

**Advances in Nutrition** 

AN INTERNATIONAL REVIEW JOURNAL

journal homepage: https://advances.nutrition.org/



Review

# Effect of Dietary Intervention, with or without Cointerventions, on Inflammatory Markers in Patients with Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Renate L. Hall<sup>1</sup>, Elena S. George<sup>1,2</sup>, Audrey C. Tierney<sup>1,3</sup>, Anjana J. Reddy<sup>1,4,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Allied Health, Human Services and Sport, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Australia; <sup>2</sup> Institute for Physical Activity and Nutrition, School of Exercise and Nutrition Sciences, Deakin University, Geelong, Australia; <sup>3</sup> School of Allied Health, Health Implementation Science and Technology Research Cluster, Health Research Institute, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland; <sup>4</sup> Exercise and Nutrition Research Program, Mary MacKillop Institute for Health Research, Australian Catholic University, Fitzroy, Australia

### ABSTRACT

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) encompasses a spectrum of disease from simple steatosis to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, with inflammatory cytokines and adipokines identified as drivers of disease progression. Poor dietary patterns are known to promote an inflammatory milieu, although the effects of specific diets remain largely unknown. This review aimed to gather and summarize new and existing evidence on the effect of dietary intervention on inflammatory markers in patients with NAFLD. The electronic databases MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, and Cochrane were searched for clinical trials which investigated outcomes of inflammatory cytokines and adipokines. Eligible studies included adults >18 y with NAFLD, which compared a dietary intervention with an alternative diet or control (no intervention) group or were accompanied by supplementation or other lifestyle interventions. Outcomes for inflammatory markers were grouped and pooled for meta-analysis where heterogeneity was allowed. Methodological quality and risk of bias were assessed using the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics Criteria. Overall, 44 studies with a total of 2579 participants were included. Meta-analyses indicated intervention with an isocaloric diet plus supplement was more effective in reducing C-reactive protein (CRP) [standard mean difference (SMD): 0.44; 95% CI: 0.20, 0.68; P = 0.0003] and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) (SMD: 0.74; 95% CI: 0.02, 1.46; P = 0.03) than an isocaloric diet alone. No significant weighting was shown between a hypocaloric diet with or without supplementation for CRP (SMD: 0.30; 95% CI: -0.84, 1.44; P = 0.60) and TNF- $\alpha$  (SMD: 0.01; 95% CI: -0.43, 0.45; P = 0.97). In conclusion, hypocaloric and energy-restricted diets alone or with supplementation, and isocaloric diets with supplementation were shown to be most effective in improving the inflammatory profile of patients with NAFLD. To better determine the effectiveness of dietary intervention alone on a NAFLD population, further investigations of longer durations, with larger sample sizes are required.

Keywords: adipokines, cytokines, dietary patterns, diet, inflammation, inflammatory markers, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, nutrition

# **Statement of Significance**

This comprehensive synthesis of high-level evidence will help to inform the dietary management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease by targeting key pathophysiological mechanism—inflammation—via nutrition. This review establishes an extensive evidence base and identifies evidence gaps that may inform practitioners and scientists, whereby guiding future treatments and research.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advnut.2023.01.001

Received 4 August 2022; Received in revised form 21 December 2022; Accepted 6 January 2023; Available online 1 February 2023

2161-8313/© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of American Society for Nutrition. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

*Abbreviations*: AHA, American Heart Association; α-LA, alpha-lipoic acid; ALT, alanine transaminase; Ax, assessment; Bx, biopsy; FLIO, Fatty Liver in Obesity; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; IL-1β, interleukin 1β; IL-6, interleukin 6; IL-10, interleukin 10; NF-κB, nuclear factor kappa B; NCEP-ATP III, National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III; NHLBI-EB, National Heart Lung and Blood Institute-Energy Balanced; NHMRC, National Health and Medical Research Council; NIHFN, National Institute of Health & Food & Nutrition; RCT, randomized controlled trial; TNF-a, tumor necrosis factor-alpha; US, ultrasound. \* Corresponding author. *E-mail address*: anjana.reddy@acu.edu.au (A.J. Reddy).

# Introduction

The global prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is estimated to be  $\sim$ 25%, with rates as high as 42% in Asian countries [1]. The prevalence and incidence of NAFLD have rapidly risen alongside the obesity epidemic, adding to the global burden of the disease [2]. A meta-analysis of studies from >22 countries involving 8.5 million subjects indicated that individuals with NAFLD are also commonly overweight or obese (80%), have high LDL cholesterol and/or triglycerides (72%) and are also diagnosed with type II diabetes mellitus (44%) [1]. NAFLD encompasses a spectrum of disease ranging from simple steatosis "accumulation of fat in the liver," affecting >5% of hepatocytes in the absence of excessive alcohol consumption [3]. The more progressed form involving inflammation is referred to as nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), and if left untreated can progress to liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma [4-6]. Approximately 10-20% of patients with NAFLD will develop NASH, increasing the risk of liver related and other mortality, however, can be reversed through diet and lifestyle modification [7].

Multiple factors, including toxic accumulation of fatty acids in adipose tissue; impaired microbial functioning of the gut; and an imbalance of inflammatory mediators, contribute to a progressed inflammatory state in the liver [7]. More recent studies have now recognized that adipose tissue may produce multiple inflammatory cytokines and adipokines that have been implicated in the development of NAFLD [8]. Polyzos et al. [9] describe the underlying dynamic between adipokines and cytokines related to the progression of NAFLD as an antagonistic one, where pro-inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-a) and interleukin 6 (IL-6) work in opposition to adipokines such as adiponectin, known to suppress the release of those pro-inflammatory cytokines and to stimulate the release of anti-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-10 (IL-10) [10,11]. In the liver, the pro-inflammatory adipokine leptin has been shown to interfere with regulation of glucose metabolism, fatty acid, and lipid production and is associated with increased severity of NAFLD [9,11]. Elevated levels of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) have been significantly correlated with liver steatosis and severity of NAFLD, as well as risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) [12]. It has been suggested that hs-CRP may be used as a surrogate marker for disease severity in NAFLD [13]. Unhealthy dietary patterns, in particular high fructose and fat intake, have been evidenced to support an inflammatory milieu by increasing the production and release of proinflammatory cytokines [11,14].

As there is currently no safe, proven pharmacological treatment for NAFLD, diet and lifestyle intervention, aimed to induce 7%–10% total body weight loss is the recommended therapeutic strategy, in which hypocaloric diet is indicated [15,16]. Although weight-loss diets have been shown to improve metabolic and liver outcomes by a reduction in adipose tissue, weight loss can be difficult to achieve and maintain in an overweight/obese population and indeed with metabolic risk factors [14,15,17]. Instead, diets that are energy-balanced or "isocaloric" in nature and focus on specific dietary components, which produce anti-inflammatory health benefits may be a more feasible option [14]. The Mediterranean diet has been recommended as the superior diet for the improvement of cardio-metabolic and liver-associated risks of NAFLD even in the absence of weight loss and may also induce weight loss. Benefits associated with Mediterranean diet are attributed via the anti-inflammatory components of the diet [14–16]. George et al. [18] concurred that a Mediterranean diet, rich in antioxidants; mono-unsaturated fats, and fiber; and low in saturated fat, may provide an anti-inflammatory and protective benefit against risk factors related to NAFLD.

Alternative therapies and nutraceuticals "food-derived alternatives to pharmaceuticals, which may provide a health benefit" have increasingly been used alongside diet to assist with the improvement of NAFLD and its associated risk factors [19]. Cicero et al. [19] refer to vitamin E, vitamin D, omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (n-3 PUFA), resveratrol, and probiotics, as being among the few supplements to be investigated in clinical studies. Although some supplements have been shown to improve liver or metabolic outcomes alongside diet, heterogeneity of supplements, doses, length of studies, change independent of weight loss, and measurement tools used to assess outcomes, prohibits the ability to conclusively state that are the most superior to use in conjunction with diet in therapeutic treatment of NAFLD [20]. As with pharmacological interventions, there is no current consensus regarding the use of supplementation for the management of NAFLD [15,16].

In 2019, Reddy et al. [20] conducted a systematic literature review to investigate the effect of dietary interventions (with or without cointerventions) on changes in cytokines and adipokines in adults with NAFLD. The review included 19 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) (n = 874 participants) and reported markers most prevalent in the literature; hs-CRP, TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6, adiponectin, and leptin. Authors concluded that dietary intervention alone (either hypo- or isocaloric), or diet in combination with a nutraceutical or pharmacological supplement in the form of a prebiotic, probiotic, ginger, flaxseed, green coffee bean extract, or ezetimibe, provided a significant improvement to the inflammatory profile of patients with NAFLD, although these improvements appeared to be mostly driven by weight loss but remained undetermined [20]. Authors concluded that further investigation into the use of inflammatory markers as a potential surrogate marker of liver disease was warranted but, required more specific and sensitive investigations in response to diet. Since the publication of this review, a considerable number of clinical trials intervening with diet or supplement(s) have been published investigating inflammatory outcomes in NAFLD. The aim of the present study was therefore, to conduct a meta-analysis, where feasible, to assess the cumulative effect of evidence regarding the effect of dietary intervention, with or without cointerventions, on the inflammatory profile of adults diagnosed with NAFLD.

# Methods

This systematic literature review followed the relevant criteria of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement [21]. The review was registered as an update to an existing review in PROSPERO, the international prospective register of systematic reviews (https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/; registration no.: CRD42017055921).

#### Search strategy

The electronic databases MEDLINE Ovid (1946–present), EMBASE Ovid (1947–present), CINAHL (EBSCO), and the Cochrane Library (Wiley Online Library) were searched for all relevant articles. The last search was run on 12 January, 2022. Year of publication limits was not applied, to capture any potential studies that may have been missed in the original review [20]. Searches were limited to English language and adult human subjects. The search strategy consisted of variations of the terms "nonalcoholic fatty liver disease," "NAFLD," "nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH)," "cirrhosis," "diet," and "nutrition" as both medical subject headings and subject headings specific to each database and keywords or free-text words that included a wide range of derivations to ensure an extensive search was performed. Supplemental Data 1 provides search strategies for all databases. Outcomes were not specified in the search strategy, to ensure all relevant literature relating to cytokines and adipokines was retrieved. Hand-searching of reference lists of included papers was completed to retrieve any additional relevant literature, as were conference abstracts and reports, however, there were no potentially eligible studies retrieved from these sources.

### **Eligibility criteria**

The eligibility for inclusion/exclusion was determined using the Patient, Intervention, Comparators, Outcome, and Study Design (PICOS) method [22] and is presented in Table 1. In summary, inclusion criteria were studies of adults aged > 18 y, diagnosed with NAFLD, where diagnosis of NAFLD was by a specified method; studies that compared a dietary intervention with an alternative diet or control, or were accompanied by supplementation or other lifestyle interventions; studies that reported outcomes of inflammatory cytokines and/or adipokines; study designs that were a RCT; publications that were published in peer-reviewed scientific journals. Exclusion criteria included: Any animal, pediatric, or pregnancy studies; studies that intervened only with supplements or pharmacological drugs; studies without a comparator group; studies that did not present numerical values in the results for cytokines and/or adipokines; studies that were not conducted as RCTs; or were deemed to have not met the inclusion criteria.

Databases were searched by 1 reviewer (R.L.H.) and references were imported into a bibliographic database (EndNote 20) to automatically exclude duplicates. All references were then exported to Covidence.org (review management program software), where additional duplicates were removed, and references were screened by title and abstract by 1 researcher (R.L.H.). Full-text publications of potentially eligible references were then obtained and screened independently by 2 researchers (R.L.H. and A.R.). Disputes relating to eligibility or inclusion were resolved by discussion and consensus.

### Data extraction and quality assessment

Once eligible studies were identified, 2 researchers (R.L.H. and A.J.R.) independently assessed each article for methodological quality and risk of bias using the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics Quality Criteria Checklist – Primary Research [23] that was uploaded to Covidence program. The validity assessment criteria checklist contained 10 questions. A study received a negative ranking (-) if >6 validity questions were answered as "no"; a study received an unclear ranking (ø) if validity questions 2, 3, 6, and 7 did not indicate that the study was exceptionally strong; and a study received a positive ranking (+) if most validity questions were answered "yes" (including questions 2, 3, 6, 7, and at least 1 additional "Yes"). Extraction of relevant data from studies deemed eligible was completed independently by 1 researcher (R.L.H.). Extracted information included: location (country); diagnosis method of NAFLD; number of participants; age and sex; body mass index; intervention length; type of dietary intervention; dietary intervention protocol; the addition of supplementation or cointervention, and description of same; type of inflammatory marker; pre- and postintervention results of each inflammatory marker; type of study design; level of evidence of each study, as determined using the NHMRC Evidence Hierarchy [24]; methodological quality of each study using the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics Quality Criteria Checklist—Primary Research [23]. Authors were contacted to provide

### TABLE 1

PICOS criteria applied	for	inclusion/	exclusi/	on of	studies
------------------------	-----	------------	----------	-------	---------

PICOS	Inclusion/exclusion criteria
Population	Inclusion: Adults aged $\geq$ 18 y, diagnosed with NAFLD, where diagnosis of NAFLD by one of the following; histological examination of biopsies; magnetic resonance imaging and/or magnetic resonance spectroscopy; computed tomography; ultrasound; transient elastography (FibroScan); and blood concentrations of liver enzymes alanine aminotransferase and/or aspartate aminotransferase. Exclusion: Any animal, pediatric, or pregnancy studies
Intervention	Inclusion: Studies comparing a dietary intervention with an alternate diet or control group (no intervention); studies in which supplementation was provided alongside a dietary intervention, so long as there was an independent dietary intervention group; interventions that included a dietary intervention alongside a cointervention including physical activity, behavior training, or other lifestyle interventions (only eligible if the control or other diet arm was stand-alone; studies that suggested physical activity recommendations alongside both dietary intervention and control groups were included if these recommendations were consistent among groups and not a primary outcome; studies that reported outcomes of inflammatory cytokine and/or adipokine markers. Exclusion: Studies that intervened only with supplementation or pharmacological drugs or investigated only postprandial effects of a dietary or meal intervention
Comparators	Inclusion: Control or stand-alone diet group Exclusion: Studies without control or stand-alone diet group
Outcomes	Inclusion: Studies that reported outcomes of inflammatory cytokines and/or adipokines. Exclusion: Studies that did not present results as numerical values for inflammatory cytokines and/or adipokines
Study design	Inclusion: Study designs that were a randomized controlled trial; publications that were published in peer-reviewed scientific journals, written in English language, or had English versions of foreign language studies available; were published since the previous review (2018 onward), or identified as eligible but overlooked for the previous review. Exclusion: Study designs that are reviews, cohort studies, cross-sectional studies, case-control studies, conference abstracts, editorials, letters, and reviews; non-English language only papers; studies that were included in the previous review or were published prior to 2018 and deemed
	to have not met the inclusion criteria.

NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Reprinted from reference 20.

additional data when articles contained insufficient information. This occurred for 12 articles [25–36]. No responses were received from the authors that were contacted; therefore the aforementioned articles were excluded from the review. Disputes relating to quality assessment or data extractions were resolved through discussion and consensus. Whereby the first 2 reviewers could not resolve conflict, a third independent reviewer (E.G.) was consulted.

#### Data analysis

Data were synthesized by grouping outcomes of inflammatory markers by dietary intervention and the difference in endintervention means between groups, change between groups, and level of significance was extracted from each study and percentage change was then calculated. Reporting of this data was dependent on the analysis reported for individual studies. Metaanalysis was considered appropriate only when studies were homogenous both from a clinical and methodological standpoint [37]. Heterogeneity of data allowed outcomes hs-CRP and TNF- $\alpha$ to be grouped by dietary intervention for data analysis, data were pooled using Review Manager (RevMan, Version 5.4. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2020). To calculate the overall treatment effect, the differences between the control and intervention groups' outcomes at end-intervention were analyzed using a random-effects model. Continuous outcome data were calculated using the inverse variance test as mean differences (MDs) for studies that used the same measurement. Where numerical values for inflammatory markers were reported in different units, they were converted, where possible, into the same unit (e.g., pg/mL to ng/mL and an MD was calculated. Where heterogeneity of data did not allow for data to be included for meta-analysis, narrative synthesis was presented instead.

Results of meta-analyses were inspected for heterogeneity, tested using the  $\gamma^2$  test, and assessed by the  $I^2$  statistic. A P value of <0.05 provides evidence of statistical heterogeneity for the  $\chi^2$  test, as recommended by the Cochrane Collaboration [38]. The  $I^2$  statistic (0%–100%), the percentage of total variation across studies due to heterogeneity, were defined as low ( $I^2 = 0\%$ –33%), moderate ( $I^2 = 34\%$ -66%), and high ( $I^2 = 67\%$ -100%). When heterogeneity was high, the data were examined to determine, which studies were responsible for the heterogeneity. The data were then re-analyzed without the studies contributing heterogeneity by setting the weighting for those studies to zero. Sensitivity analysis was performed to detect whether a single study with high overall risk of bias or which scored negatively for random sequence generation, selection, and allocation bias significantly affected the pooled result by removing 1 study in each turn. The possibility of publication bias was estimated by visual inspection of the funnel plot and the "fill and trim" method was used to further evaluate the possible effect of publication bias [39].

### Results

A total of 5729 records were retrieved from database searches, and after removal of duplicates, 4462 remained. Titles and abstracts were screened, and 81 articles were deemed to be potentially eligible. Overall, 81 full-text articles were screened independently in duplicate, of which 56 fulfilled the inclusion criteria. Overall, 12 articles were excluded during data extraction because of a lack of dietary information provided and no

response from authors when contacted [25–36]. Overall, 44 articles were therefore included in the present review, 19 of which comprised the first publication/iteration of this review and 25 new articles retrieved from the present search, which met the eligibility criteria. The reference lists of all included papers were hand searched for any additional relevant literature, however, there were no additional studies retrieved. The study selection process is summarized in Figure 1.

The study design of all 44 included articles were RCTs: 8 were nonblinded [40–47]; 5 were single blinded [48–52]; 3 were double blinded [53–55]; 22 were double blinded, placebo controlled [56–77]; 5 were open-label parallel-arm [78–82]; and 1 was a prospective, single blinded, random order controlled dietary feeding study [83].

#### Study characteristics and participants

Overall 44 studies included in this updated systematic literature review were published between 2003 and 2021. A total of 2579 participants with NAFLD were enrolled in the studies, of whom 2497 participants were analyzed. Of the participants included for analysis, 1333 (53%) were male and 1164 (47%) were female. The age of participants ranged from 18 to 80 y, and BMI ranged from 23 to 40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Intervention length ranged from 2 wk to 2 y. Overall, 27 studies took place in Iran [40,41,45, 48,52,55,56,58,60-68,70-73,75-79,82], 7 in Italy [47,49,53, 54,57,69,74], 2 in Spain [42,43], 2 in the United States [50,83], and 1 each, respectively, in Australia [51], Germany [80], Greece [81], India [59], Serbia [44], and the United Kingdom [46]. Two of the included studies reported results drawn from the same cohort, where Marin-Alejandre et al. [42], reported a 6-mo intervention and the same group [43] a 2-y intervention, that analyzed different biomarkers in each of the studies. Another study conducted by Behrouz et al. [56] reported results from the same cohort as included in the original review [67]; however, Behrouz et al. [67] also analyzed different biomarkers in the 2 published studies.

Assessment tools for characterizing NAFLD varied across the 44 studies. Four studies used the gold-standard liver biopsy (Bx) [46,49,50,69], 1 study used a combination of Bx and ultrasound (US) [59], 18 used US alone [40–44,48,52,53,61,63–66,75–78, 81], 11 used a combination of US and liver enzymes [45,47, 54–57,60,62,67,68,72], 3 used magnetic resonance spectros-copy (MR-S) [51,80,83], and 7 used Fibroscan alone [58,70,71, 73,74,79,82]. The characteristics of all included studies are presented in Table 2.

#### **Intervention characteristics**

The dietary interventions in each study varied with regard to caloric content and macronutrient distribution. Comparison diets and cointerventions were also widely diverse. Of the 44 studies, 17 studies intervened with a hypocaloric diet and compared that diet with: a hypocaloric Mediterranean diet [42–44]; a hypocaloric soy-containing diet [40,41]; the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) diet [55]; a hypocaloric diet plus supplementation in the form of a probiotic [59], a probiotic and prebiotic combination [49], the addition of olive oil [64], flaxseed [65], L-carnitine [69], soy isoflavone [66], hydroxy-citric acid [52], *Camelina sativa* oil (CSO), and prebiotic [75]; a hypocaloric diet plus cointervention of hesperidin, hesperidin and flaxseed and flaxseed alone [79]; a cholesterol



FIGURE 1. PRISMA flow chart for study selection.

absorption inhibitor (ezetimibe) [51]; and an oral hypoglycemic agent (metformin) [53]. Four studies reported interventions with an energy-restricted (ER) diet that was compared with the same-diet plus: a low-carbohydrate diet and a low-carbohydrate/soy-containing diet; a very-low-energy diet (VLED) meal-replacement plan; a conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) supplement; and a  $\beta$ -Cryptoxanthin (BCX) supplement, an ER-high protein diet and an ER-high protein diet plus BCX supplement, respectively [45,46,48,61].

Overall, 23 studies intervened with an energy-balanced or isocaloric diet and compared that diet with: the same energybalanced diet in a low-fat and high-fat form [83]; the same diet with yogurt and then yogurt with a symbiotic [78]; the same diet with a probiotic and then a prebiotic [56,67]; a plant-protein based diet to an animal protein-based diet [80]; the same diet plus supplementation in the form of *Nigella sativa* seed [58], trans-resveratrol [60], ginger [62,71], alpha-lipoic acid ( $\alpha$ -LA) [63], symbiotic [68,70,73], coffee bean extract [72], Corinthian currants [81], vitamin E [50], chromium picolinate [76], n-3 PUFA [47], saffron [77], flaxseed [82], bergamot polyphenolic fraction (BPF), and *Cynara Cardunculus* extract (CyC) [74], a nutraceutical mix (containing fish oil, phosphatidylcholine, silymarin, choline bitartrate, curcumin, D- $\alpha$ -tocopherol) [57], and olive oil enriched with n-3 PUFA [54].

Of the 44 included studies, all but 8 [46,50,51,53,61,74,75, 80] made recommendations for physical activity. Physical activity recommendations ranged from  $\geq$ 30 min of exercise, 3 times per week for the 14 studies adhering to the National Heart Lung and Blood Institute-Energy Balanced (NHLBI-EB) diet [56, 58,60,62,63,67,68,70–73,78,79,82] to  $\geq$ 30 min of exercise  $\geq$ 5 d per week, for 9 studies that intervened with a hypocaloric diet, where weight loss was intended [40–44,59,64,65,79]. The dietary and physical activity intervention information extracted from each study is presented in Supplemental Table 1.

### Inflammatory markers

Results have been reported per inflammatory marker (cytokines followed by adipokines) and then further assessed based on diet alone plus any cointerventions.

#### Cytokines

The most commonly reported cytokines in the included studies were hs-CRP, reported by 29 studies [40, 42,44–46,48, 49,51,52,55–58,60–62,68–73,75–77,79,81–83]; TNF- $\alpha$ ,

# TABLE 2

480

Characteristics of studies included for the updated systematic review investigating the effects of randomized controlled dietary intervention(s) and/or cointervention on inflammatory markers in adults with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease

Study	Country	Diagnostic Method	Sample, <i>n</i> (M/F)	Study type, NHMRC LOE, Quality Ax	Diet of Interest	2 <sup>nd</sup> Diet of Interest	3 <sup>rd</sup> Diet of Interest	4 <sup>th</sup> Diet of Interest	Int. Duration	Inflammatory Biomarker
Abedi et al. (2018) [48]	Iran	US	Total (n = 38) 5/33	RCT/Level II. Neutral	Energy-restricted diet plus Vitamin E supplement	Energy-restricted diet plus conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) and Vitamin E supplement			8 wk	IL-6, IL-10, TNF-α, hs-CRP
Abhari et al. (2020) [73]	IranTABLE	Fibroscan (CAP >270 dB/m)	Total ( <i>n</i> = 46) 25/20	RCT/II. Positive	Energy balanced (NHLBI-EB) plus placebo	Energy balanced (NHLBI) plus synbiotic (B. coggulans)			12 wk	hs-CRP, TNF-α, NF-κB
Amanat et al. (2017) [66]	Iran	US	Enrolled ( <i>n</i> = 82), analyzed ( <i>n</i> = 78), 61/21	RCT/II. Positive	Weight- management diet plus placebo	Weight- management diet plus soy isoflavone supplement			8 wk	TNF-α, IL-6
Baldry et al. (2017) [46]	United Kingdom	Liver bx.	Total ( <i>n</i> = 54), 44/10	RCT/II. Positive	Very-low energy diet, in the form of standard prebariatric surgery food- based diet	Very-low energy diet (VLED) in the form of meal- replacement plan			2 wk	hs-CRP, IL-6, fetuin-A
Bakhshimoghaddam et al. (2018) [78]	Iran	US	Total (n = 102) 50/ 52	RCT/Level II. Positive	Weight- management diet (NHLBI-EB)	Weight- management diet (NHLBI-EB) plus conventional yogurt	Weight- management diet plus conventional yogurt containing synbiotic		24 wk	CTRP-5
Behrouz et al. (2017) [67]	Iran	US and ALT (>1.5 x upper limit of normal)	Total (n = 89) 63/26	RCT/Level II. Positive	Energy-balanced diet (NHLBI-EB) plus prebiotic and probiotic placebo	Energy-balanced diet (NHLBI-EB) plus probiotic supplement and prebiotic placebo	Energy- balanced diet plus prebiotic supplement and probiotic placebo		12 wk	adiponectin, leptin
Behrouz et al. (2020) [56]	Iran	US (steatosis > grade II) and ALT (>1.5×upper limit of normal)	Enrolled ( $n$ = 111). Analyzed ( $n = 89$ ) 63/26	RCT/Level II. Positive	Energy-balanced diet (NHLBI-EB) plus placebo	Energy-balanced diet (NHLBI-EB) plus probiotic and prebiotic placebo	Energy- balanced diet plus prebiotic and probiotic placebo		12 wk	hs-CRP
Chan et al. (2010) [51]	Australia	MR-S (IHTG%)	Total obese and T2DM ( <i>n</i> = 25), 15/10	RCT/Level II. Positive	16-wk hypocaloric, low- fat diet, followed by 6-wk isocaloric diet plus placebo supplement consumed for 22- wk	16-wk hypocaloric, low-fat diet, followed by 6-wk isocaloric diet plus 10mg/d ezetimibe consumed for 22-wk	• • • •		22 wk	Adiponectin, hs-CRP, TNF-α, IL-6, RBP-4, fetuin-A

Cerletti et al. (2020) [57]	Italy	US, AST & ALT	Enrolled ( $n = 126$ ). Analyzed ( $n = 113$ ) 74/39	RCT/Level II. Positive	Mediterranean diet plus placebo	Mediterranean diet plus nutraceutical			12 wk	hs-CRP
Darand et al. (2019) [58]	Iran	Fibroscan with CAP (score > 263 (dB/m)	Enrolled ( $n = 50$ ). Analyzed ( $n = 43$ ) 22/21	RCT/Level II. Positive	Energy balanced diet (NHLBI-EB) plus placebo.	Energy balanced diet (NHLBI-EB) plus <i>Nigella sativa</i> seed powder supplement			12 wk	hs-CRP, TNFα, NF-κB
Duseja et al. (2019) [59]	India	US and Liver Bx	Enrolled ( $n$ = 39) 28/ 11. Analyzed ( $n$ = 30)	RCT/Level II. Neutral	Hypocaloric diet	Hypocaloric diet plus multistrain probiotic preparation			12 mo	Leptin, adiponectin, TNF-a, IL-1β, IL-6
Eslami et al. (2019) [40]	Iran	US	Enrolled ( $n = 70$ ). Analyzed ( $n = 64$ ) 19/55	RCT/Level II. Neutral	Hypocaloric diet	Hypocaloric diet plus soy milk			8 wk	hs-CRP
Eslamparast et al. (2014) [68]	Iran	UL and ALT >30 IU/L	Total ( $n = 52$ ) 25/27	RCT/Level II. Positive	Energy-balanced diet (NHLBI-EB) plus placebo	Energy-balanced diet (NHLBI-EB) plus synbiotic supplement			28 wk	hs-CRP, TNF-α, NF-кВ
Faghihzadeh et al. (2014) [60]	Iran	US and ALT >30 IU/L (M); >19 IU/L (F)	Total ( <i>n</i> = 50) 35/15	RCT/Level II. Positive	Energy-balanced diet (NHLBI-EB) plus placebo	Energy balanced diet(NHLBI-EB) plus trans-resveratrol supplement			12 wk	hs-CRP, IL-6, TNF-α, NF-кВ, CK-18
Ferro et al. (2020) [74]	Italy	Fibroscan	Total ( <i>n</i> = 86) 52/34	RCT/Level II. Positive	MedDiet plus placebo (maltodextrin)	MedDiet plus bergamot polyphenolic fraction (BPF) and Cynara Cardunculus extract (CvC).			12 wk	IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α
Garinis et al. (2010) [53]	Italy	US	Total ( <i>n</i> = 45) 7/38	RCT/Level II. Positive	Hypocaloric diet	Hypocaloric diet plus Metformin 1000mg/d			6 mo	Adiponectin
Haidari et al. (2020) [61]	Iran	US	Total ( <i>n</i> = 92) 44/48	RCT/Level II. Positive	Energy-restricted normal-protein diet plus placebo	Energy-restricted normal-protein diet plus β-Cryptoxanthin (BCX) supplement	Energy- restricted High Protein Diet plus placebo	Energy-restricted High Protein Diet plus β-Cryptoxanthin (BCX) supplement	12 wk	hs-CRP, IL-6, CK18-M65, adiponectin
Kaliora et al. (2016) [81]	Greece	US	Total ( <i>n</i> = 55) 23/32	RCT/Level II. Positive	Isocaloric diet	Isocaloric diet plus Corinthian currants		(bon) supprement	24 wk	hs-CRP, TNF-α, IL-6, leptin, visfatin
Kani et al. (2014) [45]	Iran	US, AST & ALT (M>30 IU/L, F>20 IU/L)	Total ( <i>n</i> = 45) 21/24	RCT/Level II. Positive	Low-calorie diet	Low-calorie, low- carbohydrate diet	Low-calorie, low- carbohydrate soy diet		8 wk	hs-CRP
Kavyani et al. (2021) [75]	Iran	US	Total ( <i>n</i> = 44) 19/17	RCT/Level II. Positive	Low-calorie diet plus placebo	Low-calorie diet plus <i>Camelina sativa</i> oil (CSO) &			12 wk	hs-CRP

Study	Country	Diagnostic Method	Sample, <i>n</i> (M/F)	Study type, NHMRC LOE, Quality Ax	Diet of Interest	2 <sup>nd</sup> Diet of Interest	3 <sup>rd</sup> Diet of Interest	4 <sup>th</sup> Diet of Interest	Int. Duration	Inflammatory Biomarker
						prebiotic (resistant				
Kugelmas et al. (2003) [50]	United States	Liver bx.	Total ( <i>n</i> = 16) 7/9	RCT/Level II. Positive	Step One American Heart Association diet	dextrin) Step One American Heart Association diet plus Vit. E 800			12 wk	TNF-α, IL-6, IL- 8
Malaguarnera et al. (2010) [69]	Italy	Liver bx.	Total ( <i>n</i> = 74) 40/34	RCT/Level II. Positive	Hypocaloric (NCEP-ATPIII) diet PLUS placebo	Hypocaloric diet (NCEP-ATPIII) plus			24 wk	hs-CRP, TNF-α
Malaguarnera et al. (2012) [49]	Italy	Liver bx.	Total ( <i>n</i> = 66) 33/33	RCT/Level II. Neutral	Hypocaloric (NCEP-ATPIII) diet PLUS placebo	Hypocaloric diet (NCEP-ATPIII) plus probiotic and prebiotic supplement			24 wk	hs-CRP, TNF-α
Maleki et al. (2019) [41]	Iran	US	Enrolled ( <i>n</i> = 66), Analyzed ( <i>n</i> = 62) 19/43	RCT/Level II. Positive	Hypocaloric diet	Hypocaloric diet plus soy milk			8 wk	Fibrinogen
Marin-Alejandre et al. (2019) [42]	Spain	US	Total ( <i>n</i> = 98) 51/47	RCT/Level II. Positive	Hypocaloric diet (AHA)	Hypocaloric Mediterranean diet (FLIO)			6 mo	Leptin, adiponectin, hs-CRP
Marin-Alejandre et al. (2021) [43]	Spain	US	Enrolled (98) 55/43	RCT/Level II. Positive	Hypocaloric diet (AHA)	Hypocaloric Mediterranean diet (FLIO)			2 y	Leptin, adiponectin
Marina et al. (2014) [83]	United States	MR-S	Total obese sample ( $n$ = 13) 10/3	Random order comparative study with concurrent controls/Level III-2. Positive	Low-fat diet	High-fat diet			4 wk	Adiponectin, leptin, hs-CRP, IL-6, IL-10, IFN-γ
Markova et al. (2016) [80]	Germany	MR-S	Total ( <i>n</i> = 37) 24/13	RCT/II. Positive	Plant-protein isocaloric diet	Animal protein isocaloric diet			6 wk	Adiponectin, TNF-α, IL-4, IL- 6, IL-8, IL-18, MCP-1
Mofidi et al. (2017) [70]	Iran	Fibroscan & ALT (>60 IU/L)	Total ( <i>n</i> = 42) 23/19	RCT/II. Positive	Energy-balanced diet (NHLBI-EB) plus placebo	Energy-balanced diet (NHLBI-EB) plus synbiotic supplement			28 wk	hs-CRP, TNF-α, NF-κB
Moradi et al. (2021) [76]	Iran	US	Enrolled ( <i>n</i> = 46) 26/ 17	RCT/II. Neutral	Energy-restricted diet (AHA) plus placebo	Energy-restricted diet (AHA) plus Chromium Picolinate			12 wk	Fetuin-A, hs- CRP, TNF-α, IL- 6
Nomi-Golzar et al. (2020) [52]	Iran	US	Total ( <i>n</i> = 40) 0/40	RCT/II. Neutral	Low-calorie diet plus Hydroxy- citric acid supplement	Low-calorie diet			8 wk	hs-CRP
	Iran	US			FF				12 wk	

482

Pour et al. (2020) [77]			Total ( <i>n</i> = 76) 43/33	RCT/II. Positive	Healthy diet plus placebo	Healthy diet plus 100 mg/d saffron supplement				hs-CRP, TNF-α, adiponectin, leptin
Rafie et al. (2020) [62]	Iran	US and ALT	Enrolled ( $n = 50$ ). analyzed ( $n = 46$ ) 20/26	RCT/Level II. Positive	Energy-balanced diet (NHLBI-EB) plus placebo.	Energy-balanced diet (NHLBI-EB)plus ginger supplement			12 wk	hs-CRP, TNF-a, adiponectin, Fetuin-A
Rahimlou et al.(2016) [71]	Iran	Fibroscan and ALT (>1.5 x upper limit of normal)	Total ( <i>n</i> = 44) 20/24	RCT/Level II. Positive	Energy-balanced diet (NHLBI-EB) plus placebo	Energy-balanced diet (NHLBI-EB) plus ginger supplement			12 wk	hs-CRP, TNF-α
Rahmanabadi et al. (2019) [63]	Iran	US	Total ( <i>n</i> = 50) 27/23	RCT/Level II. Positive	Weight-control diet (NHLBI-EB) plus placebo	Weight-control diet (NHLBI-EB) plus α-LA supplement			12 wk	Adiponectin, leptin, resistin, irisin
Razavi Zade et al. (2016) [55]	Iran	US and ALT (M>30 IU/L, F>19IU/L)	Total ( <i>n</i> = 60) 30/30	RCT/Level II. Positive	Hypocaloric diet	Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension diet			8 wk	hs-CRP
Rezaei et al. (2019) [64]	Iran	US	Total ( <i>n</i> = 66) 29/37	RCT/Level II. Positive	Hypocaloric diet plus sunflower oil (control).	Hypocaloric diet plus olive oil			12 wk	IL-6
Rezaei et al. (2020) [65]	Iran	US	Total ( <i>n</i> = 68) 33/35	RCT/Level II. Positive	Hypocaloric diet plus sunflower oil (control)	Hypocaloric diet plus flaxseed oil			12 wk	IL-6
Ristic-Medic et al. (2021) [44]	Serbia	US	Enrolled ( $n = 27$ ). analyzed ( $n = 24$ ) 24	RCT/Level II. Positive	Hypocaloric (Board of the US NIHFN)	Hypocaloric Mediterranean diet. (Board of the US NIHFN)			12 wk	hs-CRP
Shahmohammadi et al. (2017) [72]	Iran	US and ALT (M>30 IU/L, F>19IU/L)	Total ( $n =$ 44) 22/22	RCT/Level II. Positive	Energy-balanced (NHLBI-EB) plus placebo	Energy-balanced (NHLBI-EB) plus green coffee bean extract supplement			8 wk	hs-CRP, TNF-α
Sofi et al. (2010) [54]	Italy	US and ALT (M>30 IU/L, F>20 IU/L)	Total (n = 11) 9/2	RCT/Level II. Positive	Mediterranean diet	Mediterranean diet plus olive oil enriched with n-3 PUFA			12 mo	Adiponectin
Spadaro et al. (2008) [47]	Italy	US and ALT (M>30 IU/L, F>20 IU/L)	Total ( <i>n</i> = 36) 19/17	RCT/Level II. Positive	AHA diet plus placebo	AHA diet plus n-3 PUFA capsule			6 mo	TNF-α
Yari et al. (2016) [82]	Iran	Fibroscan	Total ( <i>n</i> = 50) 25/25	RCT/Level II. Positive	Energy-balanced diet (NHLBI-EB)	Energy-balanced diet (NHLBI-EB) plus flaxseed supplement			12 wk	hs-CRP, TNF-α
Yari et al. (2021) [79]	Iran	Fibroscan + CAP≥260	Enrolled ( <i>n</i> = 100). analyzed ( <i>n</i> = 92) 49/43	RCT/Level II. Neutral	Hypocaloric diet (NHLBI-EB)	Hypocaloric diet (NHLBI-EB) plus hesperidin	Hypocaloric diet plus flaxseed	Hypocaloric diet plus hesperidin and flaxseed	12 wk	hs-CRP, TNF-a, NF-κB

AHA, American Heart Association; α-LA, alpha-lipoic acid; ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate transaminase; Ax, assessment; Bx, biopsy; CK-18, cytokeratin-18; CK18-M65, total cytokeratin-18; CTRP-5, C1q/TNF-related protein 5; F, female; FLIO, Fatty Liver in Obesity; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; IL-1β, interleukin 1β; IL-6, interleukin 6; IL-10, interleukin 10; Interv., intervention; LOE, level of evidence; M, male; NF-κB, nuclear factor kappa B; NCEP-ATPIII, National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III; NHLBI-EB, National Heart Lung and Blood Institute-Energy Balanced; NHMRC, National Health and Medical Research Council; NIHFN, National Institute of Health & Food & Nutrition; RCT, randomized controlled trial; TNF-a, tumor necrosis factor-alpha; US, ultrasound.

reported by 22 studies [47–49,51,58–60,62,66,68–74,76,77, 79–82]; and IL-6 reported by 14 studies. [46, 48,51,59–61, 64–66,74,76,80,81,83]. Table 3 presents the data extracted for the intervention effects on cytokines.

#### High-sensitivity C-reactive protein

Of the 29 included studies that analyzed hs-CRP (Table 3), 23 reported a significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) improvement from pre- to postintervention [40,42,44–46,48,49,51,55,56,58,60–62,69,71, 72,75–77,79,81]. Overall, 23 of the studies investigated hs-CRP following dietary intervention plus cointervention or supplementation [40,48,49,51,52,56–58,60–62,68–73,75–77,79,81, 82], of which 17 reported statistically significant positive changes following diet and a cointervention or supplementation [40,48,49,51,56,58,60–62,69,71,72,75–77,79,81].

Effect of diet alone. Of the 6 studies that investigated the effect of diet alone on hs-CRP, 2 studies reported significant (P < 0.05) improvements for both intervention groups [44,46], 3 studies reported significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) improvements for 1 intervention arm only [42,45,55] and 1 study reported nonsignificant ( $P \ge$ 0.05) improvements for both intervention groups [83]. Baldry et al. [46] conducted a 2-wk intervention that compared a very-low energy diet (VLED), prebariatric surgery, food based with a VLED meal-replacement plan and reported a significant improvement for both intervention groups (P = 0.007 and P =0.004, respectively). Similarly, a hypocaloric MedDiet and a hypocaloric National Institute of Health and Food and Nutrition (NIHFN) diet, provided a significant improvement to hs-CRP for both intervention groups (P = 0.000 and P = 0.008, respectively) [44]. A 6-mo hypocaloric MedDiet significantly improved hs-CRP (P = 0.001) compared with a hypocaloric American Heart Association (AHA) diet (P = 0.250) [42]. An 8-wk DASH diet was superior to an unspecified hypocaloric diet for reducing hs-CRP, in a study by Razavi Zade et al. (P = 0.004 vs. P = 0.08, respectively) [55].

Effect of diet vs. combined diet and cointervention, including supplements. Of the 17 studies that reported statistically significant positive changes following dietary intervention plus cointervention or supplementation, 5 of those studies reported significant (P < 0.05) improvement for both study arms [48,56,61, 71,81] and 12 studies reported significant (P < 0.05) improvement for the diet plus cointervention or supplementation group only [40,49,51,58,60,62,69,72,75-77,79]. An isocaloric or energy-balanced diet provided significant improvement to hs-CRP when used alone or with the addition of Corinthian currants (P = 0.023 and P = 0.002, respectively) [81]; alone or with the addition of a probiotic supplement and prebiotic placebo and the addition of a prebiotic supplement and probiotic placebo (P =0.03, *P* = 0.001, and *P* = 0.001, respectively) [56]; and, alone or with the addition of a ginger supplement (P = 0.005 and P =0.007, respectively) [71]. An energy-restricted (ER) or low-calorie diet provided significant improvement to hs-CRP when used alone or with the addition of CLA supplement (P =0.008 and P = 0.001, respectively) [48]; and as a ER-normal protein or ER-high protein diet alone or with the addition of a BCX supplement to each diet groups (P < 0.001) [61]. An ER or hypocaloric diet provided significant improvement to hs-CRP when used in conjunction with: a cholesterol-lowering agent (P < 0.05) [51]; soy milk (P < 0.001) [40]; CSO and prebiotic supplement ( $P \le 0.05$ ) [75]; a probiotic and prebiotic supplement (P < 0.001) [49]; a chromium picolinate supplement (P = 0.04) [76]; hesperidin or hesperidin and flaxseed (P = 0.005 and P < 0.001, respectively) [79]; but not when used alone. An energy-balanced, NHLBI-EB or healthy diet provided significant improvement to hs-CRP when used in conjunction with: a trans-resveratrol supplement (P = 0.011) [60]; a saffron supplement (P < 0.01) [77]; a ginger supplement (P = 0.001) [62]; a GCBE supplement (P < 0.001) [72], but not when used alone.

#### Interleukin 6

Of the 14 studies investigating IL-6 as an outcome, 9 studies reported significant improvements [46,48,51,59–61,66,76,81] and 3 studies reporting nonsignificant improvements [64,65,83] (see Table 3). Overall, 7 of the 9 studies reporting significant improvements administered dietary intervention in comparison to dietary intervention plus supplementation [48,59–61,66,74,76].

*Effect of diet alone.* Baldry et al. [46] tested a VLED with meal-replacement vs. an *ad libitum* VLED, finding that IL-6 significantly improved in the VLED meal-replacement group only (P = 0.04).

Effect of diet vs. combined diet and cointervention, including supplements. Of the 7 studies that reported significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) improvements following dietary intervention plus a cointervention or supplement, 2 of those studies reported significant improvements to all study arms [61,74]. A hypocaloric, weight management, or ER diet provided significant improvement to IL-6 when used in conjunction with: a cholesterol-lowering agent ( $P \le 0.05$ ) [51]; a CLA and Vitamin E supplement (P = 0.042) [48]; a soy isoflavone supplement (P = 0.01) [66]; a multistrain probiotic (P = 0.041) [59]; a chromium picolinate supplement (P = 0.02) [76]; but not when used alone. A NHLBI-EB or isocaloric diet provided significant improvement to IL-6 when used in conjunction with: Corinthian currants (P = 0.009) [81]; a trans-resveratrol supplement (P = 0.034) [60]; but not when used alone.

#### Tumor necrosis factor-alpha

Overall, 22 studies analyzed TNF-α, of which 13 studies reported significant improvements [47-49,51,58,66,69,71,73,74,77,79,81] and 5 studies reported nonsignificant improvement only in the intervention group [59,60,62,72,76] (Table 3). Overall, 18 studies reported on dietary intervention plus supplement [47-49,58-60,62,66,68,70-74,76,77,79,82], of which 10 studies reported statistically significant changes following diet and supplementation [47-49,58,66,71,73,74,77,79].

Effect of diet alone. Markova et al. [80] tested a plant-protein isocaloric diet vs. an animal protein isocaloric diet, finding that TNF- $\alpha$  significantly improved in the plant-protein isocaloric diet group only (P = 0.016).

Effect of diet vs. combined diet and cointervention, including supplements. Of the 10 studies that reported significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) improvements following dietary intervention plus a cointervention or supplement, 5 studies reported significant improvements to both study arms [48,58,71,74,77], 6 studies reported significant improvements to the diet plus supplement or cointervention arm only [47,49,51,66,69,73], 1 study reported significant improvement to the diet-only arm of the study [81]. A NHLBI-EB diet, an ER diet, a Mediterranean diet, and a healthy diet provided significant improvements to TNF- $\alpha$  when used alone or in conjunction with: a CLA and Vitamin E

### TABLE 3

485

Data extracted from studies included for the updated systematic review investigating the effects of randomized controlled dietary intervention(s) and/or cointervention on inflammatory markers in adults with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease for the intervention effects of cytokines

High-sensitivity C-reactive protein	L						
Reference	Diet	Unit	Preintervention serum concentration	Postintervention serum concentration	<i>P</i> value	Computed change	Mean change conc. (95% CI), mg/mL
Dietary intervention alone							
Baldry et al. (2017) [46]	Very-low energy diet; food-based diet Very-low energy diet; meal- replacement plan	mg/L	8.2 (42.8) 9.6 (29.1)	5.1 (21.7) 6.4 (21.8)	0.007 0.004	-37.8% -33.3%	
Kani et al. (2014) [45]	Low calorie	mg/L	nd	nd	nd	$-1.0\pm0.6^{\mathrm{b}}$	
	Low calorie, low carbohydrate		nd	nd	nd	$-1.1\pm0.6^{ m b}$	
	Low calorie, low carbohydrate, soy containing		nd	nd	0.01	$-8.0\pm1.0^{b}$	
Marin-Alejandre et al. (2019)	Hypocaloric diet (AHA)	mg/L	6.5 <u>+</u> 1.9	$3.2 \pm 0.4$	0.250	-51.0%	
[42]	Hypocaloric Mediterranean diet (FLIO)		4.0 <u>+</u> 0.60	$1.8 \pm 0.20$	0.001	-55.0%	
Marina et al. (2014) [83]	Low-fat diet	mg/L	$3.3\pm2.8$	$2.8\pm2.5$	ns	-15.1%	
	High-fat diet		$2.3\pm1.9$	$2.2 \pm 1.2$	ns	-4.3%	
Razavi Zade et al. (2016) [55]	Hypocaloric diet	mg/L	$\textbf{4.9} \pm \textbf{3.4}$	$4.6\pm2.8$	0.08	-6.1%	
	DASH diet		4.8 ± 3.3	$3.6\pm2.7$	0.004	-25.0%	
Ristic-Medic et al. (2020) [44]	Hypocaloric (NIHFN)	mg/L	2.10 (0.98,3.20) <sup>a</sup>	$0.77 (0.54, 1.27)^{a}$	0.008	-63.0%	
	Hypocaloric Mediterranean diet (NIHFN)		1.02 (0.75,2,23)ª	0.81 (0.34,1.40)ª	0.000	-21.0%	
Dietary intervention plus coi	ntervention						
Chan et al. (2010) [51]	Hypocaloric diet, Low fat	mg/L	$2.2\pm1.3$	$2.4 \pm 1.6$	nd	9.1%	
	Hypocaloric diet, Low fat + cholesterol-lowering agent		$3.9 \pm 3.8$	$2.2\pm2.7$	<0.05*	-43.6%	
Kaliora et al. (2016) [81]	Isocaloric diet	mg/L	$\textbf{2.4}\pm\textbf{3.0}$	$0.84 \pm 1.1$	0.023	-65.0%	
	Isocaloric diet + Corinthian currants		$2.1 \pm 1.8$	$0.82\pm0.7$	0.002	-60.9%	
Malaguarnera et al. (2010)	NCEP-ATPIII	mg/L	$8.7\pm3.4$	$7.4 \pm 3.2$	$\geq 0.05$	-14.9%	
[69]	NCEP-ATPIII + L-carnitine		$9.1 \pm 3.2$	$5.2\pm3.1$	<0.001*	-42.9%	
Dietary intervention plus sup	plementation	-					
Abedi et al. (2018) [48]	Energy-restricted diet plus Vitamin E supplement	mg/L	4.1 <u>+</u> 4.6	$3.4 \pm 4.1$	0.008	-17.0%	
	Energy-restricted diet plus CLA and Vitamin E supplement		3.6 <u>+</u> 4.6	2.6 <u>+</u> 3.8	0.001	-28.0%	
Abhari et al. (2020) [73]	Energy-balanced (NHLBI-EB) plus placebo	mg/L	$5797.3 \pm 3497.5$	$6049.7 \pm 4740.0$	0.459	$259.67 \pm 1274.55^{\mathrm{b}}$	
	Energy-balanced (NHLBI-EB) plus synbiotic ( <i>B. coagulans</i> )		$5183.9 \pm 3497.1$	$4397.5 \pm 3616.0$	0.119	$-793.38 \pm 2174.87^{ m b}$	
Behrouz et al. (2020) [56]	Energy-balanced diet (NHLBI-EB) plus placebo	pg/ml	9.2 <u>+</u> 4.5	6.9 <u>+</u> 3.7	0.03	-25.0%	
	Energy-balanced diet (NHLBI-EB) plus probiotic and prebiotic placebo		9.3 <u>+</u> 4.3	6.3 <u>+</u> 4.9	0.001	-32.0%	
	Energy-balanced diet (NHLBI-EB) plus prebiotic and probiotic placebo		9.9 <u>+</u> 5.8	6.1 <u>+</u> 5.0	0.001	-38.0%	
Cerletti et al. (2020) [57]	Mediterranean diet	mg/L	2.97 (0.36, 4.67) <sup>a</sup>	2.52 (1.39, 4.30) <sup>a</sup>	0.420	-15.0%	
	Mediterranean diet plus nutraceutical	5	2.20 (1.40, 4.00) <sup>a</sup>	17.1 (1.80, 3.20) <sup>a</sup>	0.120	-19.0%	

### TABLE 3 (continued)

486

There is a construction of the construction of	<b>D</b>		<b>D</b>	<b>D</b>		2	
Reference	Diet	Unit	Preintervention serum concentration	Postintervention serum concentration	P value	Computed change	Mean change conc. (95% CI), mg/mL
Darand et al. (2019) [58]	Energy balanced diet (NHLBI-EB) plus placebo.	mg/L	5057.53 <u>+</u> 3,291.26	4509.86 + 3,288.4	0.480	-11.0%	
	Energy balanced diet (NHLBI-EB) plus <i>Nigella sativa</i> seed powder supplement		4959.63 <u>+</u> 3,391.89	3514.22 <u>+</u> 3982.89	0.000	-29.0%	
Eslami et al. (2019) [40]	Hypocaloric diet	mg/L	$3.51 \pm 1.3$	3.14 <u>+</u> 1.4	0.18	-11.0%	
	Hypocaloric diet plus soy milk		$3.68 \pm 1.82$	$2.34 \pm 1.59$	<0.001*	-36.0%	
Eslamparast et al. (2014) [68]	Energy-balanced diet (NHLBI-EB)	mg/L	nd	nd			-1.04 (-1.5 to
	Energy-balanced diet (NHLBI-EB) + synbiotic supplement		nd	nd			-0.6) -2.30 (-3.0 to -1.5)
Faghihzadeh et al. (2014) [60]	Energy-balanced diet (NHLBI-EB)	mg/L	3.20 + 1.85	3.4 + 2.13	0.861	6.0%	
0	Energy balanced diet (NHLBI-EB)	0.	3.02 + 1.04	2.11 + 0.96	0.011	-30.0%	
	plus trans-resveratrol supplement		_	—			
Haidari et al. (2020) [61]	Energy-restricted normal-protein diet	mg/L	4.9 <u>+</u> 1.5	$3.5 \pm 0.1$	< 0.001**	-29.0%	
	Energy-restricted normal-protein diet plus BCX		5.0 <u>+</u> 1.9	$3.2 \pm 0.1$	<0.001**	-36.0%	
	Energy-restricted High Protein Diet		4.7 <u>+</u> 1.5	$2.6 \pm 0.1$	<0.001**	-45.0%	
	Energy-restricted High Protein Diet plus BCX		4.8 <u>+</u> 1.9	$2.4 \pm 0.1$	<0.001**	-50.0%	
Kavyani et al. (2021) [75]	Low calorie diet plus placebo	ng/mL	$11.66\pm2.90$	$10.23\pm2.37$	nd	-12.0%	
	Low calorie diet plus <i>Camelina Sativa</i> oil & prebiotic	-1	$10.49 \pm 1.58$	$8.81 \pm 2.29$	<0.05	-16.0%	
Malaguarnera et al. (2012)	Hypocaloric (NCEP-ATPIII) diet	mg/L	6.7 <u>+</u> 3.1	$6.0 \pm 3.0$	$\geq 0.05$	-10.0%	
[49]	Hypocaloric diet (NCEP-ATPIII) plus		7.0 + 3.4	4.1 + 3.1	< 0.001*	-41.0%	
	probiotic and prebiotic supplement						
Mofidi et al. (2017) [70]	NHLBI-EB Diet	mg/L	nd	nd		$-0.42 \pm 0.1^{b}$	
	NHLBI-EB Diet plus synbiotic supplement		nd	nd		$-1.16\pm0.4^{ extsf{d}}$	
Moradi et al. (2021) [76]	Energy-restricted diet (AHA) plus placebo	mg/L	$5.20 \pm 2.73$	$\textbf{4.75} \pm \textbf{1.04}$	0.425	-9.0%	
	Energy-restricted diet (AHA) plus Chromium Picolinate supplement		$4.32 \pm 1.42$	$3.61 \pm 1.36$	0.004	-16.0%	
Nomi-Golzar et al. (2021) [52]	Low-calorie diet	ng/mL	$5.0 \pm nd$	$5.1 \pm nd$	>0.05	2.0%	
	Low-calorie diet plus Hydroxy-citric acid supplement		$4.9 \pm nd$	$5.1 \pm nd$	>0.05	4.0%	
Pour et al. (2020) [77]	Healthy diet plus placebo	ng/mL	$5.48 \pm 5.17$	$4.37\pm3.90$	0.248	-20.0%	
	Healthy diet plus saffron supplement		$5.30\pm4.48$	$2.49 \pm 2.25$	< 0.01	-53.0%	
Rahimlou et al. (2016) [71]	NHLBI-EB Diet	mg/L	$4.8\pm0.2$	$2.8\pm0.2$	0.005	-41.7%	
	NHLBI-EB Diet plus ginger supplement		$4.6\pm0.1$	$3.4\pm0.1$	0.007	-26.1%	
Rafie et al. (2020) [62]	NHLBI-EB Diet	mg/L	2.26 (1.16,3.98) <sup>a</sup>	2.12 (0.98,3.78) <sup>a</sup>	0.128	6.0%	
	NHLBI-EB Diet plus ginger supplement		2.40 (1.14,3.58) <sup>a</sup>	1.82 (0.88,3.18) <sup>a</sup>	0.001	-24.0%	
Shahmoham-madi et al.	NHLBI-EB Diet	mg/L	1.5 (0.4, 2.7) <sup>a</sup>	1.5 (0.4, 3.0) <sup>a</sup>	0.846	0.0%	
(2017) [72]	NHLBI-EB Diet plus GCBE supplement		1.4 (0.4, 3.4) <sup>a</sup>	1.1 (0.5, 2.3) <sup>a</sup>	<0.001*	-21.4%	

#### TABLE 3 (continued)

487

High-sensitivity C-reactive protein	l .									
Reference	Diet Ur	it Preinterv concentra	vention ser ation	um P co	ostintervention seru oncentration	ım	P value	Computed	change	Mean change conc. (95% CI), mg/mL
Yari et al. (2016) [82]	NHLBI-EB Diet mg	g/L nd		n	d					-1.02 (-1.6 to
	NHLBI-EB Diet plus flaxseed supplement	nd		n	d					–0.5) –2.05 (–2.6 to 1.5)
Yari et al. (2021) [79]	Hypocaloric diet mg Hypocaloric diet plus hesperidin Hypocaloric diet plus flaxseed Hypocaloric diet plus hesperidin and flaxseed	$\begin{array}{rl} 3.81 \pm 3 \\ 4.17 \pm 2. \\ 4.68 \pm 2 \\ 6.19 \pm 5 \end{array}$	.30 62 .04 .50	3 2 4 4	$.04 \pm 2.67 \\ .98 \pm 2.34 \\ .80 \pm 6.72 \\ .62 \pm 4.93$		0.388 0.005 0.992 <0.001*	-20.0% -28.0% 2.0% -25.0%		
Interleukin-6										
Reference	Diet		Unit	Pre-	Post-	P value	Computed	l change M	/lean chan	ge (95%CI), mg/mL
Dietary intervention alone										
Baldry et al. (2017) [46]	Very-low energy diet; food-based diet Very-low energy diet; meal-replacement pl	an	pg∕ mL	3.7 (10.4) <sup>c</sup> 4.5 (42.6) <sup>c</sup>	3.7 (25.4) <sup>c</sup> 3.7 (25.4) <sup>c</sup>	0.175 0.04	$0.0\% \\ -17.8\%$			
Marina et al. (2014) [83]	Low-fat diet High-fat diet		pg∕ mL	1.08 (1.09) <sup>c</sup> 0.91 (1.4) <sup>c</sup>	1.01 (1.14) <sup>c</sup> 0.83 (2.4) <sup>c</sup>	$\geq 0.05 \ \geq 0.05$	$-6.5\% \\ -8.8\%$			
Markova et al. (2016) [80]	Plant-protein isocaloric diet Animal protein isocaloric		pg∕ mL	$1.4 \pm 1.4 \\ 1.1 \pm 1.1$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.4 \pm 1.5 \\ 0.9 \pm 0.7 \end{array}$	0.816 0.166	-1.4%21.7%			
Dietary intervention plus coin	ntervention									
Chan et al. (2010) [51]	Hypocaloric diet, Low fat		pg/	$\textbf{0.8} \pm \textbf{0.2}$	$\textbf{0.9} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	$\geq 0.05$	12.5%			
	Hypocaloric diet, low fat + cholesterol-lov	vering agent	mL	$1.1 \pm 0.4$	$\textbf{0.9}\pm\textbf{0.5}$	< 0.05*	-18.2%			
Kaliora et al. (2016) [81]	Isocaloric diet		pg/	$1.7\pm3.2$	$1.3\pm1.4$	0.322	-23.5%			
	Isocaloric diet + Corinthian currants		mL	$1.6 \pm 1.4$	$0.9\pm0.5$	0.009	-43.7%			
Dietary intervention plus sup	plementation		,	00.11 + 16.06	00 10 1 15 50	0.610	4.00/			
Abedi et al. (2018) [48]	Energy-restricted diet plus Vitamin E	in F	pg/	$23.11 \pm 16.80$	$22.10 \pm 15.78$	0.610	-4.0%			
Amanat et al. (2017) [66]	Weight-management diet	III L	ng/	$10.10 \pm 3.93$ $18.2 \pm 3.4$	$\frac{13.2}{\pm}$ $\frac{+}{1.8}$	0.042	-0.0%			
	Weight management $+$ soy isoflavone		mL	$18.8 \pm 3.1$	$16.6 \pm 2.5$	0.01	-11.7%			
Duseja et al. (2019) [59]	Hypocaloric diet		pg/	112.8 + 83.7	141.4 + 107.3	0.507	25.0%			
	Hypocaloric diet plus multistrain probiotic		mL	125.6 <u>+</u> 95.3	100.6 + 74.7	0.041	-20.0%			
Faghihzadeh et al. (2014) [60]	NHLB-EBI Diet		pg/dL	7.22 <u>+</u> 4.73	6.65 <u>+</u> 4.23	0.677	-8.0%			
	NHLBI-EB Diet plus trans-resveratrol suppl	ement		5.49 <u>+</u> 2.64	$3.96 \pm 1.91$	0.034	-28.0%			
Ferro et al. (2020) [74]	Mediterranean diet plus placebo		pg/	$1.68\pm0.8$	$2.57 \pm 1.3$	< 0.001	53.0%			
Heideri et al. (2020) [61]	Energy restricted normal protein dist		mL ng/	$1.92 \pm 0.9$	$3.03 \pm 1.3$	<0.001	58.0%			
Haidaii et al. (2020) [01]	Energy-restricted normal-protein diet plus	BCY	pg/ mI	$0.1 \pm 2.2$ 5 9 ± 2 2	$4.0 \pm 0.3$ $4.0 \pm 0.3$	<0.001	-21.0%			
	Energy-restricted High Protein Diet	DGA	IIIL	$5.9 \pm 2.2$ $6.6 \pm 2.2$	$\frac{4.0 \pm 0.3}{3.5 \pm 0.3}$		-47.0%			
	Energy-restricted High Protein Diet plus B	CX		6.4 + 1.9	3.1 + 0.3		-51.0%			
Moradi et al. (2021) [76]	Energy-restricted diet plus placebo		pg/	$39.23 \pm 16.29$	$39.57 \pm 15.25$	0.902	1.0%			
	Energy-restricted diet plus Chromium Picol	inate supplement	mL	$\textbf{36.69} \pm \textbf{17.70}$	$28.76 \pm 14.20$	0.002	-22.0%			
Rezaei et al. (2019) [64]	Hypocaloric diet plus sunflower oil		pg/	8.5 <u>+</u> 4.5	8.3 <u>+</u> 3.3	0.400	-2.0%			
	Hypocaloric diet plus olive oil		mL	$7.5 \pm 1.6$	$7.7 \pm 1.8$	0.400	-3.0%			
Rezae1 et al. (2020) [65]	Hypocaloric diet plus sunflower oil		pg/	$8.4 \pm 4.5$	$8.29 \pm 3.27$	0.430	-1.0%			
	nypocaloric diet plus flaxseed oll		mL	7.63 <u>+</u> 1.29	7.35 <u>+</u> 0.89	0.100	-4.0%			

#### TABLE 3 (continued)

Tumor necrosis factor-alpha							
Reference	Diet	Unit	Pre-	Post-	P value	Computed change	Mean change (95% CI), mg/mL
Dietary intervention alone							
Markova et al. (2016) [80]	Plant-protein isocaloric diet	ng/	$4.5\pm2.6$	$3.8\pm2.4$	0.016	-15.6%	
	Animal protein isocaloric diet	mL	$\textbf{4.3} \pm \textbf{2.8}$	$\textbf{4.4} \pm \textbf{2.2}$	0.925	2.3%	
Dietary intervention plus coint	ervention						
Chan et al. (2010) [51]	Hypocaloric, low-fat diet	ng/	$5.4\pm1.6$	$5.4 \pm 1.9$	$\geq 0.05$	0.0%	
	Hypocaloric, low-fat diet + cholesterol-lowering agent	mL	$\textbf{6.3} \pm \textbf{1.9}$	$5.4\pm2.3$	< 0.05*	-14.3%	
Kaliora et al. (2016) [81]	Isocaloric diet	ng/	$1.3\pm1.0$	$\textbf{0.8} \pm \textbf{0.5}$	0.004	-38.5%	
		mL					
	Isocaloric diet + Corinthian currants		$\textbf{0.9} \pm \textbf{1.0}$	$1.3\pm0.5$	0.063	44.4%	
Malguarnera et al. (2010) [69]	NCEP-ATPIII diet	ng/	$1.38\pm0.22$	$1.30\pm0.21$	$\geq$ 0.05	-5.8%	
	NCEP-ATPIII diet + L-carnitine	mL	$1.44 \pm 0.28$	$1.08\pm0.15$	<0.001*	-25.0%	
Dietary intervention plus suppl	ementation						
Abedi et al. (2018) [48]	Energy-restricted diet plus Vitamin E supplement	pg/	26.59 <u>+</u> 23.28	$25.57 \pm 22.83$	0.020	-3.0%	
	Energy-restricted diet plus CLA and Vitamin E supplement	mL	64.25 + 94.18	$37.53 \pm 51.13$	0.010	-41.0%	
Abhari et al. (2020) [73]	NHLB-EB Diet plus placebo	pg/	$18.4 \pm 1.79$	$16.95 \pm 2.37$	0.054	$-1.68\pm2.82^{\rm b}$	
	NHLB-EB Diet plus synbiotic (B. coagulans)	mL	$17.35\pm4.89$	$14.0 \pm 1.29$	0.010	$-3.35\pm5.24^{\rm b}$	
Amanat et al. (2017) [66]	Weight-management diet	ng/	$1.8\pm2.6$	$1.8\pm2.6$	0.990	0.0%	
	Weight-management diet + soy isoflavone	mL	$1.8\pm2.5$	$1.6\pm2.4$	0.010	-11.1%	
Darand et al. (2019) [58]	NHLBI-EB Diet	pg/	$18.68 \pm 2.18$	17.44 <u>+</u> 1.77	0.010	-6.0%	
	NHLBI-EB Diet plus Nigella sativa seed powder	mL	$17.71 \pm 6.47$	$14.02 \pm 1.91$	0.010	-21.0%	
Duseja et al. (2019) [59]	Hypocaloric diet	pg/	190.00 +	$243.15 \pm$	0.917	28.0%	
		mL	131.10	167.10			
	Hypocaloric diet plus multistrain probiotic preparation		207.9 <u>+</u> 102.2	107.8 <u>+</u> 94.4	0.911	-48.0%	
Eslamparast et al. (2014) [68]	NHLBI-EB Diet	ng/	nd	nd			-0.59 (-0.8 to -0.3)
	NHLBI-EB Diet plus synbiotic supplement	mL	nd	nd			-1.4 (-1.7 to -1.1)
Faghihzadeh et al. (2014) [60]	NHLBI-EB Diet plus placebo	pg/	16.55 <u>+</u> 4.79	$20.62 \pm 10.88$	0.094	25.0%	
	NHLBI-EB Diet plus trans-resveratrol supplement	mL	19.96 + 18.80	16.25 + 5.47	0.317	-19.0%	
Ferro et al. (2020) [74]	Mediterranean diet plus placebo	pg/	$3.08\pm0.6$	$1.53\pm0.8$	< 0.001	-50.0%	
	Mediterranean diet plus BPF and CYC	mL	$3.56\pm3.9$	$2.04 \pm 2.4$	0.002	-43.0%	
Malaguarnera et al. (2012) [49]	Hypocaloric (NCEP-ATPIII) diet plus placebo	ng/	$1.24 \pm 0.26$	$1.12 \pm 0.31$	$\geq 0.05$	-10.0%	
	Hypocaloric diet (NCEP-ATPIII) plus probiotic and prebiotic	mL	1.28 + 0.28	0.83 + 0.36	< 0.001*	-35.0%	
	supplement		_	_			
Mofidi et al. (2017) [70]	NHLBI-EB Diet	ng/				$-0.3\pm0.2^{c}$	
	NHLBI-EB Diet plus flaxseed supplement	mL				$-1.22\pm0.8^{c}$	
Moradi et al. (2021) [76]	Energy-restricted diet plus placebo	pg/	$\textbf{72.6} \pm \textbf{34.2}$	$\textbf{77.2} \pm \textbf{37.4}$	0.367	6.0%	
	Energy-restricted diet plus Chromium Picolinate supplement	mL	$89.8 \pm 40.8$	$\textbf{70.2} \pm \textbf{39.4}$	0.501	-22.0%	
Pour et al. (2020) [77]	Healthy diet plus placebo	pg/	194.44 $\pm$	181.60 $\pm$	0.026	-7.0%	
		mL	99.45	96.29			
	Healthy diet plus saffron supplement		207.31 $\pm$	193.15 $\pm$	0.019	-7.0%	
			102.61	95.10			
Rafie et al. (2020) [62]	NHLBI-EB Diet plus placebo	pg/	11.63 <u>+</u> 1.77	11.57 <u>+</u> 1.23	0.880	0.0%	
	NHLBI-EB Diet plus ginger supplement	mL	11.71 + 1.02	11.40 + 1.40	0.308	-3.0%	
Rahimlou et al. (2016) [71]	NHLBI-EB Diet	ng/	$3.0\pm 0.2$	$2.8\pm 0.2$	0.003	-6.7%	
	NHLBI-EB Diet plus ginger supplement	mL	$\textbf{4.7} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	$\textbf{3.5} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	0.00	-25.5%	
Shahmoham-madi et al. (2017)	NHLBI-EB Diet	ng/	$\textbf{8.2}\pm\textbf{3.2}$	$\textbf{8.8} \pm \textbf{4.1}$	0.279	7.3%	
[72]	NHLBI-EB Diet plus GCBE supplement	mL	$\textbf{9.6} \pm \textbf{3.9}$	$\textbf{8.6} \pm \textbf{5.0}$	0.161	-10.4%	
Spadaro et al. (2008) [47]	AHA diet	ng/	$3.1\pm0.4$	$\textbf{3.0} \pm \textbf{0.7}$	$\geq 0.05$	-3.2%	
	AHA diet + n-3 PUFA supplement	mL	$3.3\pm0.5$	$2.7\pm0.5$	< 0.05	-18.2%	

Yari et al. (2016) [82]	NHLBI-EB Diet	ng/ mL				-0.14	(-0.07  to  -0.2)
	NHLBI-EB Diet plus flaxseed supplement					-1.30	(-0.4 to 2.2)
Yari et al. (2021) [79]	Hypocaloric diet (NHLBI-EB)	pg/	22.36 + 5.61	$20.16 \pm 5.93$	0.037	-10.0%	
	Hypocaloric diet plus hesperidin	шГ	$27.87 \pm 12.69$	$21.31 \pm 6.95$	0.001	-23.0%	
	Hypocaloric diet plus flaxseed		$19.46 \pm 5.41$	$18.97 \pm 5.64$	0.383	-2.0%	
	Hypocaloric diet plus hesperidin and flaxseed		$26.18 \pm 6.39$	$19.87 \pm 4.66$	$< 0.001^{*}$	-24.0%	
<sup>1</sup> Values are mean $\pm$ SD or % ch	ange (calculated from means) unless otherwise indicated.						
<sup>2 a</sup> Mean (minimum, maximum)							
<sup>b</sup> Mean change + SEM.							
<sup>3</sup> *Statistically significant. P<0.0	5 significant; ** Multivariable adjusted.						
<sup>4</sup> AHA, American Heart Associat.	ion; BCX, $\beta$ -Cryptoxanthin; CLA, conjugated linoleic acid; F	ILIO, Fatt	y Liver in Obesity	y; NCEP-ATPIII, 1	National Ch	olesterol Education Progra	am Adult Treatment
Panel III; NHLBI-EB, National H	eart Lung and Blood Institute-Energy Balanced; NIHFN, Nat	tional Ins	titute of Health 8	& Food & Nutritie	on; ns, nons	gnificant.	

supplement (P = 0.020 and P = 0.010, respectively) [48]; a N. sativa seed powder supplement (P = 0.010 and P = 0.010, respectively) [58]; a BPF and CYC supplement (P < 0.01 and P =0.002, respectively) [74]; a saffron supplement (P = 0.026 and P= 0.019, respectively) [77]; and, a ginger supplement (P = 0.003and P = 0.00, respectively) [71]. A NHLBI-EB diet or AHA diet provided significant improvements to TNF- $\alpha$  when used in conjunction with: a symbiotic of *Bacillus coagulans* (P = 0.010) [73]; and an n-3 PUFA supplement (P < 0.05) [47]; but not when used alone. A hypocaloric, NCEP-ATPIII or weight-management diet provided significant improvements to TNF- $\alpha$  when used in conjunction with: a L-carnitine supplement [P < 0.001] [69]; a soy isoflavone (P = 0.010) [66]; a probiotic and prebiotic supplement (P < 0.001) [49]; and a cholesterol-lowering agent ( $P \le$ 0.05) [51]; but not when used alone. Yari et al. [79] reported significant improvements in TNF- $\alpha$  for 3 of 4 intervention arms, which were a hypocaloric diet alone (P = 0.037), the same diet with hesperidin (P = 0.001) and the same diet with hesperidin and flaxseed (P < 0.001), whereas the same diet plus flaxseed (P= 0.383) did not show improvement.

### Other cytokines

Interleukin-1 $\beta$  was reported by 2 studies [59,74], interleukin-10 was reported by 2 studies [48,83], fetuin-A was reported by 3 studies [51,62,76], and nuclear factor  $\kappa$ B (NF- $\kappa$ B) was reported by 4 studies (Supplemental Table 2) [58,60,73,79].

*Adipokines.* The adipokines that were reported by the included studies were adiponectin and leptin, and were reported by 13 [42,43,51,53,54,59,61–63,67,77,80,83] and 8 [42,43,59,63,67, 77,81,83] studies, respectively. Table 4 presents the data extracted for intervention effects of adipokines.

Adiponectin. Of the 13 studies that reported adiponectin, 8 reported a significant improvement ( $P \le 0.05$ ) following the intervention [42,43,51,53,54,61,63,67] (Table 4).

Effect of diet alone. Of the 5 studies that examined the effect of diet alone on adiponectin, 1 study reported significant (P < 0.05) improvements for both intervention groups [43], 2 studies reported significant (P < 0.05) improvements for 1 intervention group only [42,54], and 1 study reported a statistically significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) negative change for 1 intervention group only [80]. Following a 6-mo dietary intervention, a hypocaloric Mediterranean, Fatty Liver in Obesity (FLIO) diet significantly improved adiponectin (P = 0.001), in comparison to a hypocaloric AHA diet, which showed no significant improvement (P = 0.118) [42]. It was later reported that following a 2-y intervention, both AHA and FLIO hypocaloric diets provided significant improvement to adiponectin (P < 0.01 and P < 0.001, respectively) [43]. Another study that intervened with a Mediterranean diet reported a significant improvement for a Mediterranean diet plus olive oil enriched with n-3 PUFA group (P =0.04), whereas no improvement was observed following diet alone ( $P \ge 0.05$ ) [54].

Effect of diet vs. combined diet and cointervention, including supplements. Of the 5 studies that reported significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) improvements following dietary intervention plus a cointervention or supplement, 3 studies reported significant improvements for all intervention groups [51,61,67], and 2 studies reported significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) improvements to the dietary intervention plus cointervention or supplement group only [53, 63]. A hypocaloric, ER, or weight-control diet provided significant

### Table 4

490

Data extracted from studies included for the updated systematic review investigating the effects of randomized controlled dietary intervention(s) and/or cointervention on inflammatory markers in adults with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease for the intervention effects adipokines

Adiponectin						
Reference	Diet	Unit	Pre-	Post-	P value	Computed change
Dietary intervention alone						
Marin-Alejandre et al. (2019) [42]	Hypocaloric diet (AHA)	µg/mL	$6.7\pm2.2$	$8.0 \pm 3.0$	0.118	19.0%
	Hypocaloric Mediterranean diet (FLIO)		$6.6\pm2.2$	9.5 <u>+</u> 3.7	0.001	44.0%
Marin-Alejandre et al. (2021) [43]	Hypocaloric diet (AHA)	µg/mL	$6.7\pm2.0$	8.4 <u>+</u> 3.0	<0.01*	25.0%
	Hypocaloric Mediterranean diet (FLIO)		$6.6\pm2.0$	$10.6 \pm 3.0$	<0.001*	61.0%
Marina et al. (2014) [83]	Low-fat diet	µg/mL	$\textbf{3.4}\pm\textbf{0.94}$	$4.1\pm3.8$	$\geq 0.05$	20.6%
	High-fat diet		$\textbf{4.2}\pm\textbf{2.8}$	$4.6\pm3.8$	$\geq 0.05$	9.5%
Markova et al. (2016) [80]	Plant-protein Isocaloric	µg/mL	$\textbf{4.2} \pm \textbf{1.7}$	$3.6\pm1.3$	0.003	-14.3%
	Animal-protein Isocaloric		$4.1\pm3.5$	$3.6\pm3.0$	$\geq$ 0.05	-12.2%
Sofi et al. (2010) [54]	Mediterranean diet	µg/mL	$1.17\pm0.08$	$1.25\pm0.06$	$\geq$ 0.05	6.8%
	Mediterranean diet + olive oil enriched with n-3 PUFA		$1.14\pm0.02$	$1.48\pm0.09$	0.04	29.8%
Dietary intervention plus cointervention	on					
Chan et al. (2010) [51]	Hypocaloric, LF diet	µg/mL	$5.9\pm2.2$	$6.8\pm2.5$	< 0.05*	15.2%
	Hypocaloric, LF diet plus cholesterol-lowering agent		$4.9\pm2.7$	$6.8\pm2.5$	< 0.05*	24.0%
Garinis et al. (2010) [53]	Hypocaloric diet	µg/mL	$\textbf{7.9} \pm \textbf{4.4}$	$8.5\pm4.6$	0.17	76.0%
	Hypocaloric $+$ oral hypoglycemic agent		$5.8\pm2.7$	$7.0\pm3.3$	0.005	20.7%
Dietary intervention plus supplementa	ition					
Behrouz et al. [2017) (67]	NHLBI-EB Diet	$\mu g/mL$	$\textbf{25.8} \pm \textbf{9.4}$	$\textbf{39.4} \pm \textbf{24.2}$	0.005	52.7%
	NHLBI-EB Diet plus probiotic supplement	10	$\textbf{24.4} \pm \textbf{11.1}$	$40.7\pm24.1$	< 0.001*	66.8%
	NHLBI-EB Diet plus prebiotic supplement		$\textbf{27.8} \pm \textbf{10.4}$	$43.9 \pm 15.6$	< 0.001*	57.9%
Duseja et al. [2019) (59]	Hypocaloric diet	µg/mL	4.8 + 2.5	5.3 + 2.2	0.917	10.0%
	Hypocaloric diet plus multistrain probiotic	10	5.0 + 2.2	5.5 + 2.2	0.866	10.0%
Haidari et al. [2020) (61]	Energy-restricted normal-protein diet	µg/mL	ns	ns	< 0.001*	2.3 + 0.2
	Energy-restricted normal-protein diet plus BCX	10	ns	ns		2.9 + 0.2
	Energy-restricted High Protein Diet		ns	ns		3.3 + 0.2
	Energy-restricted High Protein Diet plus BCX		ns	ns		$4.2 \pm 0.2$
Adiponectin continued						
Reference	Diet	Unit	Pre-	Post-	P value	Computed change
Dietary intervention plus supplementa	ation continued					
Pour et al. (2020) [77]	Healthy diet plus placebo	ng/mL	$15.63\pm6.97$	$15.72\pm6.36$	0.853	1.0%
	Healthy diet plus saffron supplement	-	$15.48\pm6.94$	$15.82\pm6.91$	0.6	2.0%
Rafie et al. (2020) [62]	NHLBI-EB Diet	Not specified	1.2 (0.8,1.7)	1.3 (1.0,1.7)	0.161	8.0%
	NHLBI-EB Diet plus ginger	•	1.2 (0.8,1.7)	1.3 (0.9,1.6)	0.150	8.0%
Rahmanabadi et al. (2019) [63]	Weight-control diet (NHLBI-EB)	µg/mL	11.6 + 6.1	10.7 + 4.7	0.250	-7.0%
	Weight-control diet (NHLBI-EB) plus α-LA supplement	10	11.9 + 5.9	14.4 + 7	0.022	21.0%
Lentin			<u> </u>	<u>~</u>		
	Di-t	TT:+	Due	Deet	D 1	
Reference	Diet	Unit	Pre-	Post-	P value	Computed change
Dietary intervention alone						
Marina et al. (2014) [83]	Low-fat diet	ng/mL	$13.9\pm10.4$	$15.1\pm10.4$	$\geq$ 0.05	8.6%
	High-fat diet		$17.3 \pm 11.1$	$16.8 \pm 12.6$	$\geq$ 0.05	-2.9%
Marin-Alejandre et al. (2019) [42]	Hypocaloric diet (AHA)	ng/mL	37.1 <u>+</u> 27	20.8 <u>+</u> 15.7	< 0.001	-44.00%
	Hypocaloric Mediterranean diet (FLIO)		38.8 <u>+</u> 30.1	$22.3 \pm 17.1$	< 0.001	-42.00%
Marin-Alejandre et al. (2021) [43]	Hypocaloric diet (AHA)	ng/mL	37.1 <u>+</u> 27	27.1 <u>+</u> 18	<0.05	-27.00%

-	Hypocaloric Mediterranean diet (FLIO)		$38.8 \pm 31$	$29.0 \pm 17$	< 0.01	-25.00%	
Dietary intervention plus cointerventi (aliora et al. (2016) [81]	lon Isocaloric diet Isocaloric diet + Corinthian currants	ng/mL	$63.5 \pm 48.6$ $95.9 \pm 81.6$	$55.2 \pm 39.4$ $85.2 \pm 76.8$	0.09 0.19	-13.1% -11.2%	
Dietary intervention plus supplement 3ehrouz et al. (2017) [67]	ation NHI.BI-FR Diet	nø/mľ.	75.8 + 26.9	74.4 + 26.2	0.629	-1.8%	
	NHLBI-EB Diet plus probiotic supplement	ò	$73.1 \pm 26.8$	$48.6\pm13.6$	$< 0.001^{*}$	-33.5%	
	NHLBI-EB Diet plus prebiotic supplement		$80.3\pm29.7$	$56.8 \pm 22.8$	$<0.001^{*}$	-29.3%	
Duseja et al. (2019) [59]	Hypocaloric diet	ng/mL	5.1 + 2.1	5.7 + 2.4	0.972	12.00%	
	Hypocaloric diet plus multistrain probiotic preparation	I	$5.7 \pm 2.1$	4.0 + 1.3	0.001	-30.00%	
<sup>2</sup> our et al. (2020) [77]	Healthy diet plus placebo	ng/mL	$2.14 \pm 1.85$	$1.74 \pm 1.56$	<0.001	-19.00%	
	Healthy diet plus saffron supplement	I	$\textbf{2.49} \pm \textbf{1.83}$	$1.73\pm1.65$	<0.001	-31.00%	
(31) (2013) (31) (31) (43) (43) (43)	Weight-control diet (NHLBI-EB) plus placebo	ng/mL	28.3 + 19.0	31.2 + 18.6	0.299	10.00%	
	Weight-control diet (NHLBI-EB) plus $\alpha$ -LA supplement	I	30.7 + 19.8	$24.9 \pm 16.3$	0.042	-19.00%	
alues are mean $\pm$ SD or % change (	calculated from means) unless otherwise indicated.						ı

<sup>2a</sup> Mean (minimum, maximum);

<sup>b</sup>Mean change + SEM.

<sup>3</sup>\*Statistically significant. P<0.05 significant; \*\* Multivariable adjusted.

AHA, American Heart Association; o.LA, alpha-lipoic acid; BCX,  $\beta$ -Cryptoxanthin; FLIO, Fatty Liver in Obesity; nd, no data provided; NHLBI-EB, National Heart Lung and Blood Institute-Energy 3alanced; NIHFN, National Institute of Health & Food & Nutrition; ns, nonsignificant. Advances in Nutrition 14 (2023) 475-499

improvement to adiponectin when used alone or in conjunction with: a cholesterol-lowering agent (P < 0.05) [51]; an oral hypoglycemic agent (P = 0.005) [53]; a ER NP diet, ER NP diet plus BCX, ER HP diet, or ER HP diet plus BCX (P < 0.001) [61]. An EB diet provided significant improvement to adiponectin when used alone (P = 0.005) or in conjunction with a probiotic supplement (P < 0.001) or a prebiotic supplement (P < 0.001) [67].

Leptin. Six studies reported a significant reduction of leptin levels following dietary intervention (P < 0.05) [42,43,59,63,67, 77] (Table 4).

Effect of diet alone. Two articles reporting data from the same study found that a hypocaloric Mediterranean (FLIO) diet and a hypocaloric (AHA) diet both lowered leptin at 6-mo (P < 0.001vs. P < 0.001, respectively) and 2-y (P < 0.01 vs.  $P \le 0.05$ , respectively) intervention timepoints ( $P \le 0.05$ ) [42,43].

Effect of diet vs. combined diet and cointervention, including *supplements*. Of the 5 studies that reported significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) improvements to leptin following dietary intervention plus a cointervention or supplement, 1 reported significant improvements to both intervention arms [77], 3 studies reported significant improvements to the dietary intervention plus supplement group only [59,63,67], and 1 study reported significant improvement to the diet-only intervention arm [81]. A hypocaloric or weight-control diet provided significant improvement to leptin when used in conjunction with: a multistrain probiotic supplement (P = 0.001) [59]; and  $\alpha$ -LA supplement (P = 0.042) [63]; but not when used alone. An energy-balanced diet provided significant improvement to leptin when used in conjunction with a probiotic or prebiotic supplement (P < 0.001) [67], and a healthy diet provided significant improvement when used alone (P < 0.001) or with a saffron supplement (P < 0.001) [77].

# **Meta-Analysis Results**

Two separate meta-analyses were conducted; the first assessed the effect of an isocaloric or hypocaloric diet vs. the same diet plus supplementation on hs-CRP (Figure 2); and the second assessed the effect of the same dietary interventions on TNF- $\alpha$  (Figure 3).

# Effect of isocaloric or hypocaloric diet vs. diet plus supplementation on hs-CRP

A meta-analysis of 24 trial arms from 12 studies [48,49,61,71, 75,76,79,56,58,60,73,77], with a total of 598 participants was conducted to assess the effect of dietary intervention with an isocaloric diet or a hypocaloric diet, alone or with supplementation, on hs-CRP (Figure 2). Meta-analysis favored the diet plus supplement group when an isocaloric diet (A) was the intervention (0.44; 95% CI: 0.20, 0.68; *P* = 0.0003) and no significant heterogeneity was detected ( $I^2 = 0\%$ , P = 0.56). Conversely, when a hypocaloric diet intervention (B) was used, the meta-analysis showed no significant weighting to either intervention (0.30; 95% CI: -0.84, 1.44; P = 0.60). Heterogeneity was significantly high ( $I^2 = 95\%$ , P < 0.00001).

# Effect of isocaloric or hypocaloric diet alone vs. diet and supplementation on TNF- $\alpha$

A meta-analysis of 30 trial arms from 15 studies [47-49, 58-60,62,66,71-74,76,77,79], with a total of 773 participants was conducted to assess the effect of dietary interventions with an isocaloric diet or a hypocaloric diet, alone or with supplementation, on TNF- $\alpha$  (Figure 3). Meta-analysis favored the diet plus supplement group when an isocaloric diet (A) was used for intervention (0.74; 95% CI: 0.02, 1.46; *P* = 0.03); however, heterogeneity was significantly high ( $I^2 = 87\%$ , P < 0.00001). Meta-analysis again showed no significant weighting to either intervention group when a hypocaloric diet was used for intervention (0.01: 95% CI: -0.43, 0.45; P = 0.97) and heterogeneity was significantly high ( $I^2 = 83\%$ , P < 0.00001).

### Sensitivity analysis

To understand and report on the robustness of the choices made in setting up the meta-analyses, a sensitivity analysis was conducted. Removal of studies with high overall risk of bias or which scored negatively on random sequence generation, selection, and allocation bias, did not significantly impact results for meta-analyses.

#### Publication bias

A.

Publication bias was checked via visual inspection of the funnel plot and the "fill and trim" method, though no indication of publication bias was detected.

#### Quality assessment of studies

The Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics Quality Criteria Checklist for Primary Research [23] was used to assess the quality of studies included in this review (Table 2). The assessment of internal and external biases for each study is shown in Figure 4. Of the 44 included studies, a total of 37 studies were deemed to be of positive (+) quality [41-47,50,51,53-58,60-75, 77,78,80-83] and 12 of those were rated positive in all sections [45,55,56,60,61,63, 65,67-71,77]. Seven studies were found to be of neutral (Ø) quality [40,48,49,52,59,76,79]. Twenty studies ranked negative (N) or unclear (Ø) because of inadequate or lack of blinding of participants or examiners [40-44,46-48,50-54, 76,78-83]. Although it is often impossible to blind participants of dietary intervention trials, blinding outcome assessors where

possible serves to strengthen the accuracy of results. The aforementioned studies that ranked negative for this section did not indicate any intention of blinding. Thirteen studies ranked negative (N) or unclear (Ø) for the method of handling withdrawals [42-44,48,50,54,57,59,72,78-80,83]. Eleven studies were ranked negative (N) or unclear (Ø) for inappropriate methods of statistical analysis [40,41,43,49,58,59,62,73,75,76, 79], which included not reporting intention to treat analysis, not performing a power calculation, and not adjusting for confounding factors. Eleven studies did not adequately describe intervention, exposure factors and/or therapeutic regimens [40, 41,44,46,48,51,52,58,59,74,76].

# Discussion

This is the first meta-analysis and most comprehensive literature review to have evaluated the effect of dietary interventions, with or without supplementation, on the inflammatory profile of patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Meta-analysis showed a hypocaloric diet, when used alone or with supplementation, was the most effective dietary intervention for the improvement of NAFLD-implicated inflammatory markers hs-CRP, IL-6, TNF-α, adiponectin, and leptin. The findings further suggest that weight loss was a key driver of those improvements, with all but 2 of the studies that intervened with a hypocaloric diet and were included for meta-analysis, reporting significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) weight loss in both dietary intervention groups [59,75]. Whereas, an isocaloric diet was found to be effective for improvement of the same inflammatory markers, only when used in combination with supplementation in the form of CLA [48], probiotic or prebiotic [56], N. sativa seed powder [58], ginger supplement [62,71], coffee bean extract [72], synbiotic (B. coagulans) [73], trans-resveratrol [60], and flaxseed [79]. These findings will be further explored in the discussion below.

А.										
	di	iet only		diet plus	suppleme	ntation		Std. Mean Difference		Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	) Total	Mean	SD	Tota	I Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	Year	IV, Random, 95% CI
Faghihzadeh et al. 2014 (60)	3.4	2.13	3 25	2.11	0.96	25	5 17.4%	0.77 [0.19, 1.34]	2014	_ <b>_</b>
Darand et al. 2019 (58)	4,509.86	3,288.4	1 21	3,514.22	3,982.89	22	2 16.0%	0.27 [-0.33, 0.87]	2019	- <b>+</b>
Abhari et al.2020 (73)	6,049.66	4,740	24	4,397.49	3,616.04	22	2 16.9%	0.38 [-0.20, 0.97]	2020	+
Behrouz et al. 2020 (56)	6.9	3.7	30	6.1	5	30	22.4%	0.18 [-0.33, 0.69]	2020	
Pour et al. 2020 (77)	4.37	3.9	38	2.49	2.25	38	3 27.3%	0.58 [0.12, 1.04]	2020	
Total (95% CI)			138			137	100.0%	0.44 [0.20, 0.68]		◆
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi	$^{2} = 3.00.$ d	f = 4 (P =	= 0.56):	$ ^2 = 0\%$						
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.60	P = 0.000	3)	,,						-4	-2 0 2 4
		-/								Favors diet alone Favors diet + supplement
		-								Otol Marca D/Kananaa
01	a	et only		alet plus si	upplementa	Tatal	3	td. Mean Difference		Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD I	otai	Mean	SD	Total	weight	IV, Random, 95% CI 1	rear	IV, Random, 95% CI
Malaguarnera et al. 2012 (49)	6	3	32	4.1	3.1	34	14.8%	0.62 [0.12, 1.11] 2	2012	
Rahimlou et al. 2016 (71)	2.8	0.2	21	3.4	0.1	23	13.6%	-3.78 [-4.80, -2.76] 2	2016	_
Abedi et al. 2018 (48)	3.4	4.1	19	2.6	3.8	19	14.5%	0.20 [-0.44, 0.84] 2	2018	
Haidari et al. 2020 (61)	3.4	0.1	23	3	0.1	23	13.5%	3.93 [2.91, 4.95] 2	2020	
Moradi et al. 2021 (76)	4.75	1.04	21	3.61	1.36	22	14.5%	0.92 [0.29, 1.55] 2	2021	
Yari et al. 2021 (79)	3.04	2.67	25	4.62	4.93	25	14.7%	-0.39 [-0.95, 0.17] 2	2021	
Kavyani et al. 2021 (75)	10.23	2.37	18	8.81	2.29	18	14.4%	0.60 [-0.07, 1.27] 2	2021	
Total (95% CI)			159			164	100.0%	0.30 [-0.84, 1.44]		
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 2.23; Cl	hi² = 122.4	41, df = 6	6 (P < 0.	.00001); l² =	= 95%				-4	-2 0 2 4
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.52$	2(P = 0.60)	))							-4	Equara diat aphy Equals diat + aupplement

FIGURE 2. Meta-analyses for subgroup of selected studies assessing the effect of an isocaloric diet and isocaloric diet plus supplementation (A) and hypocaloric diet and hypo caloric diet plus supplement (B) on hs-CRP.

r	۱.		

	d	diet only diet plus supplementation Std. Mean Difference									Std. Mean Difference					
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI Year		IV, Random, 95% CI						
Faghihzadeh et al. 2014 (60)	20.62	10.88	25	16.25	5.47	25	20.3%	0.50 [-0.06, 1.06] 2014			-					
Darand et al. 2019 (58)	17.44	1.77	21	14.02	1.91	22	18.8%	1.82 [1.10, 2.54] 2019			-					
Abhari et al.2020 (73)	16.95	2.37	24	14	1.29	22	19.4%	1.50 [0.84, 2.16] 2020			-					
Pour et al. 2020 (77)	181.6	96.29	38	193.15	95.1	38	21.3%	-0.12 [-0.57, 0.33] 2020								
Rafie et al. 2020 (62)	11.57	1.23	23	11.4	1.4	23	20.2%	0.13 [-0.45, 0.71] 2020		_	-					
Total (95% CI)			131			130	100.0%	0.74 [0.02, 1.46]			•					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.58; Ch	ni² = 30.4	5, df =	4 (P < 0	.00001); I <sup>2</sup> =	87%											
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.00	(P = 0.0	)5)							-4	Favors diet alone	Favors diet ⊣	4 F supplement				

В.															
	di	et only		diet plus s	supplement	tation		Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference						
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI Year	IV, Random, 95% CI						
Spadaro et al. 2008 (47)	3	0.7	18	2.7	0.5	18	9.6%	0.48 [-0.18, 1.15] 2008							
Malaguarnera et al. 2012 (49)	1.12	0.31	29	0.83	0.36	34	10.5%	0.85 [0.33, 1.37] 2012							
Rahimlou et al. 2016 (71)	2.8	0.2	21	3.5	0.4	23	9.0%	-2.14 [-2.90, -1.39] 2016							
Amanat et al. 2017 (66)	1.8	2.6	37	1.6	2.4	41	10.9%	0.08 [-0.37, 0.52] 2017							
Shahmoham-madi et al.2017 (72)	8.8	4.1	22	8.6	5	22	10.1%	0.04 [-0.55, 0.63] 2017							
Abedi et al. 2018 (48)	25.57	22.83	19	37.53	51.13	19	9.7%	-0.30 [-0.94, 0.34] 2018							
Duseja et al. 2019 (59)	243.15	167.1	13	107.8	94.4	17	8.9%	1.01 [0.24, 1.78] 2019	_ <b></b>						
Ferro et al. 2020 (74)	1.53	0.8	41	2.04	2.4	45	11.0%	-0.28 [-0.70, 0.15] 2020							
Moradi et al. 2021 (76)	77.2	37.4	21	70.2	39.4	22	10.0%	0.18 [-0.42, 0.78] 2021							
Yari et al. 2021 (79)	20.16	5.93	25	19.87	4.66	25	10.3%	0.05 [-0.50, 0.61] 2021	-						
Total (95% CI)			246			266	100.0%	0.01 [-0.43, 0.45]	+						
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.41; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 5	52.61, df :	= 9 (P <	0.0000	1); l <sup>2</sup> = 83%											
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.04 (P =	0.97)								-4 -2 0 2 4 Favors diet only Favors diet + supplement						

**FIGURE 3.** Meta-analysis by subgroup of selected studies on the effect of an isocaloric diet (A) or hypocaloric diet (B) on TNF- $\alpha$  when used alone or in conjunction with a supplement.

The effect of dietary interventions with the addition of supplementation was investigated by the majority of studies included in this review, with dietary intervention alone being the primary outcome of only 8 studies [42-46,54,55,80,83]. The meta-analysis demonstrated that hypocaloric diets provided significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) improvements in hs-CRP and TNF- $\alpha$  when used alone or with supplementation. In contrast, an isocaloric diet provided significant (P < 0.05) improvements in hs-CRP and TNF- $\alpha$  only when combined with supplementation. Isocaloric diets alone did not elicit the same benefits. Noteworthy results included a multistrain probiotic used in combination with a hypocaloric diet that showed significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) improvement to IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$ , and leptin, when the diet-only group showed no improvement of the same inflammatory markers [59]. The results were in agreement with previous research, where a potential benefit for probiotic supplementation for the improvement of leptin levels, had been initially identified in mouse models [84] and further supported in human clinical trials [67]. Malaguarnera et al. [49] reported a similar result for hs-CRP where a significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) improvement was shown for a hypocaloric diet plus probiotic and prebiotic group, but not for the diet-only group. Indeed, synbiotic supplementation has gained a great deal of attention as a potential effective adjunct therapy to the dietary management of NAFLD, with minimal side effects. In 2019, a literature review and meta-analysis of 11 databases from 7 RCTs revealed that synbiotic supplementation had favorable effects on inflammatory markers hs-CRP and TNF-a, as well as liver enzymes, some anthropometric indices, lipid profiles, and glucose homeostasis parameters in patients with NAFLD [85], syn-, preand probiotics are proposed to exert a favorable effect via modulation of NAFLD-associated gut dysbiosis; promoting increased production of SCFAs; reduction in lipopolysaccharide (LPS) production; decreased activation of NF-KB pathway,

resulting cytokine release and inflammatory response [86]. The beneficial effect of synbiotic supplementation was further supported in another review and meta-analysis that analyzed the effect of microbial therapy on markers of inflammation in NAFLD-diagnosed participants [87]. The review reported a significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) improvement of hs-CRP and TNF- $\alpha$  that resulted from intervention with a synbiotic supplement that did not occur for the placebo groups [87]. This serves to highlight the critical role of gut microbiota in the pathogenesis of various metabolic diseases (including NAFLD) and the potential for use of syn/pre/probiotics as a supplementary approach for the future management of NAFLD. However, further studies of longer duration, with greater homogeneity between diet and supplementary interventions, are needed to inform the efficacy and role of synbiotic supplementation as an adjunct therapy for NAFLD over the long term [85,87].

An isocaloric diet (specifically, the NHLBI-EB diet recommendations), that was well represented within this and the original review, was shown to provide significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) improvement to inflammatory cytokines hs-CRP, IL-6, and TNF- $\alpha$ , when combined with supplementation such as CLA [48], probiotic or prebiotic [56], N. sativa seed powder [58], ginger supplement [62,71], coffee bean extract [72], synbiotic (B. coagulans) [73], trans-resveratrol [60], and flaxseed [79]. Noteworthy results of those interventions with supplementation included a significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) improvement of hs-CRP, IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$ , and NF- $\kappa$ B, that did not occur in the diet-only group of an intervention with NHLBI-EB diet plus trans-resveratrol supplement [60]. Resveratrol is a polyphenol whose primary benefit is its antioxidant properties, in addition, it has been purported to provide benefits such as neuro- and cardio-protection and has been shown in mouse models to ameliorate hepatic steatosis and insulin resistance in NAFLD [88]. However, the mechanisms

		RELE	/ANCE		VALIDITY										
Study (Ref)	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Rank
Abedi et al. (2018) <sup>45</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	ø	ø	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	ø
Abhari et al. (2020) <sup>70</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	ø	Y	Y	+
Amanat et al. (2017)63	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	+
Bakhshimoghaddam et al. (2018) <sup>75</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	+
Baldry et al. (2017) <sup>43</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	ø	Y	Y	Y	Y	+
Behrouz et al. (2017) <sup>64</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	+
Behrouz et al. (2020) <sup>53</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	+
Cerletti et al. (2020) <sup>54</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	ø	Y	Y	ø	Y	Y	Y	+
Chan et al. (2010) <sup>48</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	ø	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	+
Darand et al. (2019) <sup>55</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	ø	Y	Y	ø	Y	N	Y	Y	+
Duseja et al. (2019) <sup>56</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	ø	Y	ø	Y	N	Y	N	ø
Eslami et al. (2019) <sup>37</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	ø	ø	N	Y	Y	ø
Eslamparast et al. (2014) <sup>65</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	+
Faghihzadeh et al. (2014) <sup>57</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	+
Garinis et al. (2010) <sup>50</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	ø	+
Ferro et al. (2020) <sup>71</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	ø	Y	Y	Y	ø	+
Haidari et al. (2020) <sup>58</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	+
Kaliora et al. (2016) <sup>78</sup>	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	+
Kani et al. (2014) <sup>42</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	+
Kavyani et al. (2021) <sup>72</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	+
Kugelmas et al. (2003) <sup>47</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	+
Malaguarnera et al. (2010) <sup>66</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	+
Malaguarnera et al. (2012) <sup>46</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Ŷ	Y	ø	ø	Y	Ŷ	Y	Y	N	N	Y	ø
Maleki et al. (2019) <sup>38</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	ø	Y	N	Y	Y	+
Marin-Alejandre et al. (2019) <sup>39</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Ŷ	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Ŷ	Y	Y	Y	+
Marin-Alejandre et al. (2021) <sup>40</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Ŷ	Y	Y	Y	N	ø	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	+
Marina et al. (2014) <sup>80</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	+
Markova et al. (2016) <sup>77</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	ø	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	+
Mofidi et al. (2017) 67	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	+
Moradi et al. (2021) 73	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	ø	Y	Y	N	ø	Y	N	Y	Y	ø
Nomi-Golzar et al. (2021) <sup>49</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	ø	N	Y	ø	ø	Y	Y	Y	Y	ø
Pour et al. (2020) <sup>74</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	+
Rafie et al. (2020) <sup>59</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	+
Rahmanabadi et al. (2019) <sup>60</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	+

**FIGURE 4.** Individual quality assessment of studies according to Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics Quality Criteria Checklist. <sup>1</sup>KEY: Category – Relevance: 1. Implementation; 2. Importance; 3. Common Concern; 4. Feasibility. Category – Validity: 1. Clarity of research question; 2. Selection bias; 3. Study groups comparable; 4. Attrition; 5. Blinding; 6. Transparent and parallel interventions; 7. Validity and reliability of outcomes; 8. Statistical analyses; 9. Conclusions, biases, and limitations adequately described; 10. Funding or sponsorship bias. <sup>2</sup>Y, yes; N, no; Ø, unclear

Rahimlou et al. (2016) <sup>68</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	+
Razavi-Zade et al. (2016) <sup>52</sup>	γ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	γ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	+
Rezaei et al. (2019) <sup>61</sup>	γ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Z	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	+
Rezaei et al. (2020) <sup>62</sup>	γ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	γ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	γ	+
Ristic-Medic et al. (2021) <sup>41</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Z	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	+
Shahmohammadi et al. (2017) <sup>69</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	+
Sofi et al. (2010) <sup>51</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	ø	Ø	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	+
Spadaro et al. (2008) <sup>44</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	ø	+
Yari et al. (2016) <sup>79</sup>	γ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Z	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	+
Yari et al. (2021) <sup>76</sup>	Ŷ	Y	Y	Ŷ	ø	Ŷ	ø	ø	N	Y	Y	N	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ø

FIGURE 4. (continued).

underlying the reported benefits of resveratrol are not fully understood, and appear to be related mainly to its antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties [60]. This is also true of the reported benefits for supplementation with CLA [48], N. sativa seed powder [58], ginger supplement [62,71], coffee bean extract [72], and flaxseed [79], with most proposing antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, antilipidemic and/or insulin sensitizing properties, without fully elucidating the underlying mechanisms or mode of action behind said properties. The NHLBI-EB diet independent of supplementation was reported to provide a significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) improvement to hs-CRP in 2 studies only [56, 71], and to TNF- $\alpha$  in 2 studies only [58,71]. There is still no consensus for the use of supplementation, or safe long-term dosage for specific outcomes related to treatment of NAFLD, or indeed the long-term effectiveness of those individual supplements [16,19]. The current review highlighted the heterogeneity between the included studies and the proposed mechanisms of action and/or pathways by which inflammation and hepatic steatosis are being mediated. Therefore, to better understand the complex pathophysiology of chronic inflammation in NAFLD, and to establish a benefit for supplementation that is distinguishable from that of the dietary intervention, more targeted approaches for longer-term clinical trials are required.

A hypocaloric Mediterranean diet was shown to significantly  $(P \le 0.05)$  improve hs-CRP and leptin, as did a hypocaloric NIHFN diet alone [42-44]. Adiponectin levels increased more significantly (P < 0.05) with the hypocaloric Mediterranean diet than with the AHA diet [42,43]. The improvement shown to adiponectin and hs-CRP has previously been attributed to weight loss, believed to be driven by a decrease of adipocyte-released cytokines such as IL-6 and has been reported in other literature, and also in the original review [20,89]. However, 1 study included in the updated review investigated the effect of a Mediterranean diet independent of weight loss. The study by Cerletti et al. [57] reported a similar decrease to hs-CRP by both Mediterranean diet alone and with supplementation, albeit those results were nonsignificant. The beneficial effect of Mediterranean diet, independent of weight loss, on biomarkers of inflammation was further supported in a previous literature review and meta-analysis that analyzed the effect of various dietary patterns on key inflammatory cytokines and adipokines [14]. The review reported improvement of most inflammatory

markers, but particularly hs-CRP, adiponectin, and IL-6, in those interventions that had applied a Mediterranean diet [14]. The recommendation for weight loss coupled with adherence to a Mediterranean diet is supported by the European Association for the Study of Liver (EASL) Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Management of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease [16]. The current updated review may provide reason to further investigate whether adherence to a Mediterranean diet will result in improvement to the aforementioned inflammatory markers that is independent of weight loss.

Physical activity of moderate intensity is recommended to attenuate weight gain in patients with NAFLD, with an inverse relationship being reported between more vigorous activity and severity of disease [90]. Physical activity of 150-200 min per week, of moderate-intensity exercise such as brisk walking is recommended by EASL guidelines, with vigorous exercise suggested to provide a greater benefit for NASH and fibrosis [16]. Of the studies included in the current updated review, those adhering to an energy-balanced diet were recommended to exercise for 30 min at least 3 times a week. For those reporting hypocaloric dietary intervention, the recommendation was increased to 30–45 min for  $\geq$ 5 d per week; however, a number of included studies neglected to report exercise recommendations, or where they were reported, were missing detail. To distinguish the true impact of dietary intervention, it is recommended that future studies provide tighter controlled and better monitored recommendations for physical activity.

This meta-analysis and systematic review have several *strengths*. The review adhered to the inclusion criteria of the original literature review by Reddy et al. [20], and therefore, presented a population that was reflective of and generalizable to NAFLD. Furthermore, the method of diagnosis and reporting of NAFLD in all included studies was by validated methods. A final strength was that all included studies used a standalone comparison group of diet only to compare with the intervention group.

The current meta-analysis and systematic review have a number of *limitations*. The first being the heterogeneity of interventions of the included studies that limited the opportunity for further meta-analysis. The inclusion of studies that intervened with both diet and supplementation made it difficult to isolate the effect of dietary intervention from that of the dietary intervention with supplementation. Of the studies that

#### R.L. Hall et al.

recommended an EB diet compared to an EB diet plus supplementation, many did not report dietary intake of the sample at the end-intervention to assess changes in diet alone. Moreover, some used inaccurate tools of measurement such as 24-h recall to assess dietary intake at each timepoint. Within this review, the diagnostic tools used to characterize NAFLD varied, and most studies did not employ the gold-standard liver biopsy. NAFLD is assessed in many ways across community and clinical settings, with the use of LFTs, US, MR-S, and the use of indexes or scores [91]. To conduct a review on this topic limiting to gold-standard biopsy would result in few papers being included. The many assessment methods used across the study is a limitation in the interpretation of findings but is reflective of this topic area.

The impact of physical activity was often vaguely reported or not reported, meaning its effect was indistinguishable from that of the dietary intervention or diet and supplementation. Length of intervention of 8 wk or less was a limitation of 11 included studies, where it was acknowledged that a longer intervention time was required to show the true effects of the intervention [40,41,45,46,48,52,55,66,72,80,83]. Sample size varied markedly across the included studies; however, was particularly noted for 9 of the included studies, where small numbers at baseline, together with patient attrition prevented the long-term follow-up of primary outcomes [44,47,48,50,51,54,59,80,83]. A significant number of scientific contributions to this topic came from Iran, evidenced by the 27 studies included in this review. Although the prevalence of NAFLD in Iran is consistent with the global estimated prevalence of disease [1], the high representation of individuals from the same country of origin may be considered an unconscious extrinsic bias [92]. Currently, it is challenging to draw conclusions regarding the extent to which geographic bias elicits skewed or improper results [92]. Despite the geographic imbalance present in this review, all 27 studies met the inclusion criteria, 22 studies received a positive quality assessment rating and only 5 studies were deemed neutral (indicating that the report was neither exceptionally strong nor exceptionally weak). Importantly, aside from studies published by the same author during different years, these studies were conducted by different departments, institutions, and/or healthcare facilities within Iran.

This meta-analysis and review is a comprehensive review of the literature including a meta-analysis, which highlights the likely role inflammation plays in NAFLD management. This review highlights the need for high quality, RCTs, of longer durations ( $\geq 6$  mo), involving large cohorts of patients with NAFLD, and dietary interventions independent of supplementation, to assess the efficacy of dietary interventions alone on inflammatory outcomes in patients with NAFLD [93]. The assessment of dietary intervention independent of weight loss on adipokines and cytokines involved in the progression of NAFLD, is needed to further inform clinical practice.

### Conclusion

Hypocaloric or ER diets, either Mediterranean, AHA, NIHFN or NCEP-ATPIII, with or without supplementation, demonstrated improvements in circulating levels of inflammatory cytokines and adipokines in a NAFLD population. However, weight loss appeared to be the key driver of those effects. Isocaloric or energy-balanced dietary interventions provided improvements to the same inflammatory cytokines and adipokines when coupled with supplementation but, did not elicit the same benefits from intervention with same diet alone.

# Author disclosures

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

# Acknowledgments

All authors conceptualized and designed this review. RLH and AJR conducted the search process and data extraction. RLH and AJR contributed to data analysis and interpretation. RLH drafted the manuscript, and all authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

# Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advnut.2023.01.001.

# **Registration and protocol**

Update to original literature review (http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO; registration no.: CRD42017055921), Protocol may be viewed on request from author.

# Data availability

Template data collection forms and extraction tables may be viewed upon request from the authors.

### References

- [1] Z.M. Younossi, A.B. Koenig, D. Abdelatif, Y. Fazel, L. Henry, M. Wymer, Global epidemiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease-meta-analytic assessment of prevalence, incidence, and outcomes, Hepatology 64 (1) (2016) 73–84.
- [2] Z. Younossi, Q.M. Anstee, M. Marietti, T. Hardy, L. Henry, M. Eslam, et al., Global burden of NAFLD and NASH: trends, predictions, risk factors and prevention, Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 15 (1) (2018) 11–20.
- [3] D.Q. Huang, H.B. El-Serag, R. Loomba, Global epidemiology of NAFLDrelated HCC: trends, predictions, risk factors and prevention, Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 18 (4) (2021) 223–238.
- [4] M. Demir, S. Lang, H.-M. Steffen, Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease—current status and future directions, J Dig Dis 16 (10) (2015) 541–557.
- [5] P. Angulo, J.C. Keach, K.P. Batts, K.D. Lindor, Independent predictors of liver fibrosis in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, Hepatology 30 (6) (1999) 1356–1362.
- [6] N. Chalasani, Z. Younossi, J.E. Lavine, M. Charlton, K. Cusi, M. Rinella, et al., The diagnosis and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: practice guidance from the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, Hepatology 67 (1) (2018) 328–357.
- [7] H. Tilg, A.R. Moschen, Evolution of inflammation in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: the multiple parallel hits hypothesis, Hepatology 52 (5) (2010) 1836–1846.
- [8] H. Tilg, T.E. Adolph, A.R. Moschen, Multiple parallel hits hypothesis in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: revisited after a decade, Hepatology 73 (2) (2021) 833–842.
- [9] S.A. Polyzos, J. Kountouras, C.S. Mantzoros, Obesity and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: from pathophysiology to therapeutics, Metabolism 92 (2019) 82–97.
- [10] T.E. Adolph, C. Grander, F. Grabherr, H. Tilg, Adipokines and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: multiple interactions, Int J Mol Sci 18 (8) (2017) 1649.

- [11] C. Boutari, N. Perakakis, C.S. Mantzoros, Association of adipokines with development and progression of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, Endocrinol Metab 33 (1) (2018) 33.
- [12] C.-H. Chiang, C.-C. Huang, W.-L. Chan, J.-W. Chen, H.-B. Leu, The severity of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease correlates with high sensitivity C-reactive protein value and is independently associated with increased cardiovascular risk in healthy population, Clin Biochem 43 (18) (2010) 1399–1404.
- [13] R. Kumar, Y. Porwal, N. Dev, P. Kumar, S. Chakravarthy, A. Kumawat, Association of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) in Asian Indians: a cross-sectional study, J Family Med Prim Care 9 (1) (2020) 390–394.
- [14] J. Barbaresko, M. Koch, M.B. Schulze, U. Nöthlings, Dietary pattern analysis and biomarkers of low-grade inflammation: a systematic literature review, Nutr Rev 71 (8) (2013) 511–527.
- [15] S. Leoni, F. Tovoli, L. Napoli, I. Serio, S. Ferri, L. Bolondi, Current guidelines for the management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a systematic review with comparative analysis, World J Gastroenterol 24 (30) (2018) 3361–3373.
- [16] G. Marchesini, C.P. Day, J.-F. Dufour, A. Canbay, V. Nobili, V. Ratziu, et al., EASL-EASD-EASO clinical practice guidelines for the management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, Obes Facts 9 (2) (2016) 65–90.
- [17] K. Purcell, P. Sumithran, L.A. Prendergast, C.J. Bouniu, E. Delbridge, J. Proietto, The effect of rate of weight loss on long-term weight management: a randomised controlled trial, Lancet Global Health 2 (12) (2014) 954–962.
- [18] E.S. George, A. Forsyth, C. Itsiopoulos, A.J. Nicoll, M. Ryan, S. Sood, et al., Practical dietary recommendations for the prevention and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in adults, Adv Nutr 9 (1) (2018) 30–40.
- [19] A.F.G. Cicero, A. Colletti, S. Bellentani, Nutraceutical approach to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD): the available clinical evidence, Nutrients 10 (9) (2018) 1153.
- [20] A.J. Reddy, E.S. George, S.K. Roberts, A.C. Tierney, Effect of dietary intervention, with or without co-interventions, on inflammatory markers in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a systematic literature review, Nutr Rev 77 (11) (2019) 765–786.
- [21] M.J. Page, J.E. McKenzie, P.M. Bossuyt, I. Boutron, T.C. Hoffmann, C.D. Mulrow, et al., The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews, BMJ 372 (2021) n71.
- [22] P.W. Stone, Popping the (PICO) question in research and evidencebased practice, Appl Nursi Res 15 (3) (2002) 197–198.
- [23] Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, Evidence analysis manual: steps in the academy evidence analysis process, Acad Nutr Diet 2022 (2016).
- [24] T. Merlin, A. Weston, R. Tooher, P. Middleton, J. Salisbury, K. Coleman, NHMRC levels of evidence and grades for recommendations for developers of guidelines, National Health and Medical Research Council, Canberra, ACT, 2009. Australian Government.
- [25] I. Arslan, T. Ulas, E.Y. Karakas, M. Demir, M.A. Eren, A. Torun, et al., Comparative effectiveness of diet alone and diet plus metformin treatment on omentin levels in type 2 diabetes patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a prospective randomized trial, Period Biol 119 (1) (2017) 9–15.
- [26] M. Daneshi-Maskooni, S.A. Keshavarz, M. Qorbani, S. Mansouri, S.M. Alavian, M. Badri-Fariman, et al., Green cardamom increases Sirtuin-1 and reduces inflammation in overweight or obese patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a double-blind randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial, Nutr Metabol 15 (1) (2018) 63.
- [27] M.A. Pervez, D.A. Khan, A. Ijaz, S. Khan, Effects of delta-tocotrienol supplementation on liver enzymes, inflammation, oxidative stress and hepatic steatosis in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, Turkish J Gastroenterol 29 (2) (2018) 170–176.
- [28] M.A. Pervez, D.A. Khan, A.U.R. Slehria, A. Ijaz, Delta-tocotrienol supplementation improves biochemical markers of hepatocellular injury and steatosis in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial, Complement Ther Med 52 (2020), 102494.
- [29] S. Amiri-Moghadam, M. Nematy, S. Eghtesadi, M. Khalili, M. Mojarrad, S. Jazayeri, et al., Effects of L-carnitine supplementation on inflammatory factors and malondialdehyde in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), Curr Top Nutraceut Res 13 (3) (2015) 135–141.
- [30] S.A. Jazayeri-Tehrani, S.M. Rezayat, S. Mansouri, M. Qorbani, S.M. Alavian, M. Daneshi-Maskooni, et al., Nano-curcumin improves glucose indices, lipids, inflammation, and Nesfatin in overweight and obese patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD): a double-

blind randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial, Nutr Metab 16 (1) (2019) 8.

- [31] F. Askari, B. Rashidkhani, A. Hekmatdoost, Cinnamon may have therapeutic benefits on lipid profile, liver enzymes, insulin resistance, and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease patients, Nutr Res 34 (2) (2014) 143–148.
- [32] G. Ekhlasi, M. Zarrati, S. Agah, A.F. Hosseini, S. Hosseini, S. Shidfar, et al., Effects of symbiotic and vitamin E supplementation on blood pressure, nitric oxide and inflammatory factors in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, EXCLI J 16 (2017) 278–290.
- [33] S. Hosseinabadi, M. Rafraf, S. Asghari, M. Asghari-Jafarabadi, S. Vojouhi, Effect of green coffee extract supplementation on serum adiponectin concentration and lipid profile in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a randomized, controlled trial, Complement Ther Med 49 (2020), 102290.
- [34] S. Hosseinabadi, M. Rafraf, A. Mahmoodzadeh, M. Asghari-Jafarabadi, S. Asghari, Effects of green coffee extract supplementation on glycemic indexes, leptin, and obesity values in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, J Herbal Med 22 (2020), 100340.
- [35] Y.L. Chiou, C.C. Chyau, T.J. Li, C.F. Kuo, Y.Y. Kang, C.C. Chen, et al., Hepatoprotective effect of antrodia cinnamomea mycelium in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: a randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial, J Am College Nutr 40 (4) (2021) 349–357.
- [36] Y.H. Li, L.H. Yang, K.H. Sha, T.G. Liu, L.G. Zhang, X.X. Liu, Efficacy of poly-unsaturated fatty acid therapy on patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, World J Gastroenterol 21 (22) (2015) 7008–7013.
- [37] C. Tufanaru, Z. Munn, M. Stephenson, E. Aromataris, Fixed or random effects meta-analysis? Common methodological issues in systematic reviews of effectiveness, Int J Evid Based Healthc 13 (3) (2015) 196–207.
- [38] J. Higgins, S. Green, C. Cochrane, I. Wiley, I. NetLibrary, Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions, Wiley-Blackwell, Hoboken, NJ, 2008.
- [39] S. Duval, R. Tweedie, Trim and fill: a simple funnel-plot-based method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis, Biometrics 56 (2) (2000) 455–463.
- [40] O. Eslami, F. Shidfar, Z. Maleki, S. Jazayeri, A.F. Hosseini, S. Agah, et al., Effect of soy milk on metabolic status of patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a randomized clinical trial, J Am Coll Nutr 38 (1) (2019) 51–58.
- [41] Z. Maleki, S. Jazayeri, O. Eslami, F. Shidfar, A.F. Hosseini, S. Agah, et al., Effect of soy milk consumption on glycemic status, blood pressure, fibrinogen and malondialdehyde in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a randomized controlled trial, Complement Ther Med 44 (2019) 44–50.
- [42] B.A. Marin-Alejandre, I. Abete, I. Cantero, J.I. Monreal, M. Elorz, J.I. Herrero, et al., The metabolic and hepatic impact of two personalized dietary strategies in subjects with obesity and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: the Fatty Liver in Obesity (FLiO) randomized controlled trial, Nutrients 11 (10) (2019) 2543.
- [43] B.A. Marin-Alejandre, I. Cantero, N. Perez-Diaz-Del-Campo, J.I. Monreal, M. Elorz, J.I. Herrero, et al., Effects of two personalized dietary strategies during a 2-year intervention in subjects with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a randomized trial, Liver Int 41 (7) (2021) 1532–1544.
- [44] D. Ristic-Medic, M. Kovacic, M. Takic, A. Arsic, S. Petrovic, M. Paunovic, et al., Calorie-restricted mediterranean and low-fat diets affect fatty acid status in individuals with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, Nutrients 13 (1) (2020) 15.
- [45] A.H. Kani, S.M. Alavian, A. Esmaillzadeh, P. Adibi, L. Azadbakht, Effects of a novel therapeutic diet on liver enzymes and coagulating factors in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a parallel randomized trial, Nutrition 30 (7/8) (2014) 814–821.
- [46] E.L. Baldry, G.P. Aithal, P. Kaye, I.R. Idris, A. Bennett, P.C. Leeder, et al., Effects of short-term energy restriction on liver lipid content and inflammatory status in severely obese adults: results of a randomized controlled trial using 2 dietary approaches, Diabetes Obes Metab 19 (8) (2017) 1179–1183.
- [47] L. Spadaro, O. Magliocco, D. Spampinato, S. Piro, C. Oliveri, C. Alagona, et al., Effects of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in subjects with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, Diges Liver Dis 40 (3) (2008) 194–199.
- [48] R. Abedi, S.R. Aref-Hosseini, M. Khoshbaten, M. Ebrahimi-Mameghani, H.J. Laleh, F. Jalalypour, et al., The effect of conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) on inflammatory factors in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD): a randomized controlled clinical trial, Progr Nutr 20 (2018) 173–181.

- [49] M. Malaguarnera, M. Malaguarnera, M. Vacante, M. Vacante, T. Antic, T. Antic, et al., Bifidobacterium longum with fructo-oligosaccharides in patients with non alcoholic steatohepatitis, Dig Dis Sci 57 (2) (2012) 545–553.
- [50] M. Kugelmas, D.B. Hill, B. Vivian, L. Marsano, C.J. McClain, Cytokines and NASH: a pilot study of the effects of lifestyle modification and vitamin E, Hepatology 38 (2) (2003) 413–419.
- [51] D.C. Chan, G.F. Watts, S.K. Gan, E.M.M. Ooi, P.H.R. Barrett, Effect of ezetimibe on hepatic fat, inflammatory markers, and apolipoprotein B-100 kinetics in insulin-resistant obese subjects on a weight loss diet, Diab Care 33 (5) (2010) 1134–1139.
- [52] S. Nomi-Golzar, S. Mahboob, S. Tavakkoli, M. Asghari Jafarabadi, K. Rezazadeh, E. Vaghef-Mehrabany, et al., Effects of hydroxy citric acid on body weight and serum hepcidin level in women with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease a randomized clinical trial, Adv Integr Med 8 (2) (2021) 122–128.
- [53] G.A. Garinis, B. Fruci, A. Mazza, M. De Siena, S. Abenavoli, E. Gulletta, et al., Metformin versus dietary treatment in nonalcoholic hepatic steatosis: a randomized study, Int J Obes 34 (8) (2010) 1255–1264.
- [54] F. Sofi, I. Giangrandi, F. Cesari, I. Corsani, R. Abbate, G.F. Gensini, et al., Effects of a 1-year dietary intervention with n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid-enriched olive oil on non-alcoholic fatty liver disease patients: a preliminary study, Int J Food Sci Nutr 61 (8) (2010) 792–802.
- [55] M. Razavi Zade, M.H. Telkabadi, F. Bahmani, B. Salehi, S. Farshbaf, Z. Asemi, The effects of DASH diet on weight loss and metabolic status in adults with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a randomized clinical trial, Liver Int 36 (2016) 563–571.
- [56] V. Behrouz, N. Aryaeian, M.J. Zahedi, S. Jazayeri, Effects of probiotic and prebiotic supplementation on metabolic parameters, liver aminotransferases, and systemic inflammation in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a randomized clinical trial, J Food Sci 85 (10) (2020) 3611–3617.
- [57] C. Cerletti, M. Colucci, M. Storto, F. Semeraro, C.T. Ammollo, F. Incampo, et al., Randomised trial of chronic supplementation with a nutraceutical mixture in subjects with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, Br J Nutr 123 (2) (2020) 190–197.
- [58] M. Darand, Z. Darabi, Z. Yari, S. Saadati, M. Hedayati, A. Khoncheh, et al., *Nigella sativa* and inflammatory biomarkers in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: results from a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, clinical trial, Complement Ther Med 44 (2019) 204–209.
- [59] A. Duseja, S.K. Acharya, M. Mehta, S. Chhabra, S. Rana, A. Das, et al., High potency multistrain probiotic improves liver histology in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD): a randomised, double-blind, proof of concept study, BMJ Open Gastroenterol 6 (1) (2019) e000315–e.
- [60] F. Faghihzadeh, P. Adibi, R. Rafiei, A. Hekmatdoost, Resveratrol supplementation improves inflammatory biomarkers in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, Nutr Res 34 (10) (2014) 837–843.
- [61] F. Haidari, A. Hojhabrimanesh, B. Helli, S.-S. Seyedian, K. Ahmadi-Angali, An energy-restricted high-protein diet supplemented with β-cryptoxanthin alleviated oxidative stress and inflammation in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a randomized controlled trial, Nutr Res 73 (2020) 15–26.
- [62] R. Rafie, S.A. Hosseini, E. Hajiani, A. Saki Malehi, S.A. Mard, Effect of ginger powder supplementation in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a randomized clinical trial, Clin Exp Gastroenterol 13 (2020) 35–45.
- [63] A. Rahmanabadi, S. Mahboob, F. Amirkhizi, S. Hosseinpour-Arjmand, M. Ebrahimi-Mameghani, Oral α-lipoic acid supplementation in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: effects on adipokines and liver histology features, Food Function 10 (8) (2019) 4941–4952.
- [64] S. Rezaei, M. Akhlaghi, M.R. Sasani, R. Barati Boldaji, Olive oil lessened fatty liver severity independent of cardiometabolic correction in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a randomized clinical trial, Nutrition 57 (2019) 154–161.
- [65] S. Rezaei, M.R. Sasani, M. Akhlaghi, A. Kohanmoo, Flaxseed oil in the context of a weight loss programme ameliorates fatty liver grade in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a randomised doubleblind controlled trial, Br J Nutr 123 (9) (2020) 994–1002.
- [66] S. Amanat, M.H. Eftekhari, M. Fararouei, K. Bagheri Lankarani, S.J. Massoumi, Genistein supplementation improves insulin resistance and inflammatory state in non-alcoholic fatty liver patients: a randomized, controlled trial, Clinical Nutrition 37 (4) (2018) 1210–1215.
- [67] V. Behrouz, S. Jazayeri, N. Aryaeian, M.J. Zahedi, F. Hosseini, Effects of probiotic and prebiotic supplementation on leptin, adiponectin, and

glycemic parameters in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a randomized clinical trial, Middle East J Dig Dis 9 (3) (2017) 151–159.

- [68] T. Eslamparast, H. Poustchi, F. Zamani, M. Sharafkhah, R. Malekzadeh, A. Hekmatdoost, Synbiotic supplementation in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot study, Am J Clin Nutr 99 (3) (2014) 535–542.
- [69] M. Malaguarnera, M.P. Gargante, C. Russo, T. Antic, M. Vacante, M. Malaguarnera, et al., L-carnitine supplementation to diet: a new tool in treatment of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis–a randomized and controlled clinical trial, Am J Gastroenterol 105 (6) (2010) 1338–1345.
- [70] F. Mofidi, H. Poustchi, Z. Yari, B. Nourinayyer, S. Merat, M. Sharafkhah, et al., Synbiotic supplementation in lean patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a pilot, randomised, double-blind, placebocontrolled, clinical trial, Br J Nutr 117 (5) (2017) 662–668.
- [71] M. Rahimlou, Z. Yari, A. Hekmatdoost, S.M. Alavian, S.A. Keshavarz, Ginger supplementation in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot study, Hepatitis Monthly 16 (1) (2016).
- [72] H.A. Shahmohammadi, S.A. Hosseini, E. Hajiani, A.S. Malehi, M. Alipour, Effects of green coffee bean extract supplementation on patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a randomized clinical trial, Hepatitis Monthly 17 (4) (2017).
- [73] K. Abhari, S. Saadati, Z. Yari, H. Hosseini, M. Hedayati, S. Abhari, et al., The effects of Bacillus coagulans supplementation in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a randomized, placebo-controlled, clinical trial, Clin Nutr ESPEN 39 (2020) 53–60.
- [74] Y. Ferro, T. Montalcini, E. Mazza, D. Foti, E. Angotti, M. Gliozzi, et al., Randomized clinical trial: bergamot citrus and wild cardoon reduce liver steatosis and body weight in non-diabetic individuals aged over 50 years, Front Endocrinol 11 (2020) 494.
- [75] M. Kavyani, S. Saleh-Ghadimi, P. Dehghan, M. Abbasalizad Farhangi, M. Khoshbaten, Co-supplementation of camelina oil and a prebiotic is more effective for in improving cardiometabolic risk factors and mental health in patients with NAFLD: a randomized clinical trial, Food Function 12 (18) (2021) 8594–8604.
- [76] F. Moradi, F. Kooshki, F. Nokhostin, M. Khoshbaten, H. Bazyar, B. Pourghassem Gargari, A pilot study of the effects of chromium picolinate supplementation on serum fetuin-A, metabolic and inflammatory factors in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, J Trace Elem Med Biol 63 (2021), 126659.
- [77] F.K. Pour, N. Aryaeian, M. Mokhtare, R.S. Mirnasrollahi Parsa, L. Jannani, S. Agah, et al., The effect of saffron supplementation on some inflammatory and oxidative markers, leptin, adiponectin, and body composition in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a double-blind randomized clinical trial, Phytoth Res 34 (12) (2020) 3367–3378.
- [78] F. Bakhshimoghaddam, K. Shateri, M. Sina, M. Hashemian, M. Alizadeh, Daily consumption of synbiotic yogurt decreases liver steatosis in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a randomized controlled clinical trial, J Nutr 148 (8) (2018) 1276.
- [79] Z. Yari, M. Cheraghpour, S.M. Alavian, M. Hedayati, H. Eini-Zinab, A. Hekmatdoost, The efficacy of flaxseed and hesperidin on nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: an open-labeled randomized controlled trial, Eur J Clin Nutr 75 (1) (2021) 99–111.
- [80] M. Markova, O. Pivovarova, S. Hornemann, S. Sucher, T. Frahnow, K. Wegner, et al., Isocaloric diets high in animal or plant protein reduce liver fat and inflammation in individuals with type 2 diabetes, Gastroenterology 152 (3) (2017) 571–585.e8.
- [81] A.C. Kaliora, A. Kokkinos, A. Diolintzi, M. Stoupaki, A. Gioxari, P.T. Kanellos, et al., The effect of minimal dietary changes with raisins in NAFLD patients with non-significant fibrosis: a randomized controlled intervention, Food Function 7 (11) (2016) 4533–4544.
- [82] Z. Yari, M. Rahimlou, T. Eslamparast, N. Ebrahimi-Daryani, H. Poustchi, A. Hekmatdoost, Flaxseed supplementation in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a pilot randomized, open labeled, controlled study, Int J Food Sci Nutr 67 (4) (2016) 461–469.
- [83] A. Marina, A. Delfino Von Frankenberg, S. Suvag, S. Holly, M. Kratz, T.L. Richards, et al., Effects of dietary fat and saturated fat content on liver fat and markers of oxidative stress in overweight/obese men and women under weight-stable conditions, Nutrients 6 (11) (2014) 4678–4690.
- [84] N. Takemura, T. Okubo, K. Sonoyama, Lactobacillus plantarumstrain No. 14 reduces adipocyte size in mice fed high-fat diet, Exp Biol Med 235 (7) (2010) 849–856.
- [85] A. Hadi, H. Mohammadi, M. Miraghajani, E. Ghaedi, Efficacy of synbiotic supplementation in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver

#### R.L. Hall et al.

disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical trials: Synbiotic supplementation and NAFLD, Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 59 (15) (2019) 2494–2505.

- [86] Z. Mokhtari, D.L. Gibson, A. Hekmatdoost, Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, the gut microbiome, and diet, Adv Nutr: Int Rev J. 8 (2) (2017) 240–252.
- [87] M.Y. Khan, A.B. Mihali, M.S. Rawala, A. Aslam, W.J. Siddiqui, The promising role of probiotic and synbiotic therapy in aminotransferase levels and inflammatory markers in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease – a systematic review and meta-analysis, Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 31 (6) (2019) 703–715.
- [88] L. Li, J. Hai, Z. Li, Y. Zhang, H. Peng, K. Li, et al., Resveratrol modulates autophagy and NF-kB activity in a murine model for treating nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, Food Chem Toxicol 63 (2014) 166–173.
- [89] M. Dietrich, I. Jialal, The effect of weight loss on a stable biomarker of inflammation, c-reactive protein, Nutr Rev 63 (1) (2005) 22–28.

- Advances in Nutrition 14 (2023) 475-499
- [90] K.D. Kistler, E.M. Brunt, J.M. Clark, A.M. Diehl, J.F. Sallis, J.B. Schwimmer, Physical activity recommendations, exercise intensity, and histological severity of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, Am J Gastroenterol 106 (3) (2011) 460–468.
- [91] E.S. George, S.K. Roberts, A.J. Nicoll, A. Reddy, T. Paris, C. Itsiopoulos, et al., Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease patients attending two metropolitan hospitals in Melbourne, Australia: high risk status and low prevalence, Intern Med J 48 (11) (2018) 1369–1376.
- [92] M. Skopec, H. Issa, J. Reed, M. Harris, The role of geographic bias in knowledge diffusion: a systematic review and narrative synthesis, Res Integr Peer Rev 5 (1) (2020) 2.
- [93] E.S. Papamiltiadous, S.K. Roberts, A.J. Nicoll, M.C. Ryan, C. Itsiopoulos, A. Salim, et al., A randomised controlled trial of a Mediterranean Dietary Intervention for Adults with Non Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (MEDINA): study protocol, BMC Gastroenterol 16 (1) (2016) 14.