daily steroid dosage among our participants, indicating that these patients may be less vulnerable to PJP infection. Fourth, randomization of participants into different DMARD treatment groups was not conducted in our study, which may lead to selection bias. Further investigation with a larger sample size and longer follow-up may be warranted to explore the long-term implications of P. jirovecii colonization in this population, as well as the correlation between P. jirovecii colonization and PJP.

Through advanced diagnostic tools and strict methodology, our study found that for RA patients treated with bDMARDs or JAK inhibitors there is an almost 6-fold increase in the risk of *P. jirovecii* colonization, compared to patients on csDMARDs. Notably, patients treated with bDMARDs had an 8-fold higher risk of *P. jirovecii* colonization. Risk factors of *P. jirovecii* colonization are age more than 60 y/o and medication duration more than 3 years. These findings enable improved awareness of *P. jirovecii* colonization impacting RA patients treated with bDMARDs or JAK inhibitors.

Funding/Support statement

This work was supported by grants from National Cheng Kung University Hospital (NCKUH-11002020). The sponsor of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Ya-Chun Huang: Writing — original draft, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation. Nan-Yao Lee: Methodology, Funding acquisition, Data curation, Conceptualization. Meng-Yu Weng: Writing — review & editing, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Data curation, Conceptualization.

Declaration of competing interest

All authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Acknowledgments for statistical analyses

We are grateful to distinguished professor Chung-Yi Li and associate professor Liang-Yi Wang of Department of Public Health from National Cheng Kung University, for providing the statistical consulting services from the Biostatistics Consulting Center, National Cheng Kung University Hospital.

References

- 1. Smolen JS, Landewe RBM, Bijlsma JWJ, Burmester GR, Dougados M, Kerschbaumer A, et al. EULAR recommendations for the management of rheumatoid arthritis with synthetic and biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: 2019 update. Ann Rheum Dis 2020;**79**(6):685–99.
- Thomas Jr CF, Limper AH. Pneumocystis pneumonia. N Engl J Med 2004;350(24):2487–98.
- 3. Maini R, Henderson KL, Sheridan EA, Lamagni T, Nichols G, Delpech V, et al. Increasing pneumocystis pneumonia, England, UK, 2000-2010. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2013;19(3):386–92.
- Pegorie M, Denning DW, Welfare W. Estimating the burden of invasive and serious fungal disease in the United Kingdom. J Infect 2017;74(1):60-71.
- Godeau B, Coutant-Perronne V, Le Thi Huong D, Guillevin L, Magadur G, De Bandt M, et al. Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia in the course of connective tissue disease: report of 34 cases. J Rheumatol 1994;21(2):246–51.
- 6. Yale SH, Limper AH. Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia in patients without acquired immunodeficiency syndrome: associated illness and prior corticosteroid therapy. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1996;71(1):5–13.
- 7. Tokuda H, Sakai F, Yamada H, Johkoh T, Imamura A, Dohi M, et al. Clinical and radiological features of Pneumocystis pneumonia in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, in comparison with methotrexate pneumonitis and Pneumocystis pneumonia in acquired immunodeficiency syndrome: a multicenter study. Intern Med 2008;47(10):915–23.
- 8. Bienvenu AL, Traore K, Plekhanova I, Bouchrik M, Bossard C, Picot S. Pneumocystis pneumonia suspected cases in 604 non-HIV and HIV patients. *Int J Infect Dis* 2016;46:11–7.
- **9.** Takeuchi T, Tatsuki Y, Nogami Y, Ishiguro N, Tanaka Y, Yamanaka H, et al. Postmarketing surveillance of the safety profile of infliximab in 5000 Japanese patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2008;**67**(2):189–94.
- Koike T, Harigai M, Inokuma S, Inoue K, Ishiguro N, Ryu J, et al. Postmarketing surveillance of the safety and effectiveness of etanercept in Japan. J Rheumatol 2009;36(5):898–906.
- 11. Koike T, Harigai M, Ishiguro N, Inokuma S, Takei S, Takeuchi T, et al. Safety and effectiveness of adalimumab in Japanese rheumatoid arthritis patients: postmarketing surveillance report of the first 3,000 patients. *Mod Rheumatol* 2012;22(4): 498–508.
- 12. Rutherford AI, Patarata E, Subesinghe S, Hyrich KL, Galloway JB. Opportunistic infections in rheumatoid arthritis patients exposed to biologic therapy: results from the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register for Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Rheumatology* 2018;57(6):997–1001.
- Tipirneni R, Daly KR, Jarlsberg LG, Koch JV, Swartzman A, Roth BM, et al. Healthcare worker occupation and immune response to Pneumocystis jirovecii. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2009; 15(10):1590-7.
- 14. Mori S, Cho I, Sugimoto M. A cluster of Pneumocystis jirovecii infection among outpatients with rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2010;37(7):1547–8.
- **15.** Patterson L, Coyle P, Curran T, Verlander NQ, Johnston J. Changing epidemiology of pneumocystis pneumonia, Northern Ireland, UK and implications for prevention, 1 July 2011-31 July 2012. J Med Microbiol 2017;**66**(11):1650–5.
- 16. Mori S, Cho I, Ichiyasu H, Sugimoto M. Asymptomatic carriage of Pneumocystis jiroveci in elderly patients with rheumatoid arthritis in Japan: a possible association between colonization and development of Pneumocystis jiroveci pneumonia during low-dose MTX therapy. *Mod Rheumatol* 2008;18(3):240–6.
- 17. Mori S, Cho I, Sugimoto M. A followup study of asymptomatic carriers of Pneumocystis jiroveci during immunosuppressive

therapy for rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2009;**36**(8): 1600–5.

- Mekinian A, Durand-Joly I, Hatron PY, Moranne O, Denis G, Dei-Cas E, et al. Pneumocystis jirovecii colonization in patients with systemic autoimmune diseases: prevalence, risk factors of colonization and outcome. *Rheumatology* 2011;50(3):569–77.
- **19.** Fritzsche C, Riebold D, Munk-Hartig A, Klammt S, Neeck G, Reisinger E. High prevalence of Pneumocystis jirovecii colonization among patients with autoimmune inflammatory diseases and corticosteroid therapy. *Scand J Rheumatol* 2012;41(3): 208–13.
- Wissmann G, Morilla R, Martin-Garrido I, Friaza V, Respaldiza N, Povedano J, et al. Pneumocystis jirovecii colonization in patients treated with infliximab. *Eur J Clin Invest* 2011;41(3): 343-8.
- Larsen HH, Masur H, Kovacs JA, Gill VJ, Silcott VA, Kogulan P, et al. Development and evaluation of a quantitative, touchdown, real-time PCR assay for diagnosing Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia. J Clin Microbiol 2002;40(2):490–4.
- 22. Medrano FJ, Montes-Cano M, Conde M, de la Horra C, Respaldiza N, Gasch A, et al. Pneumocystis jirovecii in general population. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2005;11(2):245–50.
- 23. Lu JJ, Bartlett MS, Smith JW, Lee CH. Typing of Pneumocystis carinii strains with type-specific oligonucleotide probes derived from nucleotide sequences of internal transcribed spacers of rRNA genes. J Clin Microbiol 1995;33(11):2973–7.
- 24. Choukri F, Menotti J, Sarfati C, Lucet JC, Nevez G, Garin YJ, et al. Quantification and spread of Pneumocystis jirovecii in the surrounding air of patients with Pneumocystis pneumonia. *Clin Infect Dis* 2010;51(3):259–65.
- Meliani L, Develoux M, Marteau-Miltgen M, Magne D, Barbu V, Poirot JL, et al. Real time quantitative PCR assay for Pneumocystis jirovecii detection. J Eukaryot Microbiol 2003; 50(Suppl):651.
- Patil SP, Board KF, Lebedeva IP, Norris KA. Immune responses to Pneumocystis colonization and infection in a simian model of AIDS. J Eukaryot Microbiol 2003;50(Suppl):661–2.
- 27. Calderon EJ, Rivero L, Respaldiza N, Morilla R, Montes-Cano MA, Friaza V, et al. Systemic inflammation in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease who are colonized with Pneumocystis jiroveci. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007;45(2):e17–9.
- Lund FE, Hollifield M, Schuer K, Lines JL, Randall TD, Garvy BA. B cells are required for generation of protective effector and memory CD4 cells in response to Pneumocystis lung infection. J Immunol 2006;176(10):6147–54.
- 29. Lund FE, Schuer K, Hollifield M, Randall TD, Garvy BA. Clearance of Pneumocystis carinii in mice is dependent on B cells

but not on P carinii-specific antibody. *J Immunol* 2003;**171**(3): 1423–30.

- **30.** Martin-Garrido I, Carmona EM, Specks U, Limper AH. Pneumocystis pneumonia in patients treated with rituximab. *Chest* 2013;144(1):258–65.
- **31.** Wei KC, Sy C, Wu SY, Chuang TJ, Huang WC, Lai PC. Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia in HIV-uninfected, rituximab treated non-Hodgkin lymphoma patients. *Sci Rep* 2018;**8**(1):8321.
- Zalmanovich A, Ben-Ami R, Rahav G, Alon D, Moses A, Olshtain-Pops K, et al. Rituximab identified as an independent risk factor for severe PJP: a case-control study. *PLoS One* 2020; 15(9):e0239042.
- **33.** Mohammadi-ghalehbin Bh S, Arzanlou M, Teimourpour R, Ghayum SA. Colonization of pneumocystis jirovecii in patients who received and not received corticosteroids admitted to the intensive care unit: airborne transmission approach. *Iran J Pathol* 2018;**13**(2):8.
- 34. Khellaf M, Godeau B. Pneumocystis pneumonia among patients with systemic diseases. *Presse Med* 2009;38(2):251–9.
- 35. Hsu HC, Chang YS, Hou TY, Chen LF, Hu LF, Lin TM, et al. Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia in autoimmune rheumatic diseases: a nationwide population-based study. *Clin Rheumatol* 2021;40(9):3755–63.
- 36. Alvarez-Martinez MJ, Miro JM, Valls ME, Moreno A, Rivas PV, Sole M, et al. Sensitivity and specificity of nested and real-time PCR for the detection of Pneumocystis jiroveci in clinical specimens. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2006;56(2):153–60.
- **37.** Botterel F, Cabaret O, Foulet F, Cordonnier C, Costa JM, Bretagne S. Clinical significance of quantifying Pneumocystis jirovecii DNA by using real-time PCR in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid from immunocompromised patients. *J Clin Microbiol* 2012;**50**(2):227–31.
- 38. Goterris L, Mancebo Fernández MA, Aguilar-Company J, Falcó V, Ruiz-Camps I, Martín-Gómez MT, et al. Molecular diagnosis of pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia by use of oral wash samples in immunocompromised patients: usefulness and importance of the DNA target. J Clin Microbiol 2019;57(12): e01287-19.
- **39.** Hviid CJ, Lund M, Sorensen A, Ellermann-Eriksen S, Jespersen B, Dam MY, et al. Detection of Pneumocystis jirovecii in oral wash from immunosuppressed patients as a diagnostic tool. *PLoS One* 2017;**12**(3):e0174012.
- Ward MM, Donald F. Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia in patients with connective tissue diseases: the role of hospital experience in diagnosis and mortality. *Arthritis Rheum* 1999; 42(4):780-9.