dvances in Nutrition



Advances in Nutrition

AN INTERNATIONAL REVIEW JOURNAL



Review

The impact of exercise and dietary interventions on circulating leptin and adiponectin in individuals who are overweight and those with obesity: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Mousa Khalafi^{1,*}, Mohammad Hossein Sakhaei², Shokoufeh Kheradmand³, Michael E. Symonds⁴, Sara K. Rosenkranz⁵

¹ Department of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, Faculty of Humanities, University of Kashan, Kashan, Iran; ² Department of Exercise Physiology, Faculty of Sport Sciences, University of Guilan, Guilan, Iran; ³ Department of Exercise Physiology, Faculty of Sport Sciences, University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran; ⁴ Centre for Perinatal Research, Academic Unit of Population and Lifespan Sciences, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, United Kingdom; ⁵ Department of Kinesiology and Nutrition Sciences, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, NV, USA

ABSTRACT

The effects of exercise training (Ex), dietary interventions (DIs), and a combination of Ex and DI (Ex + DI) on leptin and adiponectin have been established. However, less is known regarding the comparisons of Ex with DI and of Ex + DI with either Ex or DI alone. The aim of the present meta-analysis is to compare the effects of Ex with those of DI and those of Ex + DI with those of either Ex or DI alone on circulating leptin and adiponectin in individuals who are overweight and those with obesity. PubMed, Web of Science, and MEDLINE were searched to identify original articles, published through June 2022, that compared the effects of Ex with those of DI and/or the effects of Ex + DI with those of Ex and/or DI on leptin and adiponectin in individuals with BMIs (in kg/m²) of \geq 25 and aged 7–70 y. Standardized mean differences (SMDs), weighted mean differences, and 95% CIs were calculated using random-effect models for outcomes. Forty-seven studies, comprising 3872 participants who were overweight and those with obesity, were included in the current meta-analysis. DI reduced the concentration of leptin (SMD: -0.30; P = 0.001) and increased the concentration of adiponectin (SMD: 0.23; P = 0.001) compared with Ex, as did Ex + DI (leptin: SMD: -0.34; P = 0.001; adiponectin: SMD: 0.37; P = 0.004) compared with Ex alone. However, Ex + DI did not affect the concentration of adiponectin (SMD: 0.10; P = 0.11) and led to inconsistent and nonsignificant changes in the concentration of leptin (SMD: -0.13; P = 0.06) compared with DI alone. Subgroup analyses showed that age, BMI, duration of intervention, type of supervision, quality of the study, and magnitude of energy restriction are sources of heterogeneity. Our results suggest that Ex alone was not as effective as DI or Ex + DI for decreasing leptin and increasing adiponectin in individuals with overweight and obesity. However, Ex + DI was not more effective than DI alone, suggesting that diet plays a critical role in beneficially altering the concentrations of leptin and adiponectin. This review was registered at PROSPERO as CRD42021283532.

Keywords: exercise training, dietary intervention, lifestyle intervention, adipokine, obesity

Statement of significance

Previous systematic reviews and meta-analyses have suggested that exercise training (Ex) and dietary interventions (DIs) are effective in decreasing the concentration of adiponectin. However, to our knowledge, this is the first systematic review and meta-analysis to investigate the comparison of Ex with DI as well as that of Ex + DI with either Ex or DI alone in individuals who are overweight and those with obesity.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advnut.2022.10.001

Received 24 March 2022; Received in revised form 14 September 2022; Accepted 5 October 2022; Available online 15 December 2022

2161-8313/© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of American Society for Nutrition. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Abbreviations: CR, caloric restriction; DI, dietary intervention; Ex, exercise training; SMD, standardized mean difference; T2D, type 2 diabetes; WMD, weighted mean difference.

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail address: mousa.khalafi@ut.ac.ir (M. Khalafi).

Introduction

Obesity is a major global health problem, with the prevalence of obesity-related diseases continuing to increase [1,2]. The accumulation of fat in adipose tissue is strongly associated with risk factors for noncommunicable chronic diseases and health conditions such as insulin resistance, hypertension, and chronic low-grade inflammation [3–5]. It is well established that excess visceral or central adiposity contributes to the development of chronic diseases such as CVD, type 2 diabetes, and some cancers [6]. In addition, obesity is linked to poor outcomes associated with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) [6], which have potentially exacerbated the spread of COVID-19 and certainly worsened the societal impact of COVID-19 [7].

Adipose tissue is a metabolic and endocrine organ that secretes a number of adipokines that contribute to the etiology of metabolic complications obesity-related [8-10]. А well-established adipokine that is known to change with the accumulation or loss of fat tissue is leptin, which regulates several physiologic processes, including energy balance [11,12] as well as inflammatory and immune responses [13-15]. Simiadiponectin has multiple effects. larly. including anti-inflammatory, antiatherogenic, and insulin-sensitizing effects [16–18]. High concentrations of circulating leptin, leptin resistance, and hypoadiponectinemia occur with obesity and related pathologic risk factors for CVD [19-23], suggesting a critical role of these adipokines in the onset of the disease [19].

Lifestyle interventions, characterized by promotion of negative energy balance, are usually a first-line approach for the treatment of obesity. Exercise training (Ex) and dietary interventions (DIs) that promote negative energy balance and subsequent weight loss are associated with improvements in cardiometabolic risk factors [24-31]. A combination of both Ex and DI (Ex + DI) would be expected to have an additive effect, with the potential for better health outcomes following intervention [32-36]. Recent meta-analyses have suggested that a combination of Ex and caloric restriction (CR) is more effective than CR alone in reducing the concentration of proinflammatory cytokines in individuals who are overweight and those with obesity [32,33]. However, these results are not always consistent. For example, 1 meta-analysis did not suggest further reductions in the concentration of inflammatory markers with Ex + DI compared with those with DI [37]. Several meta-analyses have suggested that Ex is effective in decreasing the concentration of leptin and increasing the concentration of adiponectin [38-42], as is DI alone [43-45]. However, the effectiveness of Ex compared with that of DI and the effectiveness of Ex + DI compared with that of either Ex or DI alone were not elucidated in previous meta-analyses. Therefore, the aim of the current systematic review and meta-analysis was to determine the comparative effectiveness of these interventions in individuals who are overweight and those with obesity.

Methods

The current systematic review was conducted in accordance with the PRISMA statement and the Cochrane Handbook of Systematic Reviews of Interventions. The review was registered in the PROSPERO database (ID: CRD42021283532).

Search strategy

PubMed, Web of Science, and MEDLINE were the primary databases searched to identify original research articles, published until October 2021, using a combination of Ex, DI, and outcome terms, as summarized in Supplementary Table 1. In addition, the search was updated through June 2022. The reference lists of all retrieved studies and previous relevant metaanalyses [32,33,37] were manually screened. The search and screening were performed by 2 independent reviewers (MHS and SK), and any disagreements were resolved by discussion with another reviewer (MK).

Study selection and inclusion or exclusion criteria

All retrieved articles were exported to Endnote (version 20.2.1), and duplicate articles were removed. Two independent reviewers (MHS and SK) screened the articles based on titles, abstracts, and key words. Then, all remaining articles were assessed independently, with any disagreements resolved by discussion with another reviewer (MK). The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) peer reviewed; 2) English language; 3) human participants; 4) results that included serum or plasma leptin and/or adiponectin; 5) duration of intervention ≥ 2 wk; and 6) randomized trials that compared Ex with DI and/or Ex + DI with either Ex and/or DI. For the Ex modality, any type of Ex, such as aerobic, resistance, combined (aerobic and resistance training), and interval training, was included. There were no limitations on time, intensity, or the duration of Ex. For the DI modality, any DI with the aim of loss of body weight, such as CR, hypocaloric diets, very-low-calorie diets, or alternate daily fasting, was included. In addition, energy restriction was categorized into 2 subgroups: 1) mild energy restriction and 2) severe energy restriction. Mild energy restriction was defined as a total energy intake of ≥ 1200 kcal/d, an energy restriction of <500 kcal/d, an energy restriction of <25% of daily energy intake, or an average weight loss of <0.5 kg/wk. Severe energy restriction was defined as a daily energy intake of <1200 kcal/d, an energy restriction of >500 kcal/d, an energy restriction of >25% of daily energy intake, or an average weight loss of \geq 0.5 kg/wk. For the study, individuals who were overweight or those with obesity (BMI [in kg/m²] \geq 25 or mean BMI > 27) were included. In the case of pediatric participants, obesity was defined as a BMI of \geq 95th percentile. There were no limitations regarding the age, sex, or health status of the participants. In order to increase the external generalizability of the results, participants who were overweight and those with obesity, ranging from healthy to diagnosed with a disease, were included. The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) nonprimary studies, 2) non-English languages, 3) not peer reviewed, 4) animal studies, and 5) studies involving only Ex, only DI, or only Ex + DI.

Data extraction and synthesis

Two reviewers (MK and MHS) independently extracted data, and any disagreements were resolved by discussion with another reviewer (MES). Data from the final list of eligible studies were extracted as follows: 1) study characteristics, including study design and sample size; 2) participant characteristics, including sex, age, BMI, and comorbidities; 3) Ex characteristics, including mode, intensity, duration, and frequency; 4) DI characteristics, including type and duration; 5) outcome variables; 6) preintervention and postintervention means and SDs or mean changes and their SDs. When required, SEs, medians, ranges, and/or IQRs were used to calculate the means and SDs [46-48]. In addition, when the geometric mean and CIs were reported, the methods reported by Higgins et al. [49] were used to calculate the arithmetic means and SDs. When required, the SE values were converted to SDs by using the following formula: $SD = SE \times \sqrt{N}$. When required, the Getdata Graph Digitizer software was used to extract data from figures. If the studies had multiple Ex, DI, or Ex + DI interventions, the groups were combined to create a single pair-wise comparison as suggested by the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. However, when a subgroup analysis was only based on the type of exercise, all comparisons were included and the sample size of the repeated intervention was divided by the number of comparisons to avoid double counting. In addition, for studies that were published within the last 5 y, when required data were not available in the published article or were not able to be extracted from figures, the corresponding author of the relevant article was contacted. For the current study, 1 author provided missing data.

Quality assessment and sensitivity analyses

To assess the quality of all included studies, we used the PEDro Scale, a valid measure of the methodologic quality of clinical trials [50]. The 11-item tool was modified by excluding 2 items, including blinding of participants and blinding of intervention provider because of the inability to blind participants and interventions across the included studies. Finally, the quality of each study was assessed based on the following criteria by 1 reviewer (MHS) and verified by another (MK): eligibility criteria, random allocation of participants, allocation concealment, group similarity at baseline, blinding of participants, blinding of intervention providers, blinding of assessors, outcomes measures assessed in 85% of participants, intention-to-treat analysis, reporting of between-group statistical comparisons and point measures, and measures of variability reported for main effects. In addition, sensitivity analyses were performed by omitting individual studies and studies involving participants aged <18 y.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using version 2.0 of the Comprehensive Meta-Analysis software (Biostat Inc). Three separate analyses were performed to calculate the standardized mean differences (SMDs), weighted mean differences (WMD), and 95% CIs using random-effect models using the DerSimonian and Laird approach for comparing the effects of the following 1) Ex with DI, 2) Ex + DI with Ex, and 3) Ex + DI with DI on the main outcomes (leptin and adiponectin) and secondary outcome (body weight). The results were pooled using random-effects models based on the assumption that heterogeneity was likely from a clinical or methodologic perspective and may have affected the results [51]. Several subgroup analyses were performed based on the participants' BMIs (overweight: <30; obesity: \geq 30), duration of intervention (short term: \leq 12 wk; medium term: >12 wk and \leq 24 wk; long term: >24 wk), age of the participants (<18 y, \leq 18 y to <50 y, or \geq 50 y), type of exercise (aerobic, resistance, or combined), type of exercise

supervision (supervised, nonsupervised, or both), PEDro Scale score (score: <5 or ≥ 5), and magnitude of energy restriction (mild or severe). In pediatric studies, all participants had obesity and were categorized by obesity subgroup. The interpretation of effect sizes was conducted using the Cochrane guidelines, with 0.20–0.49, 0.50–0.79, and ≥ 0.8 indicating small, medium, and large effect sizes, respectively. Heterogeneity was assessed using the I^2 statistic, which interprets I^2 according to the Cochrane guidelines as follows: 25%, 50%, and 75% indicates low, moderate, and high heterogeneity, respectively. Visual interpretation of funnel plots and Egger tests were used for the detection of publication biases, wherein the Egger test was used as a secondary determinant if *P* was <0.10. The trim-and-fill correction method was used to address the potential effects of publication biases where relevant [52].

Results

Included studies

The initial search of the databases resulted in 1085 records from PubMed, 1911 records from Web of Science, and 838 records from MEDLINE. A total of 116 articles were identified for full-text review based on our inclusion and exclusion criteria. Of these, 78 articles were excluded because of the reasons presented in Fig. 1. In addition, 1 study was included after updating the search [53]. Finally, 47 randomized trials (parallel-arm trials) were included in the meta-analysis, of which 6 included Ex and DI [54–59], 22 included Ex + DI and DI [60–81], 2 included Ex + DI and Ex [82,83], and 17 included Ex + DI, Ex, and DI [53, 84–99].

Participant characteristics

A total of 3872 participants who were overweight or had obesity were included (Table 1); their mean age and BMI ranged from 7.7 [55] to 70.0 y [87] and 21.1 [55] to 44.0 [62], respectively. The sample size ranged from 16 [70] to 352 [84] participants. Five studies included only male participants [54,56, 57,89,94], whereas 16 studies included only female participants [59,60,66–68,71,73,77,78,80,83,84,86,90–92]. The remaining 26 studies included both male and female participants [53,55, 58,61–65,69,70,72,74–76,79,81,82,85,87,88,93,95–99]. All the participants were overweight or had obesity, with a wide range of health and chronic diseases, including metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, chronic kidney disease, and asthma (Table 1).

Intervention characteristics and quality assessment

The Ex and DI characteristics are summarized in Table 2, and the duration of intervention ranged from ~2 wk [66,75] to 18 mo [82,93], whereas the frequency of Ex sessions ranged from 2 [64,67] to 7 sessions [54,57,58,99]. Most studies used aerobic training modalities such as running, walking, or cycling. Other interventions included resistance [67,73,83,90], combined training [56,60,64,68,87,93,95–97], and yoga [79,80]. Two studies used >1 Ex protocol as separate interventions [72,77]. The studies included supervised exercise, unsupervised exercise, and exercise protocols that included both. For DIs, in most of the studies, the energy intake was reduced by a range of 250–750 kcal/d but included a total energy intake that widely ranged



Fig. 1. Flow diagram of systematic literature search. DI, dietary intervention; EX, exercise training.

from 800 to 2100 kcal/d. The overall quality of the studies ranged from 3 to 9 out of a maximum of 9 (Supplementary Table 2).

Meta-analysis

Ex compared with DI

Leptin. Based on 18 intervention arms (18 studies), DI reduced the concentration of leptin (SMD: -0.30; 95% CI: -0.45, -0.14; P = 0.001) compared with Ex (Fig. 2). There was significant heterogeneity among the included studies ($I^2 = 38.16\%$; P = 0.05). The results of the visual interpretation of funnel plots and Egger test (P = 0.81) did not reveal publication biases. The sensitivity analysis conducted by omitting an individual study showed that the significance did not change. In addition, the sensitivity analysis conducted by omitting studies involving participants aged <18 y indicated that DI reduced the concentration of leptin (SMD: -0.26; P = 0.003) compared with Ex.

Adiponectin. Based on 17 intervention arms (17 studies), DI increased the concentration of adiponectin (SMD: 0.23; 95% CI: 0.10, 0.36; P = 0.001) compared with Ex (Fig. 3). There was no significant heterogeneity among the studies ($I^2 = 0.00\%$;

P = 0.68). The results of the visual interpretation of funnel plots and Egger test did not reveal publication biases (P = 0.90). The sensitivity analysis conducted by omitting individual studies showed that 1 study was a source of heterogeneity and influenced our results. Therefore, the main analyses were repeated after excluding that study [56]. In addition, the sensitivity analysis conducted by omitting studies involving participants aged <18 y indicated that DI increased the concentration of adiponectin (SMD: 0.18; P = 0.01) compared with Ex.

Ex + DI compared with Ex

Leptin. Based on 15 intervention arms (15 studies), Ex + DI reduced the concentration of leptin (SMD: -0.34; 95% CI: -0.47, -0.20; P = 0.001) compared with Ex (Fig. 4). There was no significant heterogeneity among the studies ($I^2 = 21.18\%$; P = 0.218). The results of the visual interpretation of funnel plots and Egger test did not reveal publication biases (P = 0.67). The sensitivity analysis conducted by omitting individual studies showed that the significance and direction of changes were unaffected for leptin outcomes. In addition, the sensitivity analysis conducted by omitting participants aged <18 y

Table 1Study and participant characteristics.1

132

<u> </u>							
Reference, (author(s) [year])	Sample size (n/ groups)	Sex (%)	Outcome measured	Age, ⁴ (y)	BMI ⁴ (kg/m ²)	Weight status ²	Health status
Abbenhardt et al.	352 (EX + DI: 117:	F (100%)	Leptin, adiponectin	$EX + DI: 58.00 \pm 4.40$	$EX + DI: 31.00 \pm 4.30$	Obese	Healthy postmenopausal
(2013) [84]	DI: 118: EX: 117)	- ()	<i>F</i> , <i>F</i>	DI: 58.10 ± 5.90	DI: 31.00 ± 3.90		
()[_,[_,]	,,,			EX: 58.10 ± 5.00	EX: 30.70 ± 3.70		
Auerbach et al.	32 (DI: 16; EX: 16)	M (100%)	Leptin, adiponectin	DI: 32.00 ± 6.93	DI: 28.00 ± 1.39	Overweight	Healthy
(2013) [54]		. ,	1 / 1	EX: 28.00 ± 3.46	EX: 28.30 \pm 1.04	0	2
Aydemir et al.	85 (EX + DI: 30;	F (42%)/M (58%)	Leptin, adiponectin	EX + DI: 52.90 \pm 8.60	EX + DI: 34.00 \pm 6.70	Obese	Chronic kidney disease
(2020) [85]	DI: 28; EX: 27)			DI: 55.60 \pm 12.60	DI: 33.50 \pm 7.30		
				EX: 54.50 \pm 11.70	EX: 32.50 \pm 5.80		
Beavers et al.	195 (EX + DI: 98;	F (67%)/M (33%)	Leptin, adiponectin	EX + DI: 67.00 \pm 4.80	EX + DI: 33.10 ± 4.10	Obese	Cardiovascular or cardiometabolic
(2013) [82]	EX: 97)			EX: 67.00 ± 4.80	EX: 32.80 \pm 3.90	2	dysfunction
Ben Ounis et al.	18 (EX + DI: 6; DI:	F (100%)	Adiponectin	$EX + DI: 13.00 \pm 4.00$	$\mathrm{EX} + \mathrm{DI:}~30.00 \pm 2.20$	Obese ³	Healthy
(2008) [86]	6; EX: 6)			DI: 13.40 ± 2.00	DI: 30.50 ± 2.20		
_ , ,,, ,				EX: 13.10 ± 1.00	EX: 30.60 ± 2.30	-1	
Bouchonville et al.	80 (EX + DI: 28;	F (61%)/M (39%)	Adiponectin	$EX + DI: 70.00 \pm 4.00$	$EX + DI: 37.20 \pm 5.40$	Obese	Healthy
(2014) [87]	DI: 26; EX: 26)			DI: 70.00 ± 4.00	DI: 37.20 ± 4.50		
Christonson at al	100 (EV DI 40	E (100%)	Loptin	EX: 70.00 ± 4.00	EX: 30.90 ± 5.40	Obasa and	Hoolthy
(1008) [60]	100 (EX + DI. 49, DI. 51)	F (100%)	Lepun	EX + DI. 49-36	EX + DI. 25 - 42	overweight	Healury
Christiansen et al	$79 (FX \perp DI \cdot 25)$	F (48%)/M (52%)	Adiponectin	$FX \pm DI: 37.50 \pm 8.00$	51.23-42 FX \pm DI: 34.20 \pm 3.00	Obese	Healthy
(2010) [88]	79 (EX + DI. 23, DI. 29, FX. 25)	1 (40/0)/141 (32/0)	Auponceun	DI: 35.60 \pm 7.00	$EX + DI. 34.20 \pm 3.00$	Obese	Iteauly
(2010) [00]	D1. 29, EA. 20)			EX: 37.20 ± 7.00	EX: 33.40 ± 4.00		
Civitarese et al.	24 (EX + DI: 12:	F (54%)/M (46%)	Adiponectin	EX + DI: 34.90 + 5.54	EX + DI: 27.80 + 4.20	Overweight	Healthy
(2007) [61]	DI: 12)	- (,, (,	F	DI: 38.90 ± 5.54	DI: 27.80 ± 4.20		
Cooper et al.	90 (EX + DI: 45;	F (91%)/M (11%)	Leptin, adiponectin	$EX + DI: 46.80 \pm 6.50$	$EX + DI: 43.80 \pm 4.80$	Obese	Healthy
(2012) [62]	DI: 45)			DI: 47.50 ± 6.20	DI: 44.00 ± 6.60		
de Sousa et al.	51 (EX + DI: 22;	F (57%)/M (43%)	Leptin	$\text{EX} + \text{DI:}~61.10 \pm 6.40$	EX + DI: 33.00 \pm 3.92	Obese	Type 2 diabetes
(2019) [63]	DI: 29)			DI: 61.10 ± 6.40	DI: 32.70 \pm 4.69		
Elloumi et al.	21 (EX + DI: 7; DI:	M (100%)	Leptin, adiponectin	EX + DI: 13.20 \pm 0.90	EX + DI: 31.60 \pm 3.30	Obese ³	Healthy
(2009) [89]	7; EX: 7)			DI: 13.10 \pm 0.50	DI: 30.70 ± 2.30		
				EX: 13.10 ± 0.70	EX: 30.30 ± 3.20		
Figueroa et al.	45 (EX + DI: 15;	F (100%)	Leptin, adiponectin	$EX + DI: 54.00 \pm 3.74$	$EX + DI: 32.7 \pm 4.11$	Obese	Healthy postmenopausal
(2013) [90]	DI: 15; EX: 15)			DI: 54.00 ± 3.61	DI: 34.80 ± 4.33		
T 1 1	FF (FW) DI 00		· · · · ·	EX: 54.00 \pm 3.74	EX: 32.60 ± 3.74	01	A .1
Freitas et al.	55 (EX + DI: 28;	F (98%)/M (2%)	Leptin, adiponectin	$EX + DI: 45.90 \pm 7.70$	$EX + DI: 38.10 \pm 2.80$	Obese	Astnma
(2017) [04] Calbraath at al	DI: 27	E (100%)	lontin adinonactin	DI: 48.50 ± 9.00	DI: 37.20 ± 2.10	Ohasa	Healthy postmonopougal
(2018) [83]	$72 (EX + DI_1. 24, EX + DI_2. 24)$	F (100%)	lepun, auponecun	$EX + DI_1 05.30 \pm 4.80$ EX + DL: 65 50 + 5 20	$EX + DI_1 30.30 \pm 4.00$ EX + DL: 31.30 + 4.20	Obese	Healury postillenopausai
(2010) [00]	24			$EX + DI_2$. 00.50 \pm 5.20	$EX + DI_2$: 51:50 ± 4:20 FX: 29 90 + 4 10		
Georgoulis et al	$122 (EX + DI \cdot 60)$	F (25%)/M (75%)	Adiponectin	EX + DI: 48.00 + 10.00	EX + DI: 35.80 + 6.00	Obese	Obstructive sleep appea
(2021) [65]	DI: 62)	1 (20/0)/11 (/0/0)	raiponeetin	DI: 50.00 ± 9.10	DI: 34.90 ± 5.90	obese	obstructive sleep upileu
Giannopoulou	33 (EX + DI: 11)	F (100%)	Leptin, adiponectin	EX + DI: 57.40 + 5.64	EX + DI: 33.70 + 6.30	Obese	Type 2 diabetes
et al. (2005)	DI: 11; EX: 11)	- ()	<i>F</i> , <i>F</i>	DI: 58.50 ± 5.64	DI: 34.30 ± 6.30		
[91]				EX: 55.50 ± 5.64	EX: 35.90 ± 6.30		
Gilbertson et al.	24 (EX + DI: 12;	F (100%)	Leptin, adiponectin	EX + DI: 50.80 \pm 11.43	EX + DI: 37.80 \pm 7.27	Obese	Healthy postmenopausal
(2019) [66]	DI: 12)			DI: 45.70 ± 12.12	DI: 37.80 \pm 5.54		
Ibanez et al.	25 (EX + DI: 13;	F (100%)	Leptin, adiponectin	DI: 51.40 \pm 5.50	DI: 34.60 \pm 3.40	Obese	Healthy
(2010) [67]	DI: 12)			EX: 48.60 \pm 6.40	EX: 35.00 \pm 3.10		

(continued on next page)

Table 1	(continued)
---------	-------------

Reference	Sample size (n/	Sex (%)	Outcome measured	Age $\frac{4}{v}$	BMI^4 (kg/m ²)	Weight statue ²	Health status
(author(s) [year])	groups)	Sex (%)		Age, (y)	bivii (kg/iii)		nealul status
Kelishadi et al. (2008) [55]	100 (DI: 50; EX: 50)	F/M (not described)	Leptin	DI: 7.70 ± 1.20 EX: 7.70 ± 1.20	DI: 21.10 ± 2.50 EX: 21.10 ± 2.50	Obese ³	Healthy
Khanna et al. (2017) [68]	86 (EX + DI: 59; DI: 27)	F (100%)	Leptin	EX + DI: 43.50 ± 11.20 DI: $49.40 + 10.70$	$EX + DI: 34.90 \pm 6.40$ DI: 34.70 + 4.60	Obese	Healthy
Khoo et al. (2015)	80 (DI: 40; EX: 40)	M (100%)	Leptin, adiponectin	DI: 41.80 ± 7.20 FX: 43.30 ± 9.00	DI: 32.10 ± 3.00 FX: 32.10 ± 2.60	Obese	Healthy
König et al. (2015)	50 (EX + DI: 25; DI: 25)	F (57%)/M (43%)	Leptin	EX + DI: 49.00 ± 8.00	$EX + DI: 32.50 \pm 2.65$ DI: 22.00 + 1.88	Obese	Type 2 diabetes
Lakhdar et al. (2019) [92]	30 (EX + DI: 10; DI: 10; EX: 10)	F (100%)	Adiponectin	EX + DI: 38.90 ± 3.94 DI: 38.90 ± 3.94	EX + DI: 32.90 ± 1.30 EX + DI: 32.98 ± 2.17 DI: 33.02 ± 1.89	Obese	Healthy
Lam et al. (2016) [70]	16 (EX + DI: 8; DI: 8)	F (50%)/M (50%)	Leptin, adiponectin	EX: 36.20 ± 5.00 EX + DI: 37.90 ± 5.09 DI: 39.00 ± 5.94	EX: 33.52 ± 3.75 EX + DI: 27.90 ± 1.70 DI: 27.70 ± 1.41	Overweight	Healthy
Liu et al. (2018)	50 (EX + DI: 30; DI: 20)	F (100%)	Leptin, adiponectin	$EX + DI: 14.60 \pm 0.70$ DI: 14.70 + 0.80	$EX + DI: 33.90 \pm 3.10$ DI: 34.60 + 4.90	Obese	Healthy
Loria-kohen et al. (2013) [72]	119 (EX ₁ + DI: 30; EX ₂ + DI: 30; EX ₂ + DI: 30; DI: 20)	F (61%)/M (39%)	Leptin	$EX_1 + DI: 35.69 \pm 8.07$ $EX_2 + DI: 36.46 \pm 8.9$ $EX_3 + DI: 36.71 \pm 6.99$ $DI: 36.77 \pm 0.24$	$EX_1 + DI: 28.91 \pm 1.78$ $EX_2 + DI: 29.51 \pm 2.00$ $EX_3 + DI: 28.32 \pm 1.54$ $DI: 28.50 \pm 1.20$	Overweight	Healthy
McNeil et al. (2015) [73]	93 (EX + DI: 28; DI: 65)	F (100%)	Leptin	EX + DI: 58.10 ± 4.80 DI: $58.10 + 4.80$	EX + DI: 32.10 ± 4.30 DI: 32.10 ± 4.30	Obese	Healthy
Miller et al. (2004) [93]	233 (EX + DI: 74; DI: 80; EX: 79)	F (74%)/M (26%)	Leptin	EX + DI: 68.70 ± 6.70 DI: 67.80 ± 5.50 EX: 69.10 ± 6.50	EX + DI: 34.20 ± 5.60 DI: 34.50 ± 4.90 EX: 34.20 ± 4.80	Obese	Symptomatic knee osteoarthritis
Murakami et al. (2007) [74]	50 (EX + DI: 25; DI: 25)	F (50%)/M (50%)	Leptin	$EX + DI: 51.00 \pm 10.29$ DI: 48.20 \pm 8.06	EX + DI: 27.10 ± 1.47 DI: 27.80 ± 2.12	Overweight	Healthy
Rokling-andersen et al. (2007) [94]	176 (EX + DI: 67; DI: 55; EX: 54)	M (100%)	Leptin, adiponectin	$\begin{array}{l} \text{EX + DI: } 45.10 \pm 2.51 \\ \text{DI: } 45.10 \pm 2.51 \\ \text{EX: } 45.10 \pm 2.51 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \text{EX + DI: } 28.40 \pm 3.40 \\ \text{DI: } 29.20 \pm 3.80 \\ \text{EX: } 28.50 \pm 3.30 \end{array}$	Overweight	Cardiovascular and diabetes risk factors
Sartor et al. (2010) [75]	21 (EX + DI: 11; DI: 10)	F (74%)/M (26%)	Leptin	$\begin{array}{l} \text{EX + DI: 37.00 } \pm 10.00 \\ \text{DI: 41.00 } \pm 14.00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \text{EX + DI: } 32.00 \pm 4.00 \\ \text{DI: } 32.00 \pm 3.00 \end{array}$	Obese	Healthy
Scott et al. (2013) [95]	46 (EX + DI: 14; DI: 18; EX: 14)	F (52%)/M (48%)	Leptin, adiponectin	$\begin{array}{l} \text{EX + DI: } 33.90 \pm 11.50 \\ \text{DI: } 44.70 \pm 14.70 \\ \text{EX: } 42.20 \pm 11.50 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \text{EX + DI: } 32.70 \pm 3.40 \\ \text{DI: } 34.70 \pm 4.00 \\ \text{EX: } 32.80 \pm 2.50 \end{array}$	Obese	Asthma
Shah et al. (2011) [96]	80 (EX + DI: 28; DI: 26; EX: 26)	F (61%)/M (39%)	Leptin	EX + DI: 70.00 ± 4.00 DI: 70.00 ± 4.00 EX: 70.00 ± 4.00	EX + DI: 37.20 ± 5.40 DI: 37.20 ± 4.50 EX: 36.90 ± 5.40	Obese	Healthy
Shalitin et al. (2009) [97]	174 (EX + DI: 58; DI: 58; EX: 58)	F (50%)/M (50%)	Leptin, adiponectin	EX + DI: 8.20 ± 11.57 DI: 8.51 ± 11.27 EX: 8.21 ± 12.84	EX + DI: 25.9 ± 3.78 DI: 26.50 ± 3.78 EX: 25.50 ± 3.75	Obese ³	Healthy
Straznicky et al. (2010) [76]	40 (EX + DI: 20, DI: 20)	F (40%)/M (60%)	Leptin	$EX + DI: 54.00 \pm 4.47$ DI: 55.00 + 4.47	EX + DI: 31.80 ± 3.57 DI: 32.20 ± 4.02	Obese	≥ 2 metabolic syndrome parameters
Sun et al. (2021) [77]	50 (EX ₁ + DI: 17; EX ₂ + DI: 17; DI: 16)	F (100%)	Leptin	$\begin{array}{l} \text{EX}_1 + \text{DI: } 21.40 \pm 2.90 \\ \text{EX}_2 + \text{DI: } 21.80 \pm 3.10 \\ \text{DI: } 21.60 \pm 4.30 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \text{EX}_{1} + \text{DI: } 24.80 \pm 2.00 \\ \text{EX}_{2} + \text{DI: } 24.80 \pm 1.90 \\ \text{DI: } 24.80 \pm 3.30 \end{array}$	Overweight	Healthy
Thong et al. (2000) [57]	30 (DI: 14; EX: 16)	M (100%)	Leptin	DI: 42.60 ± 9.73 EX: 45.00 ± 7.60	DI: 30.70 ± 1.87 EX: 32.30 ± 2.00	Obese	Healthy

(continued on next page)

133

Advances in Nutrition 14 (2023) 128-146

Table 1 (continued)							
Reference, (author(s) [year])	Sample size (n/ groups)	Sex (%)	Outcome measured	Age, ⁴ (y)	BMI ⁴ (kg/m ²)	Weight status ²	Health status
Volpe et al. (2008) [98]	90 (EX + DI: 28; DI: 28; EX: 34)	F (51%)/M (49%)	Leptin	$\begin{array}{l} EX + DI_{f^{*}} 46.51 \pm 4.80 \\ EX + DI_{m^{*}} 44.90 \pm 9.80 \\ DI_{f^{*}} 44.00 \pm 6.50 \\ DI_{m^{*}} 43.90 \pm 6.50 \\ EX_{f^{*}} 42.40 \pm 7.30 \\ EX_{m^{*}} 44.60 \pm 8.10 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} EX + DI_{f^{*}} 30.40 \pm 2.50 \\ EX + DI_{m^{*}} 30.50 \pm 3.20 \\ DI_{f^{*}} 30.80 \pm 2.80 \\ DI_{m^{*}} 31.10 \pm 3.30 \\ EX_{f^{*}} 30.50 \pm 3.00 \\ EX_{m^{*}} 30.50 \pm 3.60 \end{array}$	Obese	Healthy
Wang et al. (2015) [78]	70 (EX + DI: 48; DI: 22)	F (100%)	Adiponectin	$\begin{array}{l} \text{EX + DI: 58.40 \pm 5.20} \\ \text{DI: 58.50 \pm 6.10} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \text{EX + DI: } 32.90 \pm 3.70 \\ \text{DI: } 33.50 \pm 3.80 \end{array}$	Obese	Healthy
Weiss et al. (2006) [58]	45 (DI: 21; EX: 24)	F (60%)/M (40%)	Adiponectin	DI: 55.60 ± 3.60 EX: 58.80 ± 2.80	DI: 27.10 ± 2.50 EX: 27.10 ± 1.90	Overweight	Healthy
Weiss et al. (2017) [99]	69 (EX + DI: 13; DI: 13; EX: 13)	F (75%)/M (25%)	Leptin, adiponectin	$\begin{array}{l} \text{EX + DI: 57.00 } \pm 7.00 \\ \text{DI: 57.00 } \pm 5.00 \\ \text{EX: 56.00 } \pm 6.00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \text{EX + DI: } 28.30 \pm 1.80 \\ \text{DI: } 27.70 \pm 1.70 \\ \text{EX: } 27.00 \pm 1.50 \end{array}$	Overweight	Healthy
Wiklund et al. (2014) [59]	90 (DI: 45; EX: 45)	F (100%)	Leptin, adiponectin	DI: 42.20 ± 7.50 EX: 41.90 ± 7.30	DI: 31.30 ± 3.10 EX: 28.40 ± 2.10	Overweight	Healthy
Yadav et al. (2019) [79]	260 (EX + DI: 130; DI: 130)	F (68%)/M (32%)	Leptin, adiponectin	EX + DI: 37.70 ± 6.30 DI: 37.60 ± 6.40	$\begin{array}{l} \text{EX + DI: } 32.30 \pm 5.03 \\ \text{DI: } 31.40 \pm 5.20 \end{array}$	Obese	Metabolic syndrome
Yazdanparast et al. (2020) [80]	44 (EX + DI: 22; DI: 22)	F (100%)	Leptin, adiponectin	$\begin{array}{l} \text{EX + DI: } 40.00 \pm 5.90 \\ \text{DI: } 40.00 \pm 5.90 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \text{EX + DI: } 30.15 \pm 3.15 \\ \text{DI: } 31.67 \pm 4.64 \end{array}$	Obese	Healthy
Yoshimura et al. (2014) [81]	89 (EX + DI: 44; DI: 45)	F (76%)/M (24%)	Leptin	$\begin{array}{l} \text{EX + DI: 61.00} \pm 7.75 \\ \text{DI: 52.00} \pm 8.49 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \text{EX + DI: } 27.30 \pm 4.26 \\ \text{DI: } 28.40 \pm 4.24 \end{array}$	Overweight	Healthy
Cipryan et al. (2022) [53]	88 (EX + DI: 30; DI: 30; EX: 28)	F (71%)/M (29%)	Leptin, adiponectin	$\begin{array}{l} \text{EX + DI: } 42.00 \pm 14.94 \\ \text{DI: } 43.17 \pm 12.97 \\ \text{EX: } 46.03 \pm 11.49 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \text{EX + DI: } 31.10 \pm 6.21 \\ \text{DI: } 30.67 \pm 4.17 \\ \text{EX: } 28.83 \pm 3.17 \end{array}$	Obese	Healthy

134

DI, diet intervention; EX, exercise training.

¹ n = 47 studies. ² Categories for weight status based on BMI (in kg/m²): overweight BMI, 25.0–29.9; obese BMI, \geq 30.0. ³ Categories for weight status based on BMI percentile for age and sex: obese BMI, values >95th percentile. ⁴ Data presented as mean and SD, if not available, were presented as range.

Table 2

Characteristics of diet and exercise interventions¹

Reference (author(s) [y])	Exercise characte	ristics		Diet characteristics		Intervention
	Туре	Exercise protocol description	Supervised or nonsupervised ²	Dietary intervention	Energy restriction rate ³	durations
Abbenhardt et al. (2013) [84]	Aerobic	45 min at 70%–85% HR _{max/peak} , 5 d/ wk	Supervised and nonsupervised	Total energy intake: 1200–2000 kcal/d	Mild	12 mo
Auerbach et al. (2013) [54]	Aerobic + HIT	Jogging, cycling, rowing, cross training at 65% HRR + 5–6 sets for 3–4 min at 85% HRR, 5 d/wk	Supervised	Reduced energy intake by 600 kcal/d	Severe	12 wk
Aydemir et al. (2020) [85]	Aerobic	30–45-min use of a treadmill, elliptical cross-trainer, Nu-Step cross- trainer, and cycle at 60%–80% VO _{2max/neak} , 3 d/wk	Supervised	Reduced energy intake by 10%–15% daily	Mild	4 mo
Beavers et al. (2013) [82]	Aerobic	30-min walking at a rating of 13 on the BRPE, 5 d/wk	Supervised and nonsupervised	Reduced weight: 0.3 kg/wk	Mild	18 mo
Ben Ounis et al. (2008) [86]	Aerobic	90-min running, jumping, and playing with a ball at maximal fat oxidation point, 4 d/wk	Supervised	Reduced energy intake by 500 kcal/d	Mild	2 mo
Bouchonville et al. (2014) [87]	Combined	Resistance: whole-body exercises; 1–2 sets with 8–12 repetitions at 65%–85% 1RM Aerobic: 30 min at 65%–85% HR _{max/} _{peak} , 3 d/wk	Supervised	Reduced energy intake by 500–750 kcal/d	Severe	12 months
Christensen et al. (1998) [60]	Combined	Resistance: whole-body exercises; 2–3 sets with 7–15 repetitions at 65% 1RM Aerobic: cycling and walking at 70%	Supervised	Total energy intake: 4.2 MJ/d	Severe	12 wk
Christiansen et al. (2010) [88]	Aerobic	60–75 min until 500–600 kcal, 3 d/ wk	Supervised	EX + DI: total energy intake of 800 kcal/d DI: total energy intake of 600 kcal/d	Severe	12 wk
Civitarese et al. (2007) [61]	Aerobic	Walking, running, and stationary cycling until 12.5% of daily energy expenditure, 5 d/wk	Supervised and nonsupervised	EX + DI: reduced energy intake by 12.5% daily DI: reduced energy intake by 25% daily	Mild	6 mo
Cooper et al. (2012) [62]	Aerobic	60-min brisk walking at moderate intensity, 5 d/wk	Nonsupervised	Total energy intake of 1200–2100 kcal/d	Mild	6 mo
de Sousa et al. (2019) [63]	HIT	2 sets of 12-min soccer playing and 3- min rest, 3 d/wk	Supervised	Reduced energy intake by 500–1000 kcal/d	Severe	12 wk
Elloumi et al. (2009) [89]	Aerobic	90-min running, jumping, and playing with a ball at maximal fat oxidation point, 4 d/wk	Supervised	Reduced energy intake by 500 kcal/d	Mild	2 mo
Figueroa et al. (2013) [90]	Resistance	Lower-body exercises; 2–3 sets with 18–22 repetitions at low intensity, 3 d/wk	Supervised	Total energy intake: 1250 kcal/d, including portion-controlled foods	Mild	12 wk
Freitas et al. (2017) [64]	Combined	Resistance: exercise for major muscle groups Aerobic: at 50%–75% VO _{2max/peak} , 2 d/wk	Supervised	Reduced energy intake by a nutritionist	Mild	3 mo

(continued on next page)

Table 2 (continued)

Reference (author(s) [y])	Exercise characte	ristics		Diet characteristics		Intervention
	Туре	Exercise protocol description	Supervised or nonsupervised ²	Dietary intervention	Energy restriction rate ³	durations
Galbreath et al. (2018) [83]	Resistance	Whole-body circuit exercises; with 30 s at 61%–82% 1RM, 3 d/wk	Supervised	EX + DI ₁ : total energy intake of 1200–2100 kcal/d, with high carbohydrate EX + DI ₂ : total energy intake of 1200–2100 kcal/d, with high protein	Mild	14 wk
Georgoulis et al. (2021) [65]	Aerobic	\geq 150 min/wk outdoor and convivial activities	Nonsupervised	DI: reduced 5%–10% of body weight with Mediterranean diet	ND	6 mo
Giannopoulou et al. (2005) [91]	Aerobic	60-min walking at 65%–70% VO _{2max/peak} , 3–4 d/wk	Supervised	EX +DI: reduced energy intake by 1460 kJ/d DI: reduced energy intake by 2510 kJ/d	EX + DI: mild DI: severe	14 wk
Gilbertson et al. (2019) [66]	HIT	5–10 sets of 90% HR _{max/peak} by 3-min recovery at 50% HR _{max/peak} , 6 d/wk	Supervised	Total energy intake of 1000–1200 kcal/d	Severe	13 d
Ibanez et al. (2010) [67]	Resistance	45–60-min whole-body exercises at 50%–80% 1BM, 2 d/wk	Supervised	Reduced energy intake by 500 kcal/d	Mild	16 wk
Kelishadi et al. (2008) [55]	Aerobic	Physical training included 20 min of fitness-orientated activities and 20 min of playing games and running, 5 d/wk	Nonsupervised	A balanced diet and nutrition consultation	Mild	6 mo
Khanna et al. (2017) [68]	Combined	Resistance: whole-body exercises; with 30 s at 60%–80% 1RM Aerobic: 30-min walking at 60–80% HBaurock 4 d/wk	Supervised	Total energy intake: 1200–1500 kcal/d	Mild	12 wk
Khoo et al. (2015) [56]	Combined	90–300-min weekly aerobic or resistance training according recommended by the American College of Sports Medicine, 3–7 d/wk	Supervised and nonsupervised	Reduced energy intake by 500 kcal/d	Mild	24 wk
König et al. (2015) [69]	Aerobic	At 55%–75% of VO _{2max/peak} , 3 d/wk	Supervised and nonsupervised	EX + DI: total energy intake of 1200–1800 kcal/d DI: total energy intake 1000–1700 kcal/d	Mild	6 mo
Lakhdar et al. (2019) [92]	Aerobic	30–45-min walking and running at 55%–80% HRmay/neak, 3 d/wk	Nonsupervised	Reduced energy intake by 2093 kJ/d	Mild	24 wk
Lam et al. (2016) [70]	Aerobic	Until 12.5% of total daily energy expenditure	Nonsupervised	EX + DI: reduced energy intake by 12.5% of total daily energy expenditure DI: reduced energy intake by 25% of total daily energy expenditure	Mild	6 mo
Liu et al. (2018) [71]	Aerobic	120-min brisk walking, swimming, jogging, table tennis, and badminton at 100–140 beats of HR, 12 times/wk	Supervised	Total energy intake: 1400–1600 kcal/d	Mild	4 wk
Loria-kohen et al. (2013) [72]	EX ₁ : aerobic EX ₂ : resistance EX ₃ : combined	EX ₁ : cycling and jogging at 50%–60% HRR, 3 d/wk EX ₂ : whole-body exercises; with 15 repetitions at 50%–60% 15RM, 3 d/ wk EX ₃ : combined EX ₁ and EX ₂ , 3 d/wk	Supervised	Total energy intake 5028–12,570 kJ/ d	Mild	22 wk

Table 2 (continued)

137

Reference (author(s) [y])	Exercise charact	teristics		Diet characteristics		Intervention
	Туре	Exercise protocol description	Supervised or nonsupervised ²	Dietary intervention	Energy restriction rate ³	durations
McNeil et al. (2015) [73]	Resistance	Whole-body exercises; 2–4 sets with 8–15 repetitions at 65%–75% 1RM, 3 d/wk	Supervised	Total energy intake of 1000–1790 kcal/d	Mild	6 mo
Miller et al. (2004) [93]	Combined	Resistance: lower-body exercises; 2 sets with 12 repetitions using ankle cuff weights and a weighted vest aerobic: 30-min walking at 50%– 85% HRR, 3 d/wk	Supervised and nonsupervised	Reduced energy intake by 250–500 kcal/d	Mild	18 mo
Murakami et al. (2007) [74]	Aerobic	60 min at anaerobic threshold, 3 d/ wk	Supervised	Total energy intake: 1200–1680 kcal/d	Mild	12 wk
Rokling-andersen et al. (2007) [94]	Aerobic	60-min circuit training and fast walking or jogging an average of 1.8 h/wk throughout the year, 3 d/wk	Supervised	Reduced weight: 0.5–2 kg/mo	Mild	12 mo
Sartor et al. (2010) [75]	HIT	10 sets of 4 min at 90% VO _{2max/peak} by 2–3-min recovery, 3 d/wk	Supervised	Total energy intake to 75% of daily energy expenditure	Mild	14 d
Scott et al. (2013) [95]	Combined	Aerobic target of 10,000 steps per day and resistance training at the symnasium. 3 d/wk	Supervised and nonsupervised	Total energy intake of 885–1170 kcal/d	Severe	12 wk
Shah et al. (2011) [96]	Combined	Resistance: whole-body exercises; 1–3 sets with 6–12 repetitions at 65%–80% 1RM Aerobic: 30 min at 65%–85% HR _{max/}	Supervised	Reduced energy intake by 500–750 kcal/d	Severe	12 mo
Shalitin et al. (2009) [97]	Combined	Aerobic: 45-min team sports and running games Resistance: 45-min sit-ups, hand- lifting of small weights, and ball exercise, 3 d/wk	Supervised	Total energy intake of 1200 kcal/d	Mild	12 wk
Straznicky et al. (2010) [76]	Aerobic	40-min cycling at 65% HR _{max/peak} , 3 d/wk	Supervised and nonsupervised	Modified DASH, reduced energy intake by 600 kcal/d	Severe	12 wk
Sun et al. (2021) [77]	EX ₁ : HIT EX ₂ : aerobic	EX ₁ : 10 sets of 6-s cycling at peak workload by 9-s recovery, 5 d/wk EX ₂ : 30-min cycling at 50%–60% VO _{2max/peak} , 5 d/wk	Supervised	Reduced daily carbohydrate intake; approximately 65%, 25%, and 10% of their daily energy intakes were obtained from fats, proteins, and carbohydrates	Mild	4 wk
Thong et al. (2000) [57]	Aerobic	Brisk walking and jogging until 700 kcal at 80% HR _{max/peak} , 7 d/wk	Supervised	Reduced energy intake by 700 kcal/d	Severe	12 wk
Volpe et al. (2008) [98]	Aerobic	30-min indoor skiing, 3–5 d/wk	Supervised	Reduced weight: 0.5–1 kg/wk	Severe	6 mo
Wang et al. (2015) [78]	Aerobic	Walking until 700 kcal/wk at 45%– 75% HRR, 3 d/wk	Supervised	EX + DI: reduced energy intake by 2100 kcal/wk DI: reduced energy intake by 2800 kcal/wk	Mild	20 wk
Weiss et al. (2006) [58]	Aerobic	Until 16%–20% of total daily energy expenditure, 7 d/wk	Supervised	Reduced energy intake by 16%–20% of total daily energy expenditure	Mild	12 mo
Weiss et al. (2017) [99]	Aerobic	Cardiovascular exercise and functional physical activities until 20% of total daily energy expenditure, 7 d/wk	Supervised and nonsupervised	Reduced energy intake by 20% of total daily energy expenditure	Mild	3–6 mo

(continued on next page)

Table 2 (continued)

Reference (author(s) [y])	Exercise charact	eristics		Diet characteristics		Intervention
	Туре	Exercise protocol description	Supervised or nonsupervised ²	Dietary intervention	Energy restriction rate ³	durations
Wiklund et al. (2014) [59]	Aerobic	30–60-min Nordic walking at 60%– 75% HR _{max/peak} , 3–4 d/wk	Supervised and nonsupervised	Reduced weight of 0.5 kg/wk	Severe	6 wk
Yadav et al. (2019) [79]	Yoga	120-min yoga training, 6 d/wk	Supervised and nonsupervised	Recommended diet included 50%– 60% carbohydrate, <30% total fat, <10% saturated fat, 10%–15% monounsaturated fat, 5%–8% polyunsaturated fat, cholesterol <200–300 mg/d, and protein 10%– 15%	ND	12 wk
Yazdanparast et al. (2020) [80]	Yoga	60-min yoga training (200 kcal/d), 5 d/wk	Supervised	EX + DI: reduced energy intake by 300 kcal/d DI: reduced energy intake by 500 kcal/d	Mild	8 wk
Yoshimura et al. (2014) [81]	Aerobic	60-min running, walking, and cycling at lactate threshold, 5 d/wk	Supervised and nonsupervised	Total energy intake of 25 kcal/kg of ideal body weight	Mild	12 wk
Cipryan et al. (2022) [53]	HIT	4–8 sets of 3 min at a rating of 18–19 BRPE by 3-min recovery at a rating of 9–11 BRPE, 3 d/wk	Nonsupervised	Very-low-carbohydrate high-fat, maximum 50 g of carbohydrates per day	Mild	12 wk

DI, diet intervention; EX, exercise; HIT, high-intensity interval training; $HR_{max/peak}$, maximal or peak heart rate; HRR, heart rate reverse; 1RM, one-repetition maximum; BRPE, Borg rating of perceived exertion; ND, not described. ¹ n = 47 studies.

138

 2 Categories for control of training: supervised, whole training sessions controlled; nonsupervised, whole training sessions not controlled; and supervised and nonsupervised, some training sessions controlled.

³ Categories for energy restriction. Mild energy restriction is defined as a total energy intake of \geq 1200 kcal/d, energy restriction of \leq 500 kcal/d, energy restriction of \leq 25% of daily energy intake or average weight loss of <0.5 kg/wk. Severe energy restriction is defined as a daily energy intake of <1200 kcal/d, energy restriction of >500 kcal/d, energy restriction of >25% of daily energy intake or average weight loss of <0.5 kg/wk.

Model	Study name			Statistics f	or each :	study				Std diff in	n means an	d 95% CI	
		Std diff in means	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value					
	Abbenhardt et al. 2013 (84)	-0.252	0.131	0.017	-0.509	0.005	-1.922	0.055	- 1	1	-	1	1
	Auerbach et al. 2013 (54)	-0.378	0.412	0.170	-1.185	0.430	-0.917	0.359		<u> </u>			
	Aydemir et al. 2020 (85)	-0.172	0.270	0.073	-0.702	0.357	-0.638	0.524					
	Elloumi et al. 2009 (89)	0.011	0.535	0.286	-1.038	1.059	0.021	0.983			-+	-	
	Figueroa et al. 2013 (90)	-0.738	0.398	0.158	-1.516	0.044	-1.849	0.064					
	Giannopoulou et al. 2005 (91)	-0.034	0.426	0.182	-0.870	0.802	-0.079	0.937			_ + _		
	Kelishadi et al. 2008 (55)	-0.553	0.213	0.045	-0.969	-0.138	-2.602	0.009					
	Khoo et al. 2015 (56)	-0.085	0.224	0.050	-0.523	0.354	-0.379	0.705					
	Miller et al. 2004 (93)	-0.321	0.160	0.025	-0.633	-0.008	-2.008	0.045					
	Rokling-Andersen et al. 2007 (94)	-0.081	0.208	0.043	-0.488	0.326	-0.390	0.697					
	Scott et al. 2013 (95)	-1.514	0.461	0.212	-2.417	-0.610	-3.284	0.001			-		
	Shah et al. 2011 (98)	-0.639	0.284	0.081	-1.197	-0.082	-2.249	0.025			-		
	Shalitin et al. 2009 (97)	-0.554	0.197	0.039	-0.941	-0.168	-2.812	0.005		_ ·			
	Thong et al. 2000 (57)	0.405	0.370	0.137	-0.320	1.129	1.095	0.274				-	
	Volpe et al. 2008 (98)	-0.090	0.255	0.085	-0.590	0.411	-0.352	0.725					
	Weiss et al. 2017 (99)	0.383	0.351	0.123	-0.325	1.052	1.035	0.301				-	
	Wiklund et al. 2014 (59)	-0.190	0.220	0.049	-0.622	0.242	-0.863	0.388					
	Cipryan et al 2022 (53)	-0.876	0.306	0.094	-1.476	-0.276	-2.884	0.004					
Random		-0.303	0.079	0.006	-0.457	-0.149	-3.862	0.000			• I		
									-4.00	-2.00	0.00	2.00	4.00
										Favors DI		Favors Ex	

Fig. 2. Forest plot of the effects of exercise training compared with those of dietary intervention on leptin in individuals who were overweight and those with obesity and aged 7–70 y. Data are reported as standardized mean difference and 95% CIs (95% lower and upper limits). DI, dietary intervention; Ex, exercise training.

Nodel	Study name		-	Statistics f	or each	study				Std diff i	n means an	d 95% Cl	
		Std diff in means	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value					
	Abbenhardt et al. 2013 (84)	0.169	0.131	0.017	-0.087	0.425	1.293	0.196	1	1	-	I I	- I
	Auerbach et al. 2013 (54)	0.489	0.414	0.172	-0.323	1.301	1.180	0.238			-+	-	
	Aydemir et al. 2020 (85)	0.150	0.270	0.073	-0.380	0.679	0.554	0.580			_ +-		
	Ben Ounis et al. 2008 (86)	-0.308	0.581	0.337	-1.446	0.831	-0.530	0.596		<u> </u>	-+		
	Bouchonville et al. 2014 (87)	0.453	0.281	0.079	-0.098	1.003	1.611	0.107				-	
	Christensen et al. 2010 (60)	0.574	0.331	0.110	-0.075	1.223	1.735	0.083			- +	-	
	Elloumi et al. 2009 (89)	0.266	0.537	0.288	-0.788	1.318	0.495	0.621			_ +- _	- 1	
	Figueroa et al. 2013 (90)	0.210	0.386	0.149	-0.547	0.967	0.543	0.587			_ -	.	
	Giannopoulou et al. 2005 (91)	0.114	0.427	0.182	-0.722	0.950	0.287	0.789			_ -	.	
	Lakhdar et al. 2019 (92)	0.825	0.466	0.217	-0.088	1.739	1.772	0.076			- 	<u> </u>	
	Rokling-Andersen et al. 2007 (94)	0.035	0.208	0.043	-0.371	0.442	0.170	0.865			_ +		
	Scott et al. 2013 (95)	0.328	0.411	0.169	-0.477	1.133	0.798	0.425			_ + •_	-	
	Shalitin et al. 2009 (97)	0.630	0.198	0.039	0.242	1.019	3.180	0.001			_	-	
	Weiss et al. 2006 (58)	0.053	0.333	0.111	-0.601	0.708	0.158	0.874			—		
	Weiss et al. 2017 (99)	-0.268	0.350	0.122	-0.954	0.418	-0.766	0.444					
	Wiklund et al. 2014 (59)	0.113	0.220	0.048	-0.318	0.545	0.514	0.607			- -		
	Cipryan et al. 2022 (53)	0.102	0.293	0.086	-0.472	0.675	0.347	0.728			- -		
Fixed		0.230	0.066	0.004	0.100	0.380	3.464	0.001			 ♦		
									-4.00	-2.00	0.00	2.00	4.00
										Favors Ex		Favors DI	

Fig. 3. Forest plot of the effects of exercise training compared with those of dietary intervention on adiponectin in individuals who are overweight and those with obesity and aged 7–70 y. Data are reported as standardized mean difference and 95% CIs (95% lower and upper limits). DI, dietary intervention; Ex, exercise training.

indicated that Ex + DI decreased the concentration of leptin (SMD: -0.31; P = 0.001) compared with Ex.

Adiponectin. Based on 16 intervention arms (16 studies), Ex + DI increased the concentration of adiponectin (SMD: 0.37; 95% CI: 0.12, 0.63; P = 0.004) compared with Ex (Fig. 5). There was significant heterogeneity among the studies ($I^2 = 70.28\%$; P = 0.001). The visual interpretation of funnel plots suggested publication biases; however, the results of the Egger test did not (P = 0.10). The trim-and-fill method identified 2 missing studies from the right side of the plot. When these missing studies were accounted for, the overall change was 0.49 (95% CI: 0.23, 0.76), confirming an increase in SMD. The sensitivity analysis showed that the significance and direction of adiponectin outcomes were unaffected. In addition, the sensitivity analysis conducted by omitting studies involving participants aged <18 y indicated that for adults aged \geq 18 y, Ex + DI increased the concentration of adiponectin (SMD: 0.27; *P* = 0.03) compared with Ex.

Ex + DI compared with DI

Leptin. Based on 32 intervention arms (32 studies), Ex + DI did not significantly reduce the concentration of leptin (SMD: -0.13; 95% CI: -0.26, -0.006; P = 0.06) compared with DI

Model	Study name			Statistics f	or each	study				Std diff i	n means an	d 95% CI	
		Std diff in means	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value					
	Abbenhardt et al. 2013 (84)	-0.571	0.134	0.018	-0.833	-0.309	-4.275	0.000		- T	₩	1	1
	Aydemir et al. 2020 (85)	-0.261	0.266	0.071	-0.783	0.261	-0.980	0.327					
	Beavers et al. 2013 (82)	-0.234	0.144	0.021	-0.516	0.047	-1.631	0.103					
	Elloumi et al. 2009 (89)	-0.855	0.558	0.312	-1.949	0.239	-1.531	0.128			• + •		
	Figueroa et al. 2013 (90)	-0.558	0.385	0.148	-1.313	0.197	-1.449	0.147		<u> </u>			
	Galbreath et al. 2018 (83)	-0.185	0.286	0.082	-0.745	0.374	-0.649	0.517					
	Giannopoulou et al. 2005 (91)	0.302	0.429	0.184	-0.538	1.143	0.705	0.481			-+	-	
	Miller et al. 2004 (93)	-0.063	0.162	0.028	-0.380	0.255	-0.387	0.699			-		
	Rokling-Andersen et al. 2007 (94)	-0.312	0.196	0.039	-0.696	0.073	-1.587	0.113					
	Scott et al. 2013 (95)	-1.335	0.464	0.216	-2.245	-0.425	-2.874	0.004			_		
	Shah et al. 2011 (96)	-0.477	0.276	0.076	-1.018	0.064	-1.727	0.084					
	Shalitin et al. 2009 (97)	-0.504	0.196	0.039	-0.889	-0.119	-2.565	0.010					
	Volpe et al. 2008 (98)	-0.110	0.255	0.065	-0.611	0.390	-0.432	0.665			-		
	Weiss et al. 2017 (99)	-0.085	0.339	0.115	-0.750	0.580	-0.250	0.802			-		
	Cipryan et al 2022 (53)	-0.585	0.298	0.089	-1.149	0.020	-1.894	0.058		- 1 -			
Random		-0.341	0.070	0.005	-0.479	-0.203	-4.853	0.000			+		
									-4.00	-2.00	0.00	2.00	4.00
									Fav	ors Ex plus	DI	Favors Ex	

Fig. 4. Forest plot of the effects of exercise training + dietary intervention compared with those of exercise training on leptin in individuals who were overweight and those with obesity and aged ranging from 7 to 70 years of age. Data are reported as standardized mean difference and 95% CIs (95% lower and upper limits). Ex, exercise training; DI, dietary intervention.



Fig. 5. Forest plot of the effects of exercise training + dietary intervention compared with those of exercise training on adiponectin in individuals who were overweight and those with obesity and aged 7–70 y. Data are reported as standardized mean difference and 95% CIs (95% lower and upper limits). Ex, exercise training; DI, dietary intervention.

(Fig. 6). There was significant heterogeneity among the included studies ($I^2 = 48.19\%$; P = 0.001). The visual interpretation of funnel plots suggested publication biases; however, the results of the Egger test did not (P = 0.61). The trim-and-fill method identified 6 missing studies from the left side of the plot. When theses missing studies were accounted for, the overall change was -0.23 (95% CI: -0.37, -0.09), confirming an increase in SMD. The sensitivity analysis conducted by omitting individual studies showed a change in significance. Furthermore, the sensitivity analysis conducted by omitting studies involving participants aged < 18 y indicated that Ex + DI did not decrease the concentration of leptin (SMD: -0.09; P = 0.10) compared with DI.

Adiponectin. Based on 25 intervention arms (25 studies), Ex + DI did not increase the concentration of adiponectin (SMD: 0.10; 95% CI: -0.02, 0.22; P = 0.11) compared with DI (Fig. 7). There was no significant heterogeneity among the studies ($I^2 = 20.72\%$; P = 0.17). The visual interpretation of funnel plots suggested publication biases; however, the results of the Egger test did not (P = 0.51). The trim-and-fill method identified 1 missing study from the right side of the plot. When this missing study was accounted for, the overall change was 0.11 (95% CI: -0.01, 0.24), confirming no change in SMD. The sensitivity analysis conducted by omitting individual studies showed no effect. In addition, the sensitivity analysis conducted by omitting participants aged <18 y indicated that Ex + DI did not change

Model	Study name			Statistics f	or each	study				Std diff	in means and	1 95% CI	
		Std diff in means	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value					
	Abbenhardt et al. 2013 (84)	-0.316	0.132	0.017	-0.574	-0.058	-2.400	0.016	1		 1		
	Aydemir et al. 2020 (85)	-0.095	0.263	0.069	-0.610	0.420	-0.361	0.718					
	Christensen et al. 1998 (60)	-0.502	0.203	0.041	-0.900	-0.104	-2.470	0.014					
	Cooper et al. 2012 (62)	0.221	0.215	0.046	-0.201	0.642	1.027	0.304					
	de Sousa et al. 2019 (63)	-0.038	0.313	0.098	-0.652	0.575	-0.123	0.902					
	Ellourni et al. 2009 (89)	-0.906	0.561	0.315	-2.006	0.194	-1.614	0.107					
	Figueroa et al. 2013 (90)	0.069	0.385	0.148	-0.686	0.824	0.178	0.858					
	Freitas et al. 2017 (64)	-0.648	0.287	0.083	-1.211	-0.085	-2.255	0.024		_ L -			
	Giannopoulou et al. 2005 (91)	0.387	0.430	0.185	-0.456	1.231	0.900	0.368				-	
	Gilbertson et al. 2019 (66)	0.528	0.415	0.172	-0.286	1.342	1.272	0.203				_	
	lbanez et al. 2010 (67)	-0.438	0.405	0.164	-1.232	0.356	-1.082	0.279		- 1 -			
	Khanna et al. 2017 (68)	-0.957	0.415	0.172	-1.771	-0.143	-2.305	0.021					
	Kanig et al. 2015 (69)	0.006	0.309	0.095	-0.599	0.612	0.021	0.983					
	Lam et al. 2015 (70)	0.101	0.500	0.250	-0.880	1.082	0.202	0.840				-	
	Liu et al. 2018 (71)	-1.733	0.337	0.113	-2.392	-1.073	-5.146	0.000		_ +			
	Loria-Kohen et al.2013 (72)	-0.288	0.267	0.071	-0.811	0.235	-1.078	0.281			- -		
	McNeil et al. 2015 (73)	-0.171	0.226	0.051	-0.615	0.272	-0.757	0.449					
	Miller et al. 2004 (93)	0.266	0.162	0.026	-0.052	0.583	1.641	0.101			⊢ ∎-		
	Murakami et al. 2007 (74)	-0.243	0.313	0.098	-0.856	0.370	-0.777	0.437			-		
	Rokling-Andersen et al. 2007 (94)	-0.180	0.199	0.040	-0.570	0.210	-0.904	0.366			_		
	Sartor et al. 2010 (75)	0.051	0.460	0.211	-0.849	0.952	0.112	0.911				.	
	Scott et al. 2013 (95)	-0.080	0.379	0.144	-0.823	0.663	-0.211	0.833			_		
	Shah et al. 2011 (96)	0.113	0.273	0.074	-0.421	0.647	0.414	0.679					
	Shalitin et al. 2009 (97)	0.106	0.191	0.036	-0.268	0.480	0.555	0.579					
	Straznicky et al. 2010 (76)	0.124	0.317	0.100	-0.496	0.745	0.392	0.695					
	Sun et al. 2021 (77)	-0.035	0.362	0.131	-0.744	0.674	-0.097	0.923					
	Volpe et al. 2008 (98)	0.007	0.267	0.071	-0.517	0.531	0.026	0.979			-		
	Weiss et al. 2017 (99)	-0.519	0.339	0.115	-1.184	0.147	-1.528	0.127		- 1			
	Yadav et al. 2019 (79)	0.033	0.212	0.045	-0.383	0.449	0.157	0.875			-		
	Yazdanparast et al. 2020 (80)	0.249	0.326	0.106	-0.391	0.888	0.762	0.446			-+=		
	Yoshimura et al. 2014 (81)	-0.107	0.350	0.122	-0.793	0.578	-0.307	0.759			_		
	Cipryan et al 2022 (53)	0.217	0.284	0.080	-0.339	0.773	0.766	0.444			_ +=		
tandom		-0.131	0.070	0.005	-0.268	0.006	-1.873	0.061				1	
									-4.00	-2.00	0.00	2.00	
									Fav	ors Ex plus	DI	Favors DI	

Fig. 6. Forest plot of the effects of exercise training + dietary intervention compared with those of dietary intervention on leptin in individuals who were overweight and those with obesity and aged 7–70 y. Data are reported as standardized mean difference and 95% CIs (95% lower and upper limits). DI, dietary intervention; Ex, exercise training.

Model	Study name	Statistics for each study							Std diff in means and 95% CI				
		Std diff in means	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value					
	Abbenhardt et al. 2013 (84)	-0.004	0.131	0.017	-0.261	0.252	-0.034	0.973	1	- I		1	1
	Aydemir et al. 2020 (85)	0.033	0.263	0.069	-0.482	0.548	0.127	0.899			_ —		
	Ben Ounis et al. 2008 (86)	0.644	0.592	0.351	-0.517	1.804	1.087	0.277					
	Bouchonville et al. 2014 (87)	0.140	0.273	0.074	-0.395	0.674	0.513	0.608					
	Christensen et al. 2010 (88)	-0.031	0.317	0.100	-0.652	0.589	-0.099	0.921			-		
	Civitarese et al. 2007 (61)	-0.288	0.410	0.168	-1.093	0.516	-0.703	0.482					
	Cooper et al. 2012 (62)	0.249	0.233	0.055	-0.208	0.707	1.067	0.286			- -		
	Elloumi et al. 2009 (89)	1.867	0.641	0.410	0.612	3.123	2.916	0.004			_ I -	-	<u> </u>
	Figueroa et al. 2013 (90)	0.110	0.385	0.149	-0.645	0.866	0.286	0.775			-		
	Freitas et al. 2017 (64)	0.513	0.285	0.081	-0.045	1.071	1.801	0.072				-	
	Georgoulis et al. 2021 (65)	-0.198	0.185	0.034	-0.560	0.164	-1.072	0.284					
	Giannopoulou et al. 2005 (91)	-0.152	0.427	0.182	-0.989	0.685	-0.356	0.722			-		
	Gilbertson et al. 2019 (66)	-0.080	0.408	0.167	-0.881	0.720	-0.197	0.844			-		
	Ibanez et al. 2010 (67)	-0.520	0.407	0.166	-1.318	0.277	-1.279	0.201		<u> </u>			
	Lakhdar et al. 2019 (92)	-0.413	0.452	0.204	-1.299	0.472	-0.915	0.380		- 1 -			
	Lam et al. 2015 (70)	-0.177	0.501	0.251	-1.159	0.805	-0.353	0.724		·			
	Liu et al. 2018 (71)	0.794	0.299	0.090	0.207	1.381	2.651	0.008			_	⊢	
	Rokling-Andersen et al. 2007 (94)	0.181	0.199	0.040	-0.210	0.571	0.907	0.384					
	Scott et al. 2013 (95)	-0.215	0.380	0.144	-0.960	0.530	-0.566	0.572					
	Shalitin et al. 2009 (97)	0.010	0.191	0.036	-0.363	0.384	0.054	0.957			-		
	Wang et al. 2015 (78)	0.320	0.259	0.067	-0.187	0.827	1.238	0.216					
	Weiss et al. 2017 (99)	-0.307	0.336	0.113	-0.965	0.352	-0.913	0.361					
	Yadav et al. 2019 (79)	0.280	0.213	0.045	-0.137	0.698	1.315	0.188			+=-		
	Yazdanparast et al. 2020 (80)	0.446	0.329	0.108	-0.199	1.091	1.358	0.175				-	
	Cipryan et al 2022 (53)	0.133	0.283	0.080	-0.422	0.688	0.470	0.638					
Random		0.102	0.064	0.004	-0.024	0.228	1.583	0.113		1	•		
									-4.00	-2.00	0.00	2.00	4.00
										Favors DI	Fa	avors Ex p	lus DI

Fig. 7. Forest plot of the effects of exercise training + dietary intervention compared with those of dietary intervention on adiponectin in individuals who were overweight and those with obesity and aged 7–70 y. Data are reported as standardized mean difference and 95% CIs (95% lower and upper limits). DI, dietary intervention; Ex, exercise training.

the adiponectin outcomes (SMD: 0.05; P = 0.38) compared with DI.

Body weight

Based on 15 intervention arms, DI decreased the body weight (WMD: -3.12 kg; 95% CI: -5.06, -1.19; P = 0.002) compared with Ex (Supplementary Figure 1). There was significant heterogeneity among the studies ($I^2 = 83.42\%$; P = 0.001). Based on 11 intervention arms, Ex + DI decreased the body weight (WMD: -5.59 kg; 95% CI: -7.26, -3.93; P = 0.001) compared with Ex (Supplementary Figure 2). There was significant heterogeneity ($I^2 = 26.62\%$; P = 0.19). Based on 29 intervention arms, Ex + DI decreased the body weight (WMD: -1.78 kg; 95% CI: -2.55, -1.00; P = 0.001) compared with DI (Supplementary Figure 3). There was no significant heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0.00\%$; P = 0.94).

Subgroup analyses

Comparison of the effect of DI with that of Ex on leptin

The subgroup analyses revealed significant reductions in the concentration of leptin with obesity (SMD: -0.33; P = 0.001), age ≥ 50 y (SMD: -0.27; P = 0.004), age <18 y (SMD: -0.51; P = 0.001), short-term interventions (SMD: -0.46; P = 0.007), long-term interventions (SMD: -0.27; P = 0.001), combined training (SMD: -0.49; P = 0.002), supervised exercise (SMD: -0.26; P = 0.01), nonsupervised exercise (SMD: -0.65; P = 0.001), a PEDro score of ≥ 5 (SMD: -0.31; P = 0.001), and mild energy restriction (SMD: -0.30; P = 0.001) (Supplementary Table 3).

Comparison of the effect of DI with that of Ex on adiponectin

The subgroup analyses revealed a significant increase in the concentration of adiponectin with obesity (SMD: 0.31; P = 0.001), age of 18–50 y (SMD: 0.22; P = 0.04), short-term interventions (SMD: 0.38; P = 0.001), combined training (SMD: 0.53; P = 0.001), supervised exercise (SMD: 0.30; P = 0.001), a PEDro score of <5 (SMD: 0.40; P = 0.04), a PEDro score of <5 (SMD: 0.40; P = 0.04), a PEDro score of <5 (SMD: 0.40; P = 0.04), a PEDro score of <5 (SMD: 0.40; P = 0.04), a PEDro score of <5 (SMD: 0.40; P = 0.04), a PEDro score of <5 (SMD: 0.40; P = 0.04), a PEDro score of <5 (SMD: 0.40; P = 0.04), a PEDro score of <5 (SMD: 0.40; P = 0.04), a PEDro score of (SMD: 0.20; P = 0.003), mild energy restriction (SMD: 0.20; P = 0.01), and severe energy restriction (SMD: 0.31; P = 0.01) (Supplementary Table 3).

Comparison of the effect of Ex + DI with that of Ex on leptin

The subgroup analyses revealed a significant reduction in the concentration of leptin with obesity (SMD: -0.34; P = 0.001), overweight (SMD: -0.33; P = 0.02), age ≥ 50 y (SMD: -0.28; P = 0.001), age of 18–50 y (SMD: -0.45; P = 0.02), age <18 y (SMD: -0.54; P = 0.003), short-term interventions (SMD: -0.54; P = 0.001), long-term interventions (SMD: -0.32; P = 0.001), aerobic training (SMD: -0.31; P = 0.001), combined training (SMD: -0.46; P = 0.02), supervised exercise (SMD: -0.32; P = 0.001), combined supervised and nonsupervised exercise (SMD: -0.35; P = 0.02), a PEDro score of ≥ 5 (SMD: -0.35; P = 0.001), and mild energy restriction (SMD: -0.32; P = 0.001) (Supplementary Table 4).

Comparison of the effect of Ex + DI with that of Ex on adiponectin

The subgroup analyses revealed a significant increase in the concentration of adiponectin with obesity (SMD: 0.48; P = 0.002), age of 18–50 y (SMD: 0.85; P = 0.02), age <18 y

(SMD: 0.92; P = 0.01), short-term interventions (SMD: 0.60; P = 0.001), long-term interventions (SMD: 0.19; P = 0.01), aerobic training (SMD: 0.45; P = 0.01), combined training (SMD: -0.59; P = 0.001), supervised exercise (SMD: 0.42; P = 0.003), a PEDro score of ≥ 5 (SMD: 0.38; P = 0.004), mild energy restriction (SMD: 0.34; P = 0.02), and severe energy restriction (SMD: 0.57; P = 0.003) (Supplementary Table 4).

Comparison of the effect of Ex + DI with that of DI on leptin

The subgroup analyses revealed a significant reduction in concentration of leptin with being overweight (SMD: -0.22; P = 0.01), aerobic training (SMD: -0.23; P = 0.03), and supervised exercise (SMD: -0.21; P = 0.02) (Supplementary Table 5).

Comparison of the effect of Ex + DI with that of DI on adiponectin

The subgroup analysis by the type of exercise supervision revealed a significant increase in the concentration of adiponectin with short-term interventions (SMD: 0.27; P = 0.01) and supervised exercise (SMD: 0.21; P = 0.02) (Supplementary Table 5).

Discussion

The current systematic review and meta-analysis is the first to compare the effect of Ex with that of DI and the effect of Ex + DI with that of either Ex or DI alone on circulating leptin and adiponectin in individuals who are overweight and those with obesity. Thus, DI appears to be more effective than Ex in decreasing the concentration of leptin and increasing the concentration of leptin and increasing the concentration of adiponectin, as is a combination of Ex and DI. However, Ex + DI was not more effective than DI alone. Our results indicated significant heterogeneity, which may be explained by age, BMI, the duration of intervention, the type of supervision, the quality of the study, and the magnitude of energy restriction.

In general, lifestyle interventions, including Ex and DI, are effective for weight management in individuals with obesity in whom the benefits extend beyond weight loss and include immune and metabolic adaptations [32,33,100-105]. Previous meta-analyses have demonstrated that either Ex or DI is effective in decreasing the concentration of leptin and increasing the concentration of adiponectin [38-45]; however, the effect of DI has not been compared with that of Ex. The current results indicate that DI confers greater benefits compared with Ex with regard to changes in the concentrations of leptin and adiponectin, which appear to be related to weight loss. In the case of leptin, the loss of body weight and fat as well as improved leptin sensitivity are potential mechanisms for decreasing leptin concentration [38]. Increased adiponectin concentration is likely to be a consequence of weight loss as well as beneficial alterations in inflammatory cytokines, including IL-6 and TNF- α , and androgen metabolism as well as improved insulin sensitivity [106,107]. Furthermore, weight loss in response to both Ex and DI has been linked to reduced inflammation [108], which also improves the homeostasis of leptin and adiponectin. Nevertheless, Abbenhardt et al. [84] suggested that reduced leptin concentration and increased adiponectin concentration with weight loss occur in a dose-dependent manner, regardless of the

intervention. Ex can improve body composition and fitness-related outcomes, thereby impacting leptin and adiponectin [109], with Ex having a greater impact on leptin [109]. The current results indicated that DI resulted in a greater loss of body weight than Ex, of up to 3 kg. Although the effect sizes in the current systematic review and meta-analysis were small, they may still be clinically significant because hyperleptinemia and hypoadiponectinemia are both important risk factors for CVD.

There is a substantive body of evidence from meta-analyses suggesting that a combination of Ex and DI is more effective than DI alone in improving the concentrations of inflammatory markers [32,33] and long-term weight loss [35]. It is not known whether the combination of Ex and DI has a superior effect on leptin and adiponectin compared with Ex or DI alone [35]. The current results suggest that a combination of Ex and DI is more effective than Ex alone but not compared with DI alone. From a clinical and practical stand point, these results highlight that DI needs to be added to lifestyle interventions to achieve a more effective treatment approach in populations that are overweight and those with obesity. It is likely that weight loss is necessary for beneficial changes in leptin and adiponectin. In the current study, weight loss through the combination of Ex and DI resulted in an \sim 1.7 and 6 kg greater weight loss compared with DI or Ex alone. The magnitude of weight loss is an important predictor of improved inflammation [108], with experimental studies showing greater effectiveness of Ex + DI and DI in improving the concentrations of leptin and adiponectin [84,110].

The current study showed that DI compared with Ex and Ex + DI compared with Ex alone effectively decreased the concentration of leptin and increased the concentration of adiponectin. However, these results were heterogeneous, except for the comparison of the effect of DI with that of Ex on adiponectin. Significant heterogeneity may be explained by the participants' BMI and age, demonstrating the notion that an individual's age and BMI play a role in the effect of lifestyle interventions on the response of leptin and adiponectin. Additionally, the duration of intervention, rate of energy restriction, type of training, type of supervision, and quality of studies explain the heterogeneity in the results. However, it should be noted that small numbers of studies in some categories of analysis should be considered while interpreting the results.

Limitations

There was significant heterogeneity in the results reported in the included studies; however, we performed the necessary subgroup analyses to assess the sources of potential differences in the results. We recognize that these differences in the results may also have been introduced by different approaches to study methodologies, exercise protocols, and participant characteristics as well as the quality of the studies. There was a significant publication bias for several of the included analyses. In order to address this publication bias, we used the trim-and-fill correction method to determine the role that missing studies might have played. Overall, the results of these additional analyses suggested the results of the meta-analysis were not affected by the apparent publication bias. However, there were limitations of the random-effects model in the presence of heterogeneity that lead to more relative weight being given to smaller studies and in the presence funnel plot asymmetry, which skewed the results toward the findings of smaller studies. We did not include any limitations regarding the age of the participants in the present meta-analysis. However, we performed subanalyses by omitting participants aged <18 y. Therefore, in future studies, the age of participants should be considered and appropriate subgroup analyses should be conducted. Finally, because of the exploratory nature of the current meta-analysis, multiple comparisons for multiple outcomes were performed without correction for the alpha level, increasing the risk for type I error.

In conclusion, the results of the current systematic review and meta-analysis suggest that DI alone or combined with Ex is more effective than Ex alone in decreasing the concentration of leptin and increasing the concentration of adiponectin in individuals who are overweight or those with obesity, suggesting that diet plays a critical role in improving the concentrations of leptin and adiponectin. These adaptations are influenced by weight loss, regardless of the type of intervention.

Funding

The authors reported no funding received for this study.

Disclosures

The authors report no conflicts of interest

Author Responsibilities

The authors' responsibilities were as follows – MK, MHS, SK, MES, and SKR: conceived and designed the study; MK, MHS, and SK: analyzed the data and completed the initial draft of the results; MK: drafted the original manuscript; MES and SKR: revised the manuscript; and all authors read and approve the final manuscript.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advnut.2022.10.001.

References

- [1] M. Ng, T. Fleming, M. Robinson, B. Thomson, N. Graetz, C. Margono, et al., Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adults during 1980–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013, Lancet 384 (9945) (2014) 766–781.
- [2] M.F. Costa, S.W. Peixoto, NCD Risk Factor Collaboration, Worldwide trends in diabetes since 1980: a pooled analysis of 751 populationbased studies with 4.4 million participants, Lancet 387 (10027) (2016) 1513–1530.
- [3] M. Mraz, M. Haluzik, The role of adipose tissue immune cells in obesity and low-grade inflammation, J. Endocrinol. 222 (3) (2014) R113–R127.
- [4] M. Koenen, M.A. Hill, P. Cohen, J.R. Sowers, Obesity, adipose tissue and vascular dysfunction, Circ. Res. 128 (7) (2021) 951–968.
- [5] A.S. Greenberg, M.S. Obin, Obesity and the role of adipose tissue in inflammation and metabolism, Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 83 (2) (2006) 461S–465S.

- [6] M. Blüher, Obesity: global epidemiology and pathogenesis, Nat. Rev. Endocrinol. 15 (5) (2019) 288–298.
- [7] D. Petrakis, D. Margina, K. Tsarouhas, F. Tekos, M. Stan, D. Nikitovic, et al., Obesity-a risk factor for increased COVID-19 prevalence, severity and lethality, Mol. Med. Rep. 22 (1) (2020) 9–19.
- [8] X. Unamuno, J. Gómez-Ambrosi, A. Rodríguez, S. Becerril, G. Frühbeck, V. Catalán, Adipokine dysregulation and adipose tissue inflammation in human obesity, Eur. J. Clin. Invest. 48 (9) (2018), e12997.
- [9] E. Maury, S.M. Brichard, Adipokine dysregulation, adipose tissue inflammation and metabolic syndrome, Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 314 (1) (2010) 1–16.
- [10] N. Ouchi, J.L. Parker, J.J. Lugus, K. Walsh, Adipokines in inflammation and metabolic disease, Nat. Rev. Immunol. 11 (2) (2011) 85–97.
- [11] M.W. Schwartz, S.C. Woods, D. Porte, R.J. Seeley, D.G. Baskin, Central nervous system control of food intake, Nature 404 (6778) (2000) 661–671.
- [12] M.D. Klok, S. Jakobsdottir, M. Drent, The role of leptin and ghrelin in the regulation of food intake and body weight in humans: a review, Obes. Rev. 8 (1) (2007) 21–34.
- [13] M. Otero, R. Lago, F. Lago, F.F. Casanueva, C. Dieguez, J.J. Gómez-Reino, et al., Leptin, from fat to inflammation: old questions and new insights, FEBS Lett. 579 (2) (2005) 295–301.
- [14] G. Fantuzzi, R. Faggioni, Leptin in the regulation of immunity, inflammation, and hematopoiesis, J. Leukoc. Biol. 68 (4) (2000) 437–446.
- [15] N. Iikuni, Q.L. Lam, L. Lu, G. Matarese, A. La Cava, Leptin and inflammation, Curr. Immunol. Rev. 4 (2) (2008) 70–79.
- [16] K.M. Ajuwon, M.E. Spurlock, Adiponectin inhibits LPS-induced NF- κ B activation and IL-6 production and increases PPARγ2 expression in adipocytes, Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 288 (5) (2005) R1220–R1225.
- [17] U.B. Pajvani, X. Du, T.P. Combs, A.H. Berg, M.W. Rajala, T. Schulthess, et al., Structure-function studies of the adipocytesecreted hormone Acrp30/adiponectin: implications for metabolic regulation and bioactivity, J. Biol. Chem. 278 (11) (2003) 9073–9085.
- [18] A.A. Ghadge, A.A. Khaire, A.A. Kuvalekar, Adiponectin: a potential therapeutic target for metabolic syndrome, Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 39 (2018) 151–158.
- [19] S. Zhao, C.M. Kusminski, P.E. Scherer, Adiponectin, leptin and cardiovascular disorders, Circ. Res. 128 (1) (2021) 136–149.
- [20] M.S. Poetsch, A. Strano, K. Guan, Role of leptin in cardiovascular diseases, Front. Endocrinol. 11 (2020) 354, https://doi.org/10.3389/ fendo.2020.00354.
- [21] M. Obradovic, E. Sudar-Milovanovic, S. Soskic, M. Essack, S. Arya, A.J. Stewart, et al., Leptin and obesity: role and clinical implication, Front. Endocrinol. 12 (2021), 585887, https://doi.org/10.3389/ fendo.2021.585887.
- [22] Y. Zhang, P.J. Scarpace, The role of leptin in leptin resistance and obesity, Physiol. Behav. 88 (3) (2006) 249–256.
- [23] C. Antoniades, A.S. Antonopoulos, D. Tousoulis, C. Stefanadis, Adiponectin: from obesity to cardiovascular disease, Obes. Rev. 10 (3) (2009) 269–279.
- [24] J.W. Anderson, J. Luan, L.H. Høie, Structured weight-loss programs: meta-analysis of weight loss at 24 weeks and assessment of effects of intervention intensity, Adv. Ther. 21 (2) (2004) 61–75.
- [25] T.A. Wadden, Treatment of obesity by moderate and severe caloric restriction: results of clinical research trials, Ann. Intern. Med. 119 (7 Pt 2) (1993) 688–693.
- [26] J. Salas-Salvadó, A. Díaz-López, M. Ruiz-Canela, J. Basora, M. Fitó, D. Corella, et al., Effect of a lifestyle intervention program with energy-restricted Mediterranean diet and exercise on weight loss and cardiovascular risk factors: one-year results of the PREDIMED-Plus trial, Diabetes Care 42 (5) (2019) 777–788.
- [27] R. Estruch, E. Ros, The role of the Mediterranean diet on weight loss and obesity-related diseases, Rev. Endocr. Metab. Disord. 21 (3) (2020) 315–327.
- [28] R. Wing, R. Jeffery, Effect of modest weight loss on changes in cardiovascular risk factors: are there differences between men and women or between weight loss and maintenance? Int. J. Obes. Relat. Metab. Disord. 19 (1) (1995) 67–73.
- [29] K.R. Westerterp, Exercise, energy balance and body composition, Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. 72 (9) (2018) 1246–1250.

- [30] A. Petridou, A. Siopi, V. Mougios, Exercise in the management of obesity, Metabolism 92 (2019) 163–169.
- [31] E. Doucet, K. McInis, S. Mahmoodianfard, Compensation in response to energy deficits induced by exercise or diet, Obes. Rev. 19 (2018) 36–46.
- [32] M. Khalafi, M.E. Symonds, A. Akbari, The impact of exercise training versus caloric restriction on inflammation markers: a systemic review and meta-analysis, Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 62 (15) (2022) 4226–4241.
- [33] Y. Liu, F. Hong, V.R. Lebaka, A. Mohammed, L. Ji, Y. Zhang, et al., Calorie restriction with exercise intervention improves inflammatory response in overweight and obese adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis, Front. Physiol. 12 (2021), 754731, https://doi.org/ 10.3389/fphys.2021.754731.
- [34] C.T. Miller, S.F. Fraser, I. Levinger, N.E. Straznicky, J.B. Dixon, J. Reynolds, et al., The effects of exercise training in addition to energy restriction on functional capacities and body composition in obese adults during weight loss: a systematic review, PLoS One 8 (11) (2013), e81692.
- [35] T. Wu, X. Gao, M. Chen, R.M. van Dam, Long-term effectiveness of diet-plus-exercise interventions vs. diet-only interventions for weight loss: a meta-analysis, Obes. Rev. 10 (3) (2009) 313–323.
- [36] M. Khalafi, K. Azali Alamdari, M.E. Symonds, H. Rohani, M.H. Sakhaei, A comparison of the impact of exercise training with dietary intervention versus dietary intervention alone on insulin resistance and glucose regulation in individual with overweight or obesity: a systemic review and meta-analysis, Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. (2022) 1–15.
- [37] S. Beigrezaei, Z. Yazdanpanah, S. Soltani, S.H. Rajaie, S. Mohseni-Takalloo, T. Zohrabi, et al., The addition of exercise to a weight loss diet on inflammatory markers: a systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled clinical trials, Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. (2021) 1–13.
- [38] M.V. Fedewa, E.D. Hathaway, C.L. Ward-Ritacco, T.D. Williams, W.C. Dobbs, The effect of chronic exercise training on leptin: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, Sports Med. 48 (6) (2018) 1437–1450.
- [39] N. Yu, Y. Ruan, X. Gao, J. Sun, Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials on the effect of exercise on serum leptin and adiponectin in overweight and obese individuals, Horm. Metab. Res. 49 (3) (2017) 164–173.
- [40] T. Becic, C. Studenik, G. Hoffmann, Exercise increases adiponectin and reduces leptin levels in prediabetic and diabetic individuals: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, Med. Sci. (Basel) 6 (4) (2018) 97.
- [41] M. Khalafi, A. Malandish, S.K. Rosenkranz, The impact of exercise training on inflammatory markers in postmenopausal women: a systemic review and meta-analysis, Exp. Gerontol. 150 (2021), 111398.
- [42] G.R.M. Rahimi, A. Niyazi, S. Alaee, The effect of exercise training on osteocalcin, adipocytokines, and insulin resistance: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, Osteoporos. Int. 32 (2) (2021) 213–224.
- [43] F. Shemirani, M. Golzarand, A. Salari-Moghaddam, M. Mahmoudi, Effect of low-carbohydrate diet on adiponectin level in adults: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 62 (14) (2021) 3969–3978.
- [44] M.H. Sohouli, E. Sharifi-Zahabi, A. Lari, S. Fatahi, F. Shidfar, The impact of low advanced glycation end products diet on obesity and related hormones: a systematic review and meta-analysis, Sci. Rep. 10 (1) (2020) 1–11.
- [45] H.V. Kord, G.M. Tinsley, H.O. Santos, H. Zand, A. Nazary, S. Fatahi, et al., The influence of fasting and energy-restricted diets on leptin and adiponectin levels in humans: a systematic review and meta-analysis, Clin. Nutr. 40 (4) (2021) 1811–1821.
- [46] X. Wan, W. Wang, J. Liu, T. Tong, Estimating the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample size, median, range and/or interquartile range, BMC Med. Res. Methodol. 14 (1) (2014) 1–13.
- [47] S.P. Hozo, B. Djulbegovic, I. Hozo, Estimating the mean and variance from the median, range, and the size of a sample, BMC Med. Res. Methodol. 5 (1) (2005) 1–10.
- [48] J.P.T. Higgins, S. Green, Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, second ed., John Wiley & Sons, Chichester (UK), 2019.
- [49] J.P. Higgins, I.R. White, J. Anzures-Cabrera, Meta-analysis of skewed data: combining results reported on log-transformed or raw scales, Stat. Med. 27 (29) (2008) 6072–6092.

M. Khalafi et al.

- [50] N.A. De Morton, The PEDro scale is a valid measure of the methodological quality of clinical trials: a demographic study, Aust. J. Physiother. 55 (2) (2009) 129–133.
- [51] C. Tufanaru, Z. Munn, M. Stephenson, E. Aromataris, Fixed or random effects meta-analysis? Common methodological issues in systematic reviews of effectiveness, Int. J. Evid. Base. Healthc. 13 (3) (2015) 196–207.
- [52] S. Duval, R. Tweedie, Trim and fill: a simple funnel-plot-based method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis, Biometrics 56 (2) (2000) 455–463.
- [53] L. Cipryan, M. Litschmannova, P.B. Maffetone, D.J. Plews, T. Dostal, P. Hofmann, et al., Very low-carbohydrate high-fat diet improves risk markers for cardiometabolic health more than exercise in men and women with overfat constitution: secondary analysis of a randomized controlled clinical trial, Front. Nutr. 9 (2022), 867690.
- [54] P. Auerbach, P. Nordby, L.Q. Bendtsen, J.L. Mehlsen, S.K. Basnet, H. Vestergaard, et al., Differential effects of endurance training and weight loss on plasma adiponectin multimers and adipose tissue macrophages in younger, moderately overweight men, Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 305 (5) (2013) R490–R498.
- [55] R. Kelishadi, M. Hashemipour, N. Mohammadifard, H. Alikhassy, K. Adeli, Short- and long-term relationships of serum ghrelin with changes in body composition and the metabolic syndrome in prepubescent obese children following two different weight loss programmes, Clin. Endocrinol. (Oxf) 69 (5) (2008) 721–729.
- [56] J. Khoo, S. Dhamodaran, D.D. Chen, S.Y. Yap, R.Y. Chen, R.H. Tian, Exercise-induced weight loss is more effective than dieting for improving adipokine profile, insulin resistance, and inflammation in obese men. Int. J. Sport Nutr. Exerc. Metabol. 25 (6) (2015) 566–575.
- [57] F.S. Thong, R. Hudson, R. Ross, I. Janssen, T.E. Graham, Plasma leptin in moderately obese men: independent effects of weight loss and aerobic exercise, Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. 279 (2) (2000) E307–E313.
- [58] E.P. Weiss, S.B. Racette, D.T. Villareal, L. Fontana, K. Steger-May, K.B. Schechtman, et al., Improvements in glucose tolerance and insulin action induced by increasing energy expenditure or decreasing energy intake: a randomized controlled trial, Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 84 (5) (2006) 1033–1042.
- [59] P. Wiklund, M. Alen, E. Munukka, S.M. Cheng, B. Yu, S. Pekkala, et al., Metabolic response to 6-week aerobic exercise training and dieting in previously sedentary overweight and obese pre-menopausal women: a randomized trial, J Sport Health Sci 3 (3) (2014) 217–224.
- [60] J.O. Christensen, O.L. Svendsen, C. Hassager, C. Christiansen, Leptin in overweight postmenopausal women: no relationship with metabolic syndrome X or effect of exercise in addition to diet, Int. J. Obes. Relat. Metab. Disord. 22 (3) (1998) 195–199.
- [61] A.E. Civitarese, S. Carling, L.K. Heilbronn, M.H. Hulver, B. Ukropcova, W.A. Deutsch, et al., Calorie restriction increases muscle mitochondrial biogenesis in healthy humans, PLoS Med. 4 (3) (2007) e76.
- [62] J.N. Cooper, M.L. Columbus, K.J. Shields, J. Asubonteng, M.L. Meyer, K. Sutton-Tyrrell, et al., Effects of an intensive behavioral weight loss intervention consisting of caloric restriction with or without physical activity on common carotid artery remodeling in severely obese adults, Metabolism 61 (11) (2012) 1589–1597.
- [63] M.V. de Sousa, R. Fukui, S. Dagogo-Jack, P. Krustrup, H. Zouhal, M.E. da Silva, Biomarkers of insulin action during single soccer sessions before and after a 12-week training period in type 2 diabetes patients on a caloric-restricted diet, Physiol. Behav. 209 (2019), 112618.
- [64] P.D. Freitas, P.G. Ferreira, A.G. Silva, R. Stelmach, R.M. Carvalho-Pinto, F.L. Fernandes, et al., The role of exercise in a weight-loss program on clinical control in obese adults with asthma. A randomized controlled trial, Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 195 (1) (2017) 32–42.
- [65] M. Georgoulis, N. Yiannakouris, R. Tenta, E. Fragopoulou, I. Kechribari, K. Lamprou, et al., A weight-loss Mediterranean diet/ lifestyle intervention ameliorates inflammation and oxidative stress in patients with obstructive sleep apnea: results of the "MIMOSA" randomized clinical trial, Eur. J. Nutr. 60 (7) (2021) 3799–3810.
- [66] N.M. Gilbertson, N.Z. Eichner, E.M. Heiston, J.M. Gaitán, M.E. Francois, J.H. Mehaffey, et al., A low-calorie diet with or without interval exercise training improves adiposopathy in obese women, Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metabol. 44 (10) (2019) 1057–1064.

- [67] J. Ibanez, M. Izquierdo, C. Martínez-Labari, F. Ortega, A. Grijalba, L. Forga, et al., Resistance training improves cardiovascular risk factors in obese women despite a significative decrease in serum adiponectin levels, Obesity 18 (3) (2010) 535–541.
- [68] D. Khanna, C. Baetge, S. Simbo, B. Lockard, E. Galvan, J. Yp, et al., Effects of diet and exercise-induced weight loss in sedentary obese women on inflammatory markers, resistin, and visfatin, J. Nutr. Obes. 1 (1) (2017) 102.
- [69] D. Konig, D. Zdzieblik, P. Deibert, A. Berg, A. Gollhofer, M. Büchert, Internal fat and cardiometabolic risk factors following a mealreplacement regimen vs. comprehensive lifestyle changes in obese subjects, Nutrients 7 (12) (2015) 9825–9833.
- [70] Y.Y. Lam, S. Ghosh, A.E. Civitarese, E. Ravussin, Six-month calorie restriction in overweight individuals elicits transcriptomic response in subcutaneous adipose tissue that is distinct from effects of energy deficit, J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. 71 (10) (2016) 1258–1265.
- [71] M. Liu, X. Lin, X. Wang, Decrease in serum chemerin through aerobic exercise plus dieting and its association with mitigation of cardiometabolic risk in obese female adolescents, J. Pediatr. Endocrinol. Metab. 31 (2) (2018) 127–135.
- [72] V. Loria-Kohen, C. Fernández-Fernández, L.M. Bermejo, E. Morencos, B. Romero-Moraleda, C. Gómez-Candela, Effect of different exercise modalities plus a hypocaloric diet on inflammation markers in overweight patients: a randomised trial, Clin. Nutr. 32 (4) (2013) 511–518.
- [73] J. McNeil, A. Schwartz, R. Rabasa-Lhoret, J.M. Lavoie, M. Brochu, É. Doucet, Changes in leptin and peptide YY do not explain the greater-than-predicted decreases in resting energy expenditure after weight loss, J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 100 (3) (2015) E443–E452.
- [74] T. Murakami, H. Horigome, K. Tanaka, Y. Nakata, Y. Katayama, A. Matsui, Effects of diet with or without exercise on leptin and anticoagulation proteins levels in obesity, Blood Coagul. Fibrinolysis 18 (5) (2007) 389–394.
- [75] F. Sartor, H.M. de Morree, V. Matschke, S.M. Marcora, A. Milousis, J.M. Thom, et al., High-intensity exercise and carbohydrate-reduced energy-restricted diet in obese individuals, Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. 110 (5) (2010) 893–903.
- [76] N.E. Straznicky, E.A. Lambert, P.J. Nestel, M.T. McGrane, T. Dawood, M.P. Schlaich, et al., Sympathetic neural adaptation to hypocaloric diet with or without exercise training in obese metabolic syndrome subjects, Diabetes 59 (1) (2010) 71–79.
- [77] S.Y. Sun, Z. Kong, Q. Shi, H. Zhang, O.K. Lei, J. Nie, et al., Carbohydrate restriction with or without exercise training improves blood pressure and insulin sensitivity in overweight women, Healthcare (Basel) 9 (6) (2021) 637.
- [78] X.W. Wang, T. You, K. Murphy, M.F. Lyles, B.J. Nicklas, Addition of exercise increases plasma adiponectin and release from adipose tissue, Med. Sci. Sports Exerc. 47 (11) (2015) 2450–2455.
- [79] R. Yadav, R.K. Yadav, R. Khadgawat, R.M. Pandey, Comparative efficacy of a 12 week yoga-based lifestyle intervention and dietary intervention on adipokines, inflammation, and oxidative stress in adults with metabolic syndrome: a randomized controlled trial, Transl. Behav. Med. 9 (4) (2019) 594–604.
- [80] F. Yazdanparast, S. Jafarirad, F. Borazjani, M.H. Haghighizadeh, A. Jahanshahi, Comparing between the effect of energy-restricted diet and yoga on the resting metabolic rate, anthropometric indices, and serum adipokine levels in overweight and obese staff women, J. Res. Med. Sci. 25 (2020) 37.
- [81] E. Yoshimura, H. Kumahara, T. Tobina, T. Matsuda, M. Ayabe, A. Kiyonaga, et al., Lifestyle intervention involving calorie restriction with or without aerobic exercise training improves liver fat in adults with visceral adiposity, J. Obes. 2014 (2014), 197216.
- [82] K.M. Beavers, W.T. Ambrosius, B.J. Nicklas, W.J. Rejeski, Independent and combined effects of physical activity and weight loss on inflammatory biomarkers in overweight and obese older adults, J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 61 (7) (2013) 1089–1094.
- [83] M. Galbreath, B. Campbell, P. LaBounty, J. Bunn, J. Dove, T. Harvey, et al., Effects of adherence to a higher protein diet on weight loss, markers of health, and functional capacity in older women participating in a resistance-based exercise program, Nutrients 10 (8) (2018) 1070.
- [84] C. Abbenhardt, A. McTiernan, C.M. Alfano, M.H. Wener, K.L. Campbell, C. Duggan, et al., Effects of individual and combined dietary weight loss and exercise interventions in postmenopausal women on adiponectin and leptin levels, J. Intern. Med. 274 (2) (2013) 163–175.

- [85] N. Aydemir, M.M. Pike, A. Alsouqi, S.A. Headley, K. Tuttle, E.E. Evans, et al., Effects of diet and exercise on adipocytokine levels in patients with moderate to severe chronic kidney disease, Nutr. Metabol. Cardiovasc. Dis. 30 (8) (2020) 1375–1381.
- [86] O.B. Ounis, M. Elloumi, M. Amri, A. Zbidi, Z. Tabka, G. Lac, Impact of diet, exercise end diet combined with exercise programs on plasma lipoprotein and adiponectin levels in obese girls, J. Sports Sci. Med. 7 (4) (2008) 437–445.
- [87] M. Bouchonville, R. Armamento-Villareal, K. Shah, N. Napoli, D.R. Sinacore, C. Qualls, et al., Weight loss, exercise or both and cardiometabolic risk factors in obese older adults: results of a randomized controlled trial, Int. J. Obes. (Lond) 38 (3) (2014) 423–431.
- [88] T. Christiansen, S.K. Paulsen, J.M. Bruun, S.B. Pedersen, B. Richelsen, Exercise training versus diet-induced weight-loss on metabolic risk factors and inflammatory markers in obese subjects: a 12-week randomized intervention study, Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. 298 (4) (2010) E824–E831.
- [89] M. Elloumi, O. Ben Ounis, E. Makni, E. Van Praagh, Z. Tabka, G. Lac, Effect of individualized weight-loss programmes on adiponectin, leptin and resistin levels in obese adolescent boys, Acta Paediatr. 98 (9) (2009) 1487–1493.
- [90] A. Figueroa, F. Vicil, M.A. Sanchez-Gonzalez, A. Wong, M.J. Ormsbee, S. Hooshmand, et al., Effects of diet and/or low-intensity resistance exercise training on arterial stiffness, adiposity, and lean mass in obese postmenopausal women, Am. J. Hypertens. 26 (3) (2013) 416–423.
- [91] I. Giannopoulou, B. Fernhall, R. Carhart, R.S. Weinstock, T. Baynard, A. Figueroa, et al., Effects of diet and/or exercise on the adipocytokine and inflammatory cytokine levels of postmenopausal women with type 2 diabetes, Metabolism 54 (7) (2005) 866–875.
- [92] N. Lakhdar, M. Landolsi, E. Bouhlel, Z. Tabka, Effect of diet and diet combined with chronic aerobic exercise on chemerin plasma concentrations and adipose tissue in obese women, Neuroendocrinol. Lett. 40 (6) (2019) 262–270.
- [93] G.D. Miller, B.J. Nicklas, C.C. Davis, W.T. Ambrosius, R.F. Loeser, S.P. Messier, Is serum leptin related to physical function and is it modifiable through weight loss and exercise in older adults with knee osteoarthritis? Int. J. Obes. Relat. Metab. Disord. 28 (11) (2004) 1383–1390.
- [94] M.H. Rokling-Andersen, J.E. Reseland, M.B. Veierød, S.A. Anderssen, D.R. Jacobs, P. Urdal, et al., Effects of long-term exercise and diet intervention on plasma adipokine concentrations, Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 86 (5) (2007) 1293–1301.
- [95] H. Scott, P.G. Gibson, M.L. Garg, J.J. Pretto, P.J. Morgan, R. Callister, et al., Dietary restriction and exercise improve airway inflammation and clinical outcomes in overweight and obese asthma: a randomized trial, Clin. Exp. Allergy 43 (1) (2013) 36–49.
- [96] K. Shah, R. Armamento-Villareal, N. Parimi, S. Chode, D.R. Sinacore, T.N. Hilton, et al., Exercise training in obese older adults prevents increase in bone turnover and attenuates decrease in hip bone mineral density induced by weight loss despite decline in bone-active hormones, J. Bone Miner. Res. 26 (12) (2011) 2851–2859.
- [97] S. Shalitin, L. Ashkenazi-Hoffnung, M. Yackobovitch-Gavan, N. Nagelberg, Y. Karni, E. Hershkovitz, et al., Effects of a twelve-week

randomized intervention of exercise and/or diet on weight loss and weight maintenance, and other metabolic parameters in obese preadolescent children, Horm. Res. 72 (5) (2009) 287–301.

- [98] S.L. Volpe, H. Kobusingye, S. Bailur, E. Stanek, Effect of diet and exercise on body composition, energy intake and leptin levels in overweight women and men, J. Am. Coll. Nutr. 27 (2) (2008) 195–208.
- [99] E.P. Weiss, D.N. Reeds, U.R. Ezekiel, S.G. Albert, D.T. Villareal, Circulating cytokines as determinants of weight loss-induced improvements in insulin sensitivity, Endocrine 55 (1) (2017) 162–173.
- [100] N. Collao, I. Rada, M. Francaux, L. Deldicque, H. Zbinden-Foncea, Anti-inflammatory effect of exercise mediated by toll-like receptor regulation in innate immune cells–a review, Int. Rev. Immunol. 39 (2) (2020) 39–52.
- [101] F. Pietrocola, J. Pol, E. Vacchelli, S. Rao, D.P. Enot, E.E. Baracco, et al., Caloric restriction mimetics enhance anticancer immunosurveillance, Cancer Cell 30 (1) (2016) 147–160.
- [102] J.M. Bruun, J.W. Helge, B. Richelsen, B. Stallknecht, Diet and exercise reduce low-grade inflammation and macrophage infiltration in adipose tissue but not in skeletal muscle in severely obese subjects, Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. 290 (5) (2006) E961–E967.
- [103] W.C. Miller, D.M. Koceja, E. Hamilton, A meta-analysis of the past 25 years of weight loss research using diet, exercise or diet plus exercise intervention, Int. J. Obes. Relat. Metab. Disord. 21 (10) (1997) 941–947.
- [104] R. Ross, D. Dagnone, P.J. Jones, H. Smith, A. Paddags, R. Hudson, et al., Reduction in obesity and related comorbid conditions after diet-induced weight loss or exercise-induced weight loss in men: a randomized, controlled trial, Ann. Intern. Med. 133 (2) (2000) 92–103.
- [105] D.T. Villareal, S. Chode, N. Parimi, D.R. Sinacore, T. Hilton, R. Armamento-Villareal, et al., Weight loss, exercise, or both and physical function in obese older adults, N. Engl. J. Med. 364 (13) (2011) 1218–1229.
- [106] C.C. Coughlin, B.N. Finck, J.C. Eagon, V.J. Halpin, F. Magkos, B.S. Mohammed, et al., Effect of marked weight loss on adiponectin gene expression and plasma concentrations, Obesity (Silver Spring) 15 (3) (2007) 640–645.
- [107] H. Kopp, K. Krzyzanowska, M. Möhlig, J. Spranger, A.F. Pfeiffer, G. Schernthaner, Effects of marked weight loss on plasma levels of adiponectin, markers of chronic subclinical inflammation and insulin resistance in morbidly obese women, Int. J. Obes. (Lond) 29 (7) (2005) 766–771.
- [108] L.K. Forsythe, J.M. Wallace, M.B. Livingstone, Obesity and inflammation: the effects of weight loss, Nutr. Res. Rev. 21 (2) (2008) 117–133.
- [109] A. Bouassida, K. Chamari, M. Zaouali, Y. Feki, A. Zbidi, Z. Tabka, Review on leptin and adiponectin responses and adaptations to acute and chronic exercise, Br. J. Sports Med. 44 (9) (2010) 620–630.
- [110] T. Christiansen, S.K. Paulsen, J.M. Bruun, T. Ploug, S.B. Pedersen, B. Richelsen, Diet-induced weight loss and exercise alone and in combination enhance the expression of adiponectin receptors in adipose tissue and skeletal muscle, but only diet-induced weight loss enhanced circulating adiponectin, J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 95 (2) (2010) 911–919.