

Meta-Analysis of the Association Between the rs228570 Vitamin D Receptor Gene Polymorphism and Arterial Hypertension Risk

Ivone FOC Nunes,¹ Ana ACM Cavalcante,² Marcus VOB Alencar,² Marcos DF Carvalho,³ José LR Sarmento,⁴ Nayra SCCA Teixeira,¹ Adriana A Paiva,¹ Lídia R Carvalho,¹ Leopoldo FM Nascimento,⁴ Maria SP Cruz,⁴ Marcelo M Rogero,⁵ Andréia CB Lima,⁶ and Cecilia MRG Carvalho¹

¹ Post-Graduate Program in Food and Nutrition, Federal University of Piauí, Teresina, Piauí, Brazil;² Post-Graduate Program in Pharmaceutical Sciences, Federal University of Piauí, Teresina, Piauí, Brazil;³ Department of Animal Science, Federal University of Piauí, Teresina, Piauí, Brazil;⁴ Post-Graduate Program in Animal Science, Federal University of Piauí, Teresina, Piauí, Brazil; ⁵ Faculty of Public Health, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil; and ⁶ Department of Nutrition, Federal University of Piauí, Teresina, Piauí, Brazil

ABSTRACT

The association between *Fokl* polymorphism in the vitamin D receptor (*VDR*) gene and susceptibility to arterial hypertension (HT) is controversial. Thus, we evaluated the relation between *Fokl* and HT according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines using MEDLINE® (Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online)/PubMed, Scopus, and Cochrane Library CENTRAL databases. Data from case-control studies, including the number of participants, age, 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations, systolic and diastolic blood pressure values, *Fokl* allele, and genotype frequency were extracted by 2 independent authors and OR was calculated with the 95% CI to assess the strength of the association between the *Fokl* variant and odds of HT. In general and subgroup analyses, we used allelic (f compared with F), common (ff compared with FF + Ff), risk (ff + Ff compared with FF), and additive (ff compared with FF) models. Six case-control studies including 3140 cases and 3882 controls were reviewed in the meta-analysis. Global assessment revealed a correlation between *Fokl* and reduced odds of HT in the additive/homozygote model (ff compared with FF; OR: 0.65; 95% CI: 0.45–0.94) and common/recessive model (ff compared with FF + Ff; OR: 0.75, 95% CI: 0.57–0.99). In Asian subjects, there was a significant reduction in the odds of HT in additive (ff compared with FF; OR: 0.84; 95% CI: 0.73–0.98) and risk models (ff + Ff compared with FF; OR: 0.87, 95% CI: 0.78–0.97), in particular, for Indians (South). In Africans, the statistically significant association occurred in the additive and common models. Allele f in the *Fokl* polymorphism of the *VDR* gene was associated with reduced odds of HT in the general population based on the risk model. Thus, nutritional genomics can help understand the influence of nutrition on metabolic homeostasis pathways and the clinical consequences of hypertension. This study shows the need for healthy, anti-inflammatory, and antioxidant compoun

Keywords: rs10735810, 25(OH)D, 1,25(OH), D, renin, cardiovascular disease

Introduction

Arterial hypertension (HT) is a prevalent disease worldwide. This complex and multifactorial disease, for which the incidence rate increases progressively with increased

Author disclosures: The authors report no conflicts of interest.

age (1), represents a significant threat to the health and wellbeing of several population groups as a risk factor for cardiovascular illness (2). The impact of lifestyle changes (smoking, sedentarism, obesity, and excessive consumption of alcoholic drinks, calories, and sodium), age, sex, and heredity affect arterial pressure (3). Healthy food intake plays a central role in regulating chronic inflammation, a condition that in response to HT damage leads to atherosclerotic plaque rupture and thrombosis (4). Thus, the organism is altered by diet, nutritional genomics, the interaction between diet and genetics, the relation between diet and disease, and the individual contribution of genotype to HT (5). Genetic

Supported by the Federal University of Piauí.

Address correspondence to IFOCN (e-mail: ivonefreirescosta@ufpi.edu.br).

Abbreviations used: CAD, coronary artery disease; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HWE, Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; HT, arterial hypertension; MEDLINE®, Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online; NOS, Newcastle–Ottawa scale; PRISMA, Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses; RAAS, renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; VDR, vitamin D receptor; 1,25(OH), D, 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D; 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D.

factors influence the development of HT in 30-50% of cases (6), especially polymorphisms that cause changes in vitamin D metabolism (7, 8).

Therefore, vitamin D, by binding to its receptor (VDR), classically acts on calcium, phosphorus, and bone metabolism homeostasis, and extraskeletal functions such as cell proliferation, immunomodulation, oncogenesis (9), endothelial function, inflammation, and modulation of renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) activity, which in particular regulates blood pressure (10). Studies have revealed an augmented risk of HT in people exposed to low serum concentrations of 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25 [OH] D), a biomarker of vitamin D status (11–17).

In addition, in cardiovascular metabolism, the active form of vitamin D, 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D (1,25 $[OH]_2 D$), exerts its biological effects on myocardiocytes (18), binds to the VDR, a transcription factor in the aortic endothelium (19), and smooth muscle vascular cells (20).

Human VDR is the product of a single gene on chromosome 12 at position 12q12-14. This protein mediates the pleiotropic actions of $1,25(OH)_2D$ by modulating the expression of target genes (21). $1,25(OH)_2D$ is a negative endocrine-regulating hormone in the RAAS that acts by inhibiting the expression of renin mRNA, regardless of calcium metabolism, which is involved in bone function. These biological activities of vitamin D are mediated by binding to the VDR, in which single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) can cause changes in arterial blood pressure and contribute to the onset of hypertension (22, 23).

One of the most widely studied SNPs in the *VDR* gene is the polymorphism known as the *FokI* restriction fragment (rs228570 or rs10735810), characterized as the replacement of thymine with cytosine in the translation initiation codon (AGT) on chromosome 12q13.1 of exon 2. Studies reporting hypertension data have shown controversial results, with some studies detecting a significant statistical association between *FokI* and high blood pressure (24, 25) and others showing opposite results (26).

FokI is the only *VDR* polymorphism resulting in an altered protein length, which likely has functional consequences such as vitamin D deficiency or excess formation and/or denaturation of the active form of vitamin D (27). The variant A or T (allele f) in *FokI* results in the production of the complete protein (427 amino acids) with lower biological activity compared with the polymorphic form containing the variant G or C (allele F); variants G and C generate a shorter protein of 424 amino acids. *VDR* with the *FokI* genotype GG or CC (FF) results in increased VDR protein activity compared to that with GA or CT (Ff) or AA or TT (ff) genotypes (23, 28–31). Thus, dominant homozygotes (FF) and heterozygotes (Ff) have a 2.2-fold higher risk of hypertension than recessive homozygotes (ff) (25).

We performed a meta-analysis of case-control studies to resolve the controversial results reported in previous studies, aiming to assess the role of the *FokI* polymorphism in *VDR* gene function and the odds of HT.

Methods

Search strategy

This study was performed according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (32). Two independent researchers reviewed published studies in the MEDLINE[®] (Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online)/PubMed, Scopus, and Cochrane Library CENTRAL databases between 17 January and 24 February, 2019, using combinations of 3 keyword sets as follows: "Genetic and Hypertension and Polymorphism VDR," "Vitamin D and Hypertension and Polymorphism," and "VDR and Hypertension and *FokI*."

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Study selection was not limited by the time of year or by sex, age, or ethnicity of the investigated individuals. We selected studies that used a case-control design conducted on humans, reporting the genotype frequency of hypertensive (cases) and normotensive (control) individuals, which enabled us to perform the meta-analysis. All studies analyzed were published in English. We excluded studies on pregnant women and animals, those conducted in vitro, transversal studies, revisions, letters to the editor, and those that did not report any results of the genotype and allele frequency for the 2 population groups compared. For studies reporting the same data more than once, we analyzed the publication found in the first search and ignored the duplicate results.

Study analysis

After reading the complete text and subsequent discussion between authors regarding this meta-analysis, the articles were analyzed to determine the design type, population characteristics, and main observed results. Study quality was assessed using the Newcastle–Ottawa scale (NOS) (33), which uses the "star" classification system and ranges from 0 (worst) to 9 (best). Studies with a score equal to or >7 were considered high-quality studies and those with values equal to or <6 were considered medium quality. Two investigators independently assessed the quality of the included studies, and the results were revised by a third investigator. Any disagreements were resolved through discussion.

Statistical analysis

The software STATA (version 12.1; Stata Corp.) was used for all statistical analyses. The analyses and comparison completed were considered exploratory. Genotype frequencies were assessed using the χ^2 test for the control group in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE; P < 0.05 was considered to indicate significant disequilibrium). Four genetic models were used for the analyses; allelic (f compared with F), common (ff compared with FF + Ff), risk (ff + Ff compared with FF), and additive (ff compared with FF). The results were expressed using the OR for dichotomic data with the 95% CI. A *P* value of 0.05 for the grouped OR was considered statistically significant. I^2 was used to test heterogeneity between studies and assess the global association between



FIGURE 1 Research flow chart.

the *FokI* polymorphism in the *VDR* gene and odds of HT. Due to the varying participant characteristics and genotyping methods used throughout these studies, we considered using random effects models for all the analyses. For sensitivity analysis, a single study was omitted at a time to determine if the omission influenced the effects and heterogeneity among studies. Stratification analyses were conducted to identify possible sources of heterogeneity between variables such as ethnicity, age groups, sample size, genotyping methods, and control types. A funnel plot was used to estimate publication bias among the studies included, with Egger's test and Begg's funnel plot used in all comparison models.

Results

Study characteristics

A scheme of the study selection process is shown in **Figure 1**. The initial literary search revealed 583 publications. After reading the titles and abstracts of the studies, 12 potential studies were included, and their complete text was read. According to the inclusion criteria, 6 case-control studies comprising 3140 HT patients and 3882 normotensive controls were selected for final analysis.

The analyzed studies included both male and female subjects from several continents including Europe (n = 3) (27, 34, 35), Asia (n = 2) (36, 37), and Africa (n = 1) (38). The main characteristics of the selected studies are summarized in **Table 1**, including the following: first author, year of publication, original country of the researched individuals, genotyping method of the *FokI* polymorphism, the number of participants, average values and age SE, 25(OH)D, and systolic (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP). Notably, all studies (100%) scored 5 stars or more according to the NOS, supporting the quality of the publications. Cottone

								Circulating	1 25(OH)D.					
-irst author			Genotvoing	2	-	Age,	2 y	/bu	mL	SBP, n	gHmr	DBP, m	imHg	
(reference)	Year	Country	method	Case	Control	Case	Control	Case	Control	Case	Control	Case	Control	NOS
Swapna (35)	2011	India	PCR-RFLP	280	200	55.6 ± 0.6	47.6 ± 0.7	NA	NA	161.3 ± 1.3	119.0 ± 0.2	98.7±0.8	80.4 土 1.3	9
Glocke (39)	2013	Germany	Sequencing	101	208	92.0	49.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Ŋ
Cottone (34)	2014	Italy	PCR-RFLP	71	72	45.0	NA	20.0**	AN	140.0	NA	90.06	AN	00
lia (36)	2014	China	RT-PCR	2409	3063	60.7 ± 11.2	58.1 ± 11.0	NA	AN	141.0 土 14.0	121.0 ± 12.0	88.0 ± 8.0	77.0 土 7.0	9
Errouagui (37)	2014	Morocco	PCR-RFLP	177	176	49.6 土 12.0	56.9 ± 1.5	25.2 ± 9.5	30.3 ± 13.0	147.8 土 17.8	127.9 土 6.6	84.5 土 10.1	73.2 土 4.1	ŝ
Gussago (<mark>27</mark>)	2016	Italy	PCR-RFLP	102	163	102.3 ± 0.3	73.0 ± 0.6	NA	NA	ΑN	AN	NA	AN	\sim
<i>n</i> - number of obse ⁻ Values are means -	ervations. ± SDs.													

TABLE 1 Studies evaluated in the meta-analysis

1

1

DBP, diastolic blood pressure; NA, not available; NOS, Newcastle-Ottawa scale; RFLP, restriction fragment length polymorphism; RT, real-time; SBP, systolic blood pressure. *Values are means \pm SDS; **median; 25(OH)D, 25- hydroxyvitamin D.

TABLE 2	Genotypic and allelic fr	equencies of VDR Fok	polymorphisms in the studie	s included in the meta-analysis
---------	--------------------------	----------------------	-----------------------------	---------------------------------

	Genotypic frequ	uencies (FF/Ff/ff)	Allele frequ	encies (F/f)	
Author	Case	Control	Case	Control	HWE (<i>P</i>)
Swapna (35)	150/100 / 30	68 / 102/30	400 / 160	238/162	0.41
Glocke (39)	36/52/13	75/102/31	124/78	252 / 164	0.70
Cottone (34)	36/30/5	29/36/7	102/40	94/50	0.38
Jia (<mark>36</mark>)	756 / 1180 / 472	910/1500/648	1936/1652	2410/2148	0.52
Errouagui (37)	120/91/9	79/74/21	211/100	153/95	0.57
Gussago (27)	45/40/10	79/63/21	130/60	221/105	0.14

HWE, Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; VDR, vitamin D receptor.

et al. (34) used the following exclusion criteria for study participants; secondary or malignant hypertension, diabetes or fasting glucose \geq 126 mg/dL, heart failure, positive history or clinical signs of ischemic heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, renal disease, and major noncardiovascular diseases. Glocke et al. (39) evaluated 2 groups of volunteers; over and aged under 90 y. Gussago et al. (27) evaluated those aged over 100 y and those aged 70-79 y. Jia et al. (36) evaluated individuals with a self-reported history of chronic kidney disease, liver disease, or cancer. Swapna et al. (35) did not include individuals with diabetes and cardiovascular disease. Errouagui et al. (37) included sex-matched healthy controls. The distribution of genotypes and P values for HWE of the controls are shown in Table 2. Among the controls, the genotype distribution of FokI in all 6 studies was included in HWE.

Meta-analysis

Pooled ORs were calculated to determine the global association between the *FokI* polymorphisms in the *VDR* gene and the odds of HT. Our analysis revealed no odds of HT based on the *FokI* polymorphism in the *VDR* gene in the model considering the presence of the variant allele (f compared with F: P = 0.05; OR: 1.21; 95% CI: 1.00, 1.46) and the risk/dominant model (ff + Ff compared with FF: P = 0.06; OR: 0.77; 95% CI: 0.58, 1.01). In contrast, the additive/homozygote model (ff compared with FF: P = 0.02; OR: 0.65; 95% CI: 0.45, 0.94) and common/recessive model (ff compared with FF + Ff: P = 0.045; OR: 0.75; 95% CI: 0.57, 0.99) revealed an association between a decreased odds of HT and *FokI* polymorphism in the *VDR* gene (**Figure 2**A–D).

To broaden the heterogeneity investigation, we conducted subgroup analyses (Table 3). To evaluate the effect of

Α								В								
First autho (Reference	r Experi) Events	mental Total	Con Events	trol Total	Weight	OR (95% CI) M-H Random	OR (95% CI) M-H Random	First author H (Reference) H	Experim Events	nental Total	Cont Events	trol Total	Weight	OR (95% CI) M-H Random	OR (95% CI) M-H Random	
Cottone (35)	102	142	94	144	9.6%	1.36 (0.82, 2.24)	+-	- Cottone (35)	5	41	7	36	7%	0.58(0.17, 2.00)		
Errouagui (38)	211	311	153	248	14.8%	1.31 (0.92, 1.86)	-	Erronagui (38)	9	120	21	100	12.7%	0.31 (0.13, 0.70)		
Glocke (34)	124	202	252	416	15.0%	1.03 (0.73, 1.46)	+	Glocke (34)	13	40	31	106	14.3%	0.87(0.41, 1.87)		
Gussago (27)	130	190	221	326	13.4%	1.03 (0.70, 1.51)	+	Guerage (27)	10	55	21	100	12.6%	0.84 (0.36 1.03)		
Jia (37)	1936	3588	2410	4558	28.5%	1.04 (0.96, 1.14)	•	Gussago (27)	10	1220	6490	1550	24.20/	0.04 (0.30, 1.33)	•	
Swapna (36)	400	560	238	400	18.6%	1.70 (1.30, 2.23)	+	Jia (57)	472	1220	20	1338	54.570	0.66 (0.75, 1.02)		
T . 1 (0.11) (01)		1000						Swapna (30)	30	180	50	98	19.1%	0.45 (0.25, 0.81)		
Total (95% CI)		4993		6992	100%	1.21(1.00, 1.46)	•	Total (95% CI)	1673		1998	100%	0.65 (0.45, 0.94)	•	
Total events	2903	3	3368					Total events	539		758			L		
Heterogeneity: T	$Tau^2 = 0.03$; Chi² =	13.15,DI	f=5(P	$= 0.02); I^2$	= 62%	0.1 1 10 100	Heterogeneity: T	$au^2 = 0.1$	10; Chr	= 10.47, C	f = 5(P	= 0.06); [² =	52% 0.01	01 1	10 100
Test for overall	effect:Z =	1.98 (P	= 0.05)			Favor	s (experimental) Favors (control)	Test for overall e	effect: Z	= 2.28 (P = 0.02)		Fay	wors (experimental) Favors	(control)
															(1)	(
С								D								
C First author	Experime	ntal	Con	trol		OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	D First author	r Expei	rimenta	I C	ontrol		OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
C First author H (Reference) H	Experime Events	ntal Total I	Con Events	trol Total	l Weight	OR (95% CI) M-H Random	OR (95% CI) M-H Random	D First author (Reference)	r Expei) Event	rimenta ts To	I Co tal Event	ontrol is Tota	l Weight	OR (95% CI) M-H Random	OR (95% CI M-H Randor) n
C First author H (Reference) H Cottone (35)	Experime Events	ntal Total I 71	Con Events 43	trol Total 72	l Weight 2 10.5%	OR (95% CI) M-H Random 0.66 (0.34. 1.27)	OR (95% CI) M-H Random	D First author (Reference) Cottone (35)	r Exper) Event 5	rimenta ts To 71	I Co tal Event 7	ontrol ts Tota 72	I Weight 4.9%	OR (95% CI) M-H Random	OR (95% CI M-H Randor) n
C First author H (Reference) H Cottone (35) Errouagui (38)	Experime Events 35 100	ntal Total 71 220	Con Events 43 95	trol Total 72 174	Weight	OR (95% CI) M-H Random 0.66 (0.34. 1.27) 0.69 (0.46, 1.03)	OR (95% CI) M-H Random	D First author (Reference) Cottone (35) Errouagui (35)	r Exper) Event 5 8) 9	rimenta ts To 71 220	I Co tal Event 7 21	ontrol ts Tota 72 174	4.9% 9.7%	OR (95% CI) M-H Random 0.70 (0.21, 2.33) 0.31 (0.14, 0.70)	OR (95% CI M-H Randor) n
C First author E (Reference) E Cottone (35) Errouagui (38) Glocke (34)	Experime Events 35 100 65	ntal Total 71 220 101	Con Events 43 95 133	trol Total 72 174 208	Weight 2 10.5% 4 17.2% 8 14.3%	OR (95% CI) M-H Random 0.66 (0.34, 1.27) 0.69 (0.46, 1.03) 1.02 (0.62, 1.67)	OR (95% CI) M-H Random	D First author (Reference) Cottone (35) Errouagui (33) Glocke (34)	r Exper) Event 5 8) 9 13	rimenta ts To 71 220 101	I Co tal Event 7 21 31	ontrol ts Tota 72 174 208	4.9% 9.7% 12.2%	OR (95% CI) M-H Random 0.70 (0.21, 2.33) 0.31 (0.14, 0.70) 0.84 (0.42, 1.69)	OR (95% CI M-H Randor) n
C First author H (Reference) H Cottone (35) Errouagui (38) Glocke (34) Gussago (27)	Experime Events 35 100 65 50	ntal Total 71 220 101 95	Con Events 43 95 133 84	trol Total 72 174 208 163	Uweight 2 10.5% 4 17.2% 8 14.3% 5 14.1%	OR (95% CI) M-H Random 0.66 (0.34. 1.27) 0.69 (0.46, 1.03) 1.02 (0.62, 1.67) 1.04 (0.63, 1.73)	OR (95% CI) M-H Random	D First author (Reference) Cottone (35) Errouagui (33) Glocke (34) Gussago (27)	r Exper) Event 5 8) 9 13) 10	rimentai ts To 71 220 101 95	I Co tal Event 7 21 31 21	ontrol is Tota 72 174 208 163	4.9% 9.7% 12.2% 9.8%	OR (95% CI) M-H Random 0.70 (0.21, 2.33) 0.31 (0.14, 0.70) 0.84 (0.42, 1.69) 0.80 (0.36, 1.77)	OR (95% CI) n
C First author E (Reference) E Cottone (35) Errouagui (38) Glocke (34) Gussago (27) Jia (37)	Experime Events 35 100 65 50 1652	ntal Total 1 220 101 95 2408	Con Events 43 95 133 84 2148	trol Total 72 174 208 163 3058	Weight 2 10.5% 4 17.2% 8 14.3% 4 14.1% 8 25.9%	OR (95% CI) M-H Random 0.66 (0.34, 1.27) 0.69 (0.46, 1.03) 1.02 (0.62, 1.67) 1.04 (0.63, 1.73) 0.93 (0.82, 1.04)	OR (95% CI) M-H Random	D First author (Reference) Cottone (35) Errouagui (35) Glocke (34) Gussago (27) Jia (37)	r Exper) Event 5 8) 9 13 10 472	rimental ts To 71 220 101 95 2408	I Co tal Event 7 21 31 21 648	ontrol ts Tota 72 174 208 163 3058	4.9% 9.7% 12.2% 9.8% 45.9%	OR (95% CI) M-H Random 0.70 (0.21, 2.33) 0.31 (0.14, 0.70) 0.84 (0.42, 1.69) 0.80 (0.36, 1.77) 0.91 (0.79, 1.04)	OR (95% CI) n
C First author E (Reference) E Cottone (35) Errouagui (38) Glocke (34) Gussago (27) Jia (37) Jia Swapna.(36)	Experime 200 at 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	ntal Total 1 71 220 101 95 2408 280	Con Events 43 95 133 84 2148 30	trol Total 72 174 208 163 3058 98	Weight 2 10.5% 4 17.2% 8 14.3% 5 14.1% 3 25.9% 3 19.1%	OR (95% CI) M-H Random 0.66 (0.34, 1.27) 0.69 (0.46, 1.03) 1.02 (0.62, 1.67) 1.04 (0.63, 1.73) 0.93 (0.82, 1.04) 0.45 (0.31, 0.61)	OR (95% CI) M-H Random	D First author (Reference) Cottone (35) Errouagui (34) Glocke (34) Gussago (27) Jia (37) Swapna (36)	r Exper 5 8) 9 13 10 472 30	rimental ts To 71 220 101 95 2408 280	I Co tal Event 7 21 31 21 648 30	2000 2017 2017 2017 2018 103 3058 200	4.9% 9.7% 12.2% 9.8% 45.9% 17.4%	OR (95% CI) M-H Random 0.70 (0.21, 2.33) 0.31 (0.14, 0.70) 0.84 (0.42, 1.69) 0.80 (0.36, 1.77) 0.91 (0.79, 1.04) 0.68 (0.40, 1.17)	OR (95% Cl M-H Randor) n
C First author F (Reference) F Cottone (35) Cottone (38) Glocke (34) Gussago (27) Jia (37) Jia (37) Swapna (36) Total (95% CI)	Experime Events 35 100 65 50 1652 130	ntal Total 1 71 220 101 95 2408 280 3175	Con Events 43 95 133 84 2148 30	trol Total 77 174 208 163 3058 98 387	Weight 2 10.5% 4 17.2% 8 14.3% 5 14.1% 3 25.9% 3 19.1% 75 100 %	OR (95% CI) M-H Random 0.66 (0.34, 1.27) 0.69 (0.46, 1.03) 1.02 (0.62, 1.67) 1.04 (0.63, 1.73) 0.93 (0.82, 1.04) 0.45 (0.31, 0.61) % 0.77 (0.58, 1.01)	OR (95% CI) M-H Random	D First authou (Reference) Cottone (35) Errouagui (34) Glocke (34) Gussago (27) Jia (37) Swapna (36) Total (95% C	r Exper) Event 5 8) 9 13 10 472 30	rimental ts To 71 220 101 95 2408 280 317	I Co tal Event 7 21 31 21 648 30 5	ontrol is Tota 72 174 208 163 3058 200 3875	4.9% 9.7% 12.2% 9.8% 45.9% 17.4% 100%	OR (95% CI) M-H Random 0.70 (0.21, 2.33) 0.31 (0.14, 0.70) 0.84 (0.42, 1.69) 0.80 (0.36, 1.77) 0.91 (0.79, 1.04) 0.68 (0.40, 1.17) 0.75 [0.57, 0.99]	OR (95% Cl M-H Randor) n
C First author E (Reference) E Cottone (35) Errouagui (38) Glocke (34) Gussago (27) Jia (37) Jia Swapna (36) Total (95% CI) Total events	Experime Events 35 100 65 50 1652 130 2032	ntal Total 1 71 220 101 95 2408 280 3175	Con Events 43 95 133 84 2148 30 2635	trol Total 72 174 208 163 3058 98 387	Weight 2 10.5% 4 17.2% 8 14.3% 4 14.1% 8 25.9% 3 19.1% 75 100 %	OR (95% CI) M-H Random 0.66 (0.34. 1.27) 0.69 (0.46, 1.03) 1.02 (0.62, 1.67) 1.04 (0.63, 1.73) 0.93 (0.82, 1.04) 6 0.45 (0.31, 0.61) % 0.77 (0.58, 1.01)	OR (95% CI) M-H Random	D First author (Reference) Cottone (35) Errouagui (35) Glocke (34) Gussago (27) Jia (37) Swapna (36) Total (95% C Total events	r Exper) Event 5 8) 9 13 10 472 30 CI) 539	rimental is To 71 220 101 95 2408 280 317	I Contract Events 7 21 31 21 648 30 5 758	72 174 208 163 3058 200 3875	4.9% 9.7% 12.2% 9.8% 45.9% 17.4% 100%	OR (95% CI) M-H Random 0.70 (0.21, 2.33) 0.31 (0.14, 0.70) 0.84 (0.42, 1.69) 0.80 (0.36, 1.77) 0.91 (0.79, 1.04) 0.68 (0.40, 1.17) 0.75 [0.57, 0.99]	OR (95% Cl M-H Randor) n
C First author F (Reference) F Cottone (35) Errouagui (38) Glocke (34) Gussago (27) Jia (37) J Swapna (36) Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Ta	Experime 35 100 65 50 1652 130 2032 u ² = 0.07;	ntal Total I 71 220 101 95 2408 280 3175 Ch ² =	Con Events 43 95 133 84 2148 30 2635 16.06, D	trol Total 7: 174 208 163 3058 98 387 387	Weight 2 10.5% 4 17.2% 8 14.3% 4 14.1% 3 25.9% 3 19.1% 75 100 %	OR (95% CI) M-H Random 0.66 (0.34. 1.27) 0.69 (0.46, 1.03) 1.02 (0.62, 1.67) 1.04 (0.65, 1.73) 0.93 (0.82, 1.04) 6 0.45 (0.31, 0.61) % 0.77 (0.58, 1.01) F = 69%	OR (95% CI) M-H Random	D First author (Reference) Cottone (35) Errouagui (33) Glocke (34) Gussago (27) Jia (37) Swapna (36) Total (95% C Total events Heterogeneity	r Exper) Event 5 8) 9 13 0 10 472 30 CI) 539 : Chi ² =	rimental is To 71 220 101 95 2408 280 317: 7.57,Df	l Co tal Event 7 21 31 21 648 30 5 758 = 5 (P =	200 201 201 201 201 202 163 3058 200 3875 0.018); J	I Weight 4.9% 9.7% 12.2% 9.8% 9.8% 45.9% 17.4% 100%	OR (95% CI) M-H Random 0.70 (0.21, 2.33) 0.31 (0.14, 0.70) 0.84 (0.42, 1.69) 0.80 (0.36, 1.77) 0.91 (0.79, 1.04) 0.68 (0.40, 1.17) 0.75 [0.57, 0.99]	OR (95% Cl M-H Randor) n
C First author F (Reference) F Cotton (35) Errouagui (38) Glocke (34) Glocke (34) Gloskago (27) Jia (37) Jia (37) Total (95% CI) Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Ta Test for overall eff	Experime Events 35 100 65 50 1652 130 2032 $u^2 = 0.07;$ ifect: Z =	ntal Total 71 220 101 95 2408 280 3175 Ch \vec{r} = 1.89 (P =	Con Events 43 95 133 84 2148 30 2635 16.06,D = 0.006	trol Total 72 174 208 163 3058 98 387 387 387	I Weight 2 10.5% 4 17.2% 8 14.3% 5 14.1% 3 25.9% 3 19.1% 75 100%	OR (95% CI) M-H Random 0.66 (0.34. 1.27) 0.69 (0.46, 1.03) 1.02 (0.62, 1.67) 1.04 (0.63, 1.73) 0.93 (0.82, 1.04) 6 0.45 (0.31, 0.61) % 0.77 (0.58, 1.01) $F = 69\%$ 0.01	OR (95% CI) M-H Random	D First author (Reference) Cottone (35) Errouagui (33) Glocke (34) Gussago (27) Jia (37) Swapna (36) Total (95% C Total events Heterogeneity Test for overa	r Experi 5 8) 9 13 10 472 30 CI) 539 : Chi ² =	rimentai is To 71 220 101 95 2408 280 317: 7.57,DF Z = 2.0	$\begin{array}{c c} 1 & Co \\ \hline tal & Even \\ \hline 7 & 21 \\ 31 & 21 \\ 648 & 30 \\ \hline 5 & \\ 5 & \\ 5 & \\ 758 \\ = 5 (P = -1) \\ 1(P = 0.0) \\ \hline \end{array}$	208 163 3058 200 3875 0.018); J 045)	Weight 4.9% 9.7% 12.2% 9.8% 45.9% 17.4% 100%	OR (95% CI) M-H Random 0.70 (0.21, 2.33) 0.31 (0.14, 0.70) 0.84 (0.42, 1.69) 0.80 (0.36, 1.77) 0.91 (0.79, 1.04) 0.68 (0.40, 1.17) 0.75 [0.57, 0.99]	OR (95% CI M-H Randor) n 10 100

FIGURE 2 A–D. Forest plot of genetic models; A: f compared with F, B: ff compared with FF, C: ff + Ff compared with FF, and D: ff compared with FF + Ff.

	•			;				;	
Characteristics	- 4	f vs. F		ff vs. FF		# + Ff vs. FF		ft vs. FF + Ff	
		OR ² (95% CI)	٩	OR (95% CI)	٩	OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)	٩
Total	9	1.21 (1.00, 1.46)	0.02	0.65 (0.45, 0.94)*, ³	0.06	0.77 (0.58, 1.01)	0.007	0.75 (0.57, 0.99)*	0.18
1 [∠] , % Ethnicities		62		52		69		34	
Asians	2	1.09 (1.01, 1.19)*	0.000	0.84 (0.73, 0.98)*	0.03	0.87 (0.78, 0.97)*	0.000	0.89 (0.78, 1.02)	0.31
Han Chinese (East)	-	1.04 (0.96, 1.14)		0.88 (0.75, 1.02)		0.93 (0.82, 1.04)		0.91 (0.79, 1.04)	
Indians (South)		1.70 (1.30, 2.23)*		0.45 (0.25, 0.81)*		0.45 (0.31, 0.65)*		0.68 (0.40, 1.17)	
Europeans	m	1.09 (0.87, 1.37)	0.64	0.80 (0.48, 1.34)	0.85	0.93 (0.68, 1.27)	0.50	0.80 (0.50, 1.30)	0.97
Italians	2	1.14 (0.84, 1.55)	0.63	0.74 (0.37, 1.49)	0.84	0.88 (0.59, 1.31)	0.53	0.77 (0.39, 1.49)	0.92
Germany	-	1.03 (0.73, 1.46)		0.87 (0.41, 1.87)		1.02 (0.62, 1.67)		0.84 (0.42, 1.69)	
Africans	1	1.31 (0.92, 1.86)		0.31 (0.13, 0.70)*		0.69 (0.46, 1.03)		0.31 (0.14, 0.70)*	
Subtotal		1.15 (1.04, 1.28)*	0.05	0.74 (0.61, 0.89)*	0.08	0.81 (0.70, 0.95)*	0.020	0.84 (0.74, 0.96)*	0.243
P, %		52.2		47		60.2		24.4	
Age group									
Adults	m	1.10 (1.02, 1.20)*	0.002	0.81 (0.70, 0.94)*	0.006	0.85 (0.77, 0.95)*	0.000	0.87 (0.76, 0.98)*	0.02
Elderly	2	1.03 (0.80, 1.33)	0.98	0.86 (0.49, 1.54)	0.94	1.03 (0.72, 1.47)	0.94	0.82 (0.49, 1.39)	0.91
Adults + elderly	-	1.36 (0.82, 2.24)		0.58 (0.17, 2.00)		0.66 (0.34, 1.27)		0.70 (0.21, 2.33)	
Subtotal		1.18 (0.93, 1.49)	0.004	0.69 (0.44, 1.09)	0.012	0.80 (0.57, 1.13)	0.001	0.77 (0.57, 1.05)	0.089
P, %		82.2		77.4		84.8		58.6	
Sample size									
Large (>500)	-	1.04 (0.96, 1.14)		0.88 (0.75, 1.02)		0.93 (0.82, 1.04)		0.91 (0.79, 1.04)	
Small (<500)	Ŋ	1.31 (1.12, 1.53)*	0.14	0.55 (0.39, 0.78)*	0.31	0.69 (0.56, 0.85)*	0.04	0.64 (0.46, 0.89)*	0.40
Subtotal		1.16 (0.92, 1.47)	0.008	0.69 (0.41, 1.15)	0.006	0.79 (0.57, 1.09)	0.005	0.76 (0.53, 1.12)	0.029
P ² , (%)		85.9		87.0		87.4		79.1	
Genotyping methods									
PCR-RFLP	4	1.39 (1.17, 1.66)*	0.65	0.49 (0.33, 0.72)*	0.40	0.64 (0.51, 0.80)*	0.06	0.59 (0.41, 0.86)*	0.35
Others	2	1.04 (0.96, 1.14)	0.96	0.88 (0.75, 1.02)	0.99	0.93 (0.83, 1.04)	0.71	0.90 (0.79, 1.03)	0.84
Subtotal		1.17 (0.89, 1.54)	0.005	0.68 (0.38, 1.20)	0.005	0.78 (0.54, 1.13)	0.003	0.76 (0.50, 1.15)	0.028
P, (%)		87.5		87.1		88.3		79.2	
Source of control									
Healthy	5	1.31 (1.12, 1.53)*	0.65	0.55 (0.39, 0.78)*	0.31	0.69 (0.56, 0.85)*	0.04	0.64 (0.46, 0.89)*	0.40
Healthy and diabetic	1	1.04 (0.96, 1.14)		0.88 (0.75, 1.02)		0.93 (0.82, 1.04)		0.91 (0.79, 1.04)	
Subtotal		1.16 (0.92, 1.47)	0.008	0.69 (0.41, 1.15)	0.006	0.79 (0.57, 1.09)	0.005	0.77 (0.52, 1.11)	0.029
P, (%)		85.9		87.0		87.4		79.1	
Adults (aged 35–60 y); Adults + elc	Jerly (aged 18–7	75 y); Elderly (aged 90–102 y); H	lealthy: studies, w	ith controls comprising healthy p	oersons; Healthy a	nd diabetic: studies, with control	s comprising heal	thy persons and those with illness	ses; HT,
пуретельтоп; <i>P</i> -neterogenetry; кыт ¹ <i>n</i> . number of studies.	-r, resurction ir a	. קוווויז וווווויז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז א	vur, vildmin u fe	ceptor.					
$^{2}\alpha$, 0.05; used to determine statistic	cal significance (of the OR.							
³ *OR with statistical significance.	3								

 TABLE 3
 Meta-analysis of the VDR gene Fokl polymorphism and hypertension



FIGURE 3 Analysis of the funnel plot to detect publication bias from 6 eligible studies. A: f compared with F, B: ff compared with FF, C: ff + Ff compared with FF, and D: ff compared with FF + Ff. Circles represent the weight of individual study. log, logarithm.

geographic differences on the global estimates, studies were classified as Asian, comprising 2 groups, namely Eastern (China) and Southern (India), European (subdivided as Italians and Germans), and Africans. Regarding ethnicity, we found an increased odds of HT in the Asian (f compared with F; OR: 1.09; 95% CI: 1.01, 1.19; P-heterogeneity < 0.0001) and Southern Asian (f compared with F; OR: 1.70; 95% CI: 1.30, 2.23) populations under the allelic model. However, in Asians, an inverse association was observed with the additive model (ff compared with FF; OR: 0.84; 95% CI: 0.73, 0.98; *P*-heterogeneity = 0.003) and risk model (ff + Ff compared with FF; OR: 0.87; 95% CI: 0.78, 0.97; P-heterogeneity <0.0001). In parallel, this was the same for Indians (South) in the additive and risky genetic models. In Africans, the statistically significant association occurred in the additive and common models.

An analysis of studies divided according to the subjects' age revealed an association with HT in adults based on all genetic models as follows: allelic (f compared with F; OR: 1.10; 95% CI: 1.01, 1.20; *P*-heterogeneity = 0.002), additive (ff compared with FF; OR: 0.81; 95% CI: 0.70, 0.94; *P*-heterogeneity = 0.001), risk (ff + Ff compared with FF; OR: 0.85; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.95; *P*-heterogeneity <0.0001), and common model (ff compared with FF + Ff; OR: 0.87; 95% CI: 0.76, 0.98; *P*-heterogeneity = 0.02). In addition, when categorized by both sample size (>500 or <500), with a cut-off point of 500, PCR-restriction fragment length

polymorphism (RFLP) genotyping, and health condition of the control group, an association between *FokI* polymorphism and HT in all genetic models was revealed.

Sensitivity analysis.

Sensitivity analysis to test the data quality revealed no altered outcomes in overall and subgroup comparisons, indicating the statistical stability of the findings.

Publication bias.

A funnel plot was generated to estimate the publication bias among the studies included in this meta-analysis (**Figure 3**A–D). The shape of the funnel plots revealed no evidence of publication bias in the genetic models used for the following comparisons: f compared with F, ff compared with FF, ff + Ff compared with FF, and ff compared with FF + Ff.

Conclusions

The *VDR* gene is a good candidate to study arterial HT, which is affected by the complex interactions among genetic and environmental factors (36, 37). Several genetic variants are involved in this multifactorial disease, such as SNPs, showing phenotypic effects and the ability to alter gene expression. In this context, *FokI* is considered a functional polymorphism of *VDR*, as it produces an altered protein. Considering that this SNP is not in linkage disequilibrium with other SNPs on the



FIGURE 4 Metabolic interaction among vitamin D, *Fokl*, and cardiovascular disease risk. SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; UVB, UV radiation B; UTR, untranslated region; VDR, vitamin D receptor; 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; 1,25(OH)₂D₃, 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃.

VDR gene, its associations with genotypes are independent markers (23).

The short version of the protein (genotype FF) likely has an elevated transcriptional activity compared with the longer protein, as it is more responsive to $1,25(OH)_2D$, supporting differences in VDR functionality and the effect of vitamin D on cells and tissues (38). In 2000, an in vitro study confirmed that the SNP *FokI*-f results in a lower transcriptional activity than the specific RNA polymerase II transcription factor, having a protective effect against vitamin D deficiency, because of its influence on the circulating vitamin D concentrations and cardiovascular disease risk (29).

Low concentrations of 25(OH)D combined with *FokI* have been associated with increased plasmatic renin activity and RAAS (35), supporting the biological role of $1,25(OH)_2D$ in inhibiting renin expression in humans. This might increase cardiovascular and metabolic risks (40). A previous metaanalysis detected an inverse linear association between $1,25(OH)_2D$ and cardiovascular disease risk (41), indicating that the genomic effects of $1,25(OH)_2D$ are mediated in a wide variety of tissues by VDR (42) (**Figure 4**).

The present meta-analysis tested the strength of the association between *FokI* and the odds of HT based on 6 case-control studies, as by grouping data from individual studies, the probability of random errors is reduced. Additionally,

the surveys included in the meta-analysis were scored with 5 or more stars according to the NOS criteria, suggesting the excellent quality of the publications, considering that the sample size, genotype, inclusion criteria, and patient and control subject characteristics were successfully obtained.

Data analysis has consistently demonstrated the influence of *FokI* on HT. Allele f was found to be associated with a significantly lower odds of HT in global analysis under the additive/homozygote and common/recessive genetic models (Figure 2B, D), particularly in the Asian population and specifically in the southern population, under the risk/dominant, additive/homozygote, and common/recessive models. However, the results were not significant for Europeans (Table 3).

These data might be explained by ethnic differences, as the SNP *FokI* plays a multifunctional role in HT and varies among ethnic populations, indicating the influence of genetic and environmental factors. The ethnic populations evaluated in this study were well-defined. Studies on mixed populations are needed, such as the previous study on Brazilians (43), to assess the impact of ethnicity more accurately.

Notably, the expression and role of VDR in transactivating target genes are determined not only by genetics but also by ethnicity and the environment and involve complex interactions that can alter associations with the disease. The impact of debilitating variants on VDR function can be exacerbated by vitamin D deficiency or the reduced cutaneous production or inadequate ingestion of vitamin D (44). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis to evaluate the association between HT and the SNP *FokI*; however, unique nucleotide polymorphisms of *VDR* have been widely studied in other diseases such as tuberculosis, multiple sclerosis, systemic lupus erythematosus, asthma, cirrhosis, and cancer (45). This meta-analysis included only specific cases of HT from relevant studies. In addition, the results have been corroborated by other experimental studies (46–48), which could not be included in this meta-analysis because they did not meet the eligibility criteria.

Regarding the role of SNP FokI in other chronic diseases, Liu et al. (49) analyzed its association with psoriasis but the results were not significant for Caucasians and East Asians. In contrast, Alizadeh et al. (50) found no significant association between SNP FokI and coronary artery disease (CAD) based on a general analysis in Caucasians and East Asians. Recently, Shi et al. (51) observed no relation between this SNP and susceptibility to polycystic ovary syndrome. However, Liu et al. (52) showed that *FokI* is a susceptibility factor for ovarian cancer. Further, Zhao et al. (53) found an increased risk of intervertebral disc degeneration in Hispanics and Asians with the f allele and Lu et al. (54) suggested that FokI protects against CAD. Cao et al. (55) found that homozygosis is associated with an increased risk of tuberculosis, particularly in East and Southeast Asia, and Jiao et al. (56) observed that the F allele is associated with a decreased risk of diabetic retinopathy in Chinese subjects.

Whether *FokI* increases disease susceptibility remains unclear. Our meta-analysis demonstrated that the *FokI* polymorphism in the *VDR* gene is significantly associated with HT; particularly the f allele, which is considered protective. Our results appear to be reliable based on the statistical power of the 6 studies and the funnel chart, which did not indicate publication bias, as the data were analyzed based on ethnicity. Additionally, heterogeneity was significant in the general analysis.

One limitation of this meta-analysis is the limited sample size used in some of the subgroup assessments, which might not represent the whole population. The publications were restricted to those in English, which could have also introduced bias in the data analysis. Moreover, a limited number of electronic databases were examined.

Another limitation of this study is the risk of making a type I error due to multiple test results, considering that all analyses were exploratory. To reduce this risk, the α -value of 5% was adopted, the value which is most commonly used in research.

Additional studies are needed to understand the mechanisms underlying the association between SNPs and VDR, such as 25(OH)D and $1,25(OH)_2D$ concentrations, and SBP and DBP. Thus, our results should be considered with caution, and large-scale case-controlled studies are still needed to validate our findings.

Studies are also needed to evaluate the functional activity of the normal VDR protein (ff) compared with that of



FIGURE 5 Cycle of nutritional genomics. SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; VDR, vitamin D receptor.

the truncated protein (FF) (57). Moreover, the possible interactions among epistatic genes should be evaluated to identify combinations of genes that synergistically influence the regulation of blood pressure and HT (6) and reveal the physiopathological mechanisms underlying hypertension, as SBP and DBP are associated with *FokI* (58).

In addition, nutritionally balanced food consumption is important, from birth to old age, for the control of blood pressure throughout the life of an individual (59). Adequate supplementation with vitamin D, dietary intake of vitamin D nutrient sources, fortified foods and supplements, and/or exposure to sunlight to reach adequate concentrations of this vitamin might be useful to prevent cardiovascular diseases (60). Long-term clinical and random control studies are needed to confirm these associations, which might enable the correction of vitamin D deficiency in individuals with and without the *FokI* polymorphism (61).

Thus, a genetic variant could influence the response to dietary factors and modulate the risk of disease development. In addition, the epigenome responds to environmental changes and depends on the individual's lifestyle. Adequate vitamin D intake and/or supplementation response rate, categorized into low, medium, and high responders, might change due to aging or the onset of diseases such as high blood pressure (62). Thus, the evaluation of gene-nutrient interactions is vital for public health policies worldwide to identify prevention strategies, such as the practice of healthy eating, in each country (63) (Figure 5).

However, most studies do not analyze genetic and environmental factors together, limiting the broad analysis of this theme. The studies selected for the present metaanalysis did not reveal data on participants' usual dietary intake, vitamin D supplement use, the nutritional content of macronutrients or micronutrients, and especially vitamin D, or energy. The authors also did not try to evaluate such variables associated with diet, which could also be associated with *FokI* polymorphism, and reveal more information on its influence on hypertension.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the Post-Graduation Program in Food and Nutrition of the Federal University of Piauí. The authors' responsibilities were as follows—JLRS, MSPC, and ACBL, substantial contributions to conception and design; NSCCAT, AAP, and LFMN, data acquisition; AACMC and MVOBC, analysis; IFOCN, MDFCarvalho, LRC, MMR, and CMRGC, interpretation, drafting the article and revising it critically for important intellectual content; and all authors: read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- 1. Wu L, Sun D. Effects of calcium plus vitamin D supplementation on blood pressure: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Hum Hypertens 2017;31(9):547–54.
- Kretchy IA, Acheampong F, Laryea J, Osafo J, Asampong E, Dickson E. Personality traits, clinical characteristics, and health-related quality of life of patients with hypertension in a primary hospital in Ghana. Int J Hypertens 2019;2019:1–9.
- de Almeida MMS, Guimarães RA, Jardim PCBV, Sousa ALL, de Souza MM. Association between arterial hypertension and nutritional status in adolescents from Goiânia, Goiás, Brazil. PLoS One 2017;12(12):e0188782.
- Cavicchia PP, Steck SE, Hurley TG, Hussey JR, Ma Y, Ockene IS, Hébert JR. A new dietary inflammatory index predicts interval changes in serum high-sensitivity C-reactive protein. J Nutr 2009;139(12):2365– 72.
- Rana S, Kumar S, Rathore N, Padwad Y, Bhushana S. Nutrigenomics and its impact on life style associated metabolic diseases. Curr Genomics 2016;17(3):261–78./bib>
- 6. Butler MG. Genetics of hypertension. Current status. J Med Liban 2010;58(3):175-8.
- Bosu WK, Reilly ST, Aheto JMK, Zucchelli E. Hypertension in older adults in Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 2019;14(4):e0214934.
- Kheiri B, Abdalla A, Osman M, Ahmed S, Hassan M, Bachuwa G. Vitamin D deficiency and risk of cardiovascular diseases: a narrative review. Clin Hypertens 2018;24:9.
- Franczyk A, Stolarz-Skrzypek K, Wesołowska A, Czarnecka D. Vitamin D and vitamin D receptor activators in treatment of hypertension and cardiovascular disease. Cardiovasc Hematol Disord Drug Targets 2014;14(1):34–44.
- Torres YC, Pérez AF, Puerta RC, Valdez MV, Castillo IS. Vitamin D deficiency and hypertension. Supporting evidence. Rev Colomb Cardiol 2016;23(1):42–8.
- Forman JP, Giovannucci E, Holmes MD, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Tworoger SS, Willett WC, Curhan GC. Plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels and risk of incident hypertension. Hypertension 2007;49(5):1063–9.
- Milovanovic M, Pesic G, Nikolic V, Jevtovic-Stoimenov T, Vasic K, Jovic Z, Deljanin-Ilic M, Pesic S. Vitamin D deficiency is associated with increased IL-17 and TNFα levels in patients with chronic heart failure. Arq Bras Cardiol 2012;98(3):259–65.
- Scragg R, Sowers M, Bell C. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D, ethnicity, and blood pressure in the third national health and nutrition examination survey. Am J Hypertens 2007;20(7):713–9.
- 14. Dorjgochoo T, Shu XO, Xiang Y-B, Yang G, Cai Q, Li H, Ji BT, Cai H, Gao YT, Zheng W. Circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels in relation to blood pressure parameters and hypertension in the Shanghai Women's and Men's Health Studies. Br J Nutr 2012;108(3):449–58.
- 15. Lategan R, van den Berg VL, Ilich JZ, Walsh CM. Vitamin D status, hypertension and body mass index in an urban black community in Mangaung, South Africa. Afr J Prim Health Care Fam Med 2016;8(1):1210.
- Meehan M, Penckofer S. The role of vitamin D in the aging adult. J Aging Gerontol 2014;2(2):60–71.

- Akbari R, Adelani B, Ghadimi R. Serum vitamin D in hypertensive patients versus healthy controls is there an association? Caspian J Intern Med 2016;7(3):168–72.
- Saponaro F, Marcocci C, Zucchi R. Vitamin D status and cardiovascular outcome. J Endocrinol Invest 2019;1–6. (doi: 10.1007/s40618-019-01057-y).
- Merke J, Milde P, Lewicka S, Hugel U, Klaus G, Mangelsdorf DJ, Haussler MR, Rauterberg EW, Ritz E. Identification and regulation of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 receptor activity and biosynthesis of 1,25dihydroxyvitamin D3. Studies in cultured bovine aortic endothelial cells and human dermal capillaries. J Clin Invest 1989;83(6):1903–15.
- Merke J, Hofmann W, Goldschmidt D, Ritz E. Demonstration of 1,25(OH)2 vitamin D3 receptors and actions in vascular smooth muscle cells in vitro. Calcif Tissue Int 1987;41(2):112–4.
- Miyamoto K, Kesterson RA, Yamamoto H, Taketani Y, Nishiwaki E, Tatsumi S, Inoue Y, Morita K, Takeda E, Pike JW. Structural organization of the human vitamin D receptor chromosomal gene and its promoter. Mol Endocrinol 1997;11(8):1165–79.
- Zattar LC, Boing AF, Giehl MWC, d'Orsi E. Prevalence and factors associated with high blood pressure, awareness, and treatment among elderly in Southern Brazil. Cad Saude Publica 2013;29(3):507–21.
- Uitterlinden AG, Fang, van Meurs Y, Pols JBJ, Van Leeuwen JPTM HAP. Genetics and biology of vitamin D receptor polymorphisms. Gene 2004;338(2):143–56.
- 24. Kulah E, Dursun A, Acikgoz S, Can M, Kargi S, Ilikhan S, Bozdogan S. The relationship of target organ damage and 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring with vitamin D receptor gene FokI polymorphism in essential hypertension. Kidney Blood Press Res 2006;29(6):344–50.
- 25. El Gawad S, Samee E, Metwali A, El Gawad M. Vitamin D receptor gene polymorphism and its association with 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D ₃ in patients with Graves disease in an Egyptian population: a pilot study. Endocr Pract 2012;18(2):132–9.
- Wang L, Chu A, Buring JE, Ridker PM, Chasman DI, Sesso HD. Common genetic variations in the vitamin D pathway in relation to blood pressure. Am J Hypertens 2014;27(11):1387–95.
- Gussago C, Arosio B, Guerini FR, Ferri E, Costa AS, Casati M, Bollini EM, Ronchetti F, Colombo E, Bernardelli G, et al. Impact of vitamin D receptor polymorphisms in centenarians. Endocrine 2016;53(2):558– 64.
- 28. Arai H, Miyamoto K-I, Taketani Y, Yamamoto H, Iemori Y, Morita K, Tonai T, Nishisho T, Mori S, Takeda E. A vitamin D receptor gene polymorphism in the translation initiation codon: effect on protein activity and relation to bone mineral density in Japanese women. J Bone Miner Res 1997;12(6):915–21.
- Colin EM, Weel AEAM, Uitterlinden AG, Buurman CJ, Birkenhager JC, Pols HAP, van Leeuwen JPTM. Consequences of vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms for growth inhibition of cultured human peripheral blood mononuclear cells by 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3. Clin Endocrinol 2000;52(2):211–6.
- 30. Jurutka PW, Remus LS, Whitfield GK, Thompson PD, Hsieh J-C, Zitzer H, Tavakkoli P, Galligan MA, Dang HTL, Haussler CA, et al. The polymorphic N terminus in human vitamin D receptor isoforms influences transcriptional activity by modulating interaction with transcription factor IIB. Mol Endocrinol 2000;14(3):401–20.
- McGrath JJ, Saha S, Burne THJ, Eyles DW. A systematic review of the association between common single nucleotide polymorphisms and 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2010;121(1–2):471–7.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med 2009;6(7):e1000097.
- Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D, Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, Tugwell P. Newcastle-Ottawa Quality assessment Scale Case Control Studies. 2000.
- 34. Cottone S, Guarino L, Arsena R, Scazzone C, Tornese F, Guarneri M, Guglielmo C, Bono A, Mulè G. Vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms and plasma renin activity in essential hypertensive individuals. J Hum Hypertens 2015;29(8):483–7.

- 35. Swapna N, Vamsi UM, Usha G, Padma T. Risk conferred by FokI polymorphism of vitamin D receptor (VDR) gene for essential hypertension. Indian J Hum Genet 2011;17(3):201–6.
- 36. Jia J, Shen C, Mao L, Yang K, Men C, Zhan Y. Vitamin D receptor genetic polymorphism is significantly associated with decreased risk of hypertension in a Chinese Han population. J Clin Hypertens 2014;16(9):634–9.
- 37. Errouagui A, Charoute H, Ghalim N, Barakat A, Kandil M, Rouba H. Relationship with vitamin D receptor (RVD) gene and essential arterial hypertension in Moroccan population. Int J Innov Appl Stud 2014;8(2):556–66.
- Legarth C, Grimm D, Wehland M, Bauer J, Krüger M. The impact of vitamin D in the treatment of essential hypertension. Int J Mol Sci 2018;19(2):455–68.
- Glocke M, Lang F, Schaeffeler E, Lang T, Schwab M, Lang UE. Impact of vitamin D receptor VDR rs2228570 polymorphism in oldest old. Kidney Blood Press Res 2013;37(4–5):311–22.
- 40. Whitfield GK, Remus LS, Jurutka PW, Zitzer H, Oza AK, Dang HT, Haussler CA, Galligan MA, Thatcher ML, Encinas Dominguez C, et al. Functionally relevant polymorphisms in the human nuclear vitamin D receptor gene. Mol Cell Endocrinol 2001;177(1–2):145–59.
- 41. Vaidya A, Sun B, Forman JP, Hopkins PN, Brown NJ, Kolatkar NS, Williams GH, Williams JS. The Fok1 vitamin D receptor gene polymorphism is associated with plasma renin activity in Caucasians. Clin Endocrinol 2011;74(6):783–90.
- 42. Wang L, Song Y, Manson JE, Pilz S, März W, Michaëlsson K, Lundqvist A, Jassal SK, Barrett-Connor E, Zhang C, et al. Circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D and risk of cardiovascular disease: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes 2012;5(6):819–29.
- Zmuda JM, Cauley JA, Ferrell RE. Molecular epidemiology of vitamin D receptor gene variants. 2000;22(2):203–17.
- 44. Rezende VB, Barbosa F, Montenegro MF, Sandrim VC, Gerlach RF, Tanus-Santos JE. An interethnic comparison of the distribution of vitamin D receptor genotypes and haplotypes. Clin Chim Acta 2007;384(1–2):155–9.
- 45. O'Neill V, Asani FF, Jeffery TJ, Saccone DS, Bornman L. Vitamin D receptor gene expression and function in a South African population: ethnicity, vitamin D and FokI. PLoS One 2013;8(6):e67663.
- 46. Valdivielso JM, Fernandez E. Vitamin D receptor polymorphisms and diseases. Clin Chim Acta 2006;371(1-2):1-12.
- 47. Vaidya A, Forman JP, Hopkins PN, Seely EW, Williams JS. 25-Hydroxyvitamin D is associated with plasma renin activity and the pressor response to dietary sodium intake in Caucasians. J Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone Syst 2011;12(3):311–9.
- Wang L, Ma J, Manson JE, Buring JE, Gaziano JM, Sesso HD. A prospective study of plasma vitamin D metabolites, vitamin D receptor

gene polymorphisms, and risk of hypertension in men. Eur J Nutr 2013;52(7):1771-9.

- Liu JL, Zhang SQ, Zeng HM. ApaI, BsmI, FokI and TaqI polymorphisms in the vitamin D receptor (VDR) gene and the risk of psoriasis: a meta-analysis. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2013;27(6): 739–46.
- Alizadeh S, Djafarian K, Alizadeh H, Mohseni R, Shab-Bidar S. Common variants of vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms and susceptibility to coronary artery disease: a systematic review and metaanalysis. J Nutrigenet Nutrigenomics 2017;10(1–2):9–18.
- Shi X-Y, Huang A-P, Xie D-W, Yu X-L. Association of vitamin D receptor gene variants with polycystic ovary syndrome: a meta-analysis. BMC Med Genet 2019;20(1):32.
- 52. Liu Y, Li C, Chen P, Li X, Li M, Guo H, Li J, Chu R, Wang H. Polymorphisms in the vitamin D receptor (VDR) and the risk of ovarian cancer: a meta-analysis. PLoS One 2013;8(6):e66716.
- 53. Zhao J, Yang M, Shao J, Bai Y, Li M. Association between VDR FokI polymorphism and intervertebral disk degeneration. Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics 2015;13(6):371–6.
- 54. Lu S, Guo S, Hu F, Guo Y, Yan L, Ma W, Wang Y, Wei Y, Zhang Z, Wang Z. The associations between the polymorphisms of vitamin D receptor and coronary artery disease: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016;95(21):e3467.
- 55. Cao Y, Wang X, Cao Z, Cheng X. Vitamin D receptor gene FokI polymorphisms and tuberculosis susceptibility: a meta-analysis. Arch Med Sci 2016;12(5):1118–34.
- 56. Jiao J, Li Y, Xu S, Wu J, Yue S, Liu L. Association of FokI, TaqI, BsmI and ApaI polymorphisms with diabetic retinopathy: a pooled analysis of case-control studies. Afr H Sci 2018;18(4):891–99.
- Gross C, Krishnan AV, Malloy PJ, Eccleshall TR, Zhao XY, Feldman D. The vitamin D receptor gene start codon polymorphism: a functional analysis of FokI variants. J Bone Miner Res 1998;13(11): 1691–9.
- Ndiaye NC, Said ES, Stathopoulou MG, Siest G, Tsai MY, Visvikis-Siest S. Epistatic study reveals two genetic interactions in blood pressure regulation. BMC Med Genet 2013;14(1):2.
- 59. Fantin F, Macchi F, Giani A, Bissoli L. The importance of nutrition in hypertension. Nutrients 2019;11(10):2542.
- Wimalawansa SJ. Vitamin D and cardiovascular diseases: causality. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2018;175:29–43.
- 61. Carlberg C, Haq A. The concept of the personal vitamin D response index. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2018;175:12–7.
- 62. Barnes S. Nutritional genomics, polyphenols, diets, and their impact on dietetics. J Am Diet Assoc 2008;108(11):1888.
- Ordovas JM, Corella D. Nutritional genomics. Annu Rev Genom Hum Genet 2004;5(1):71–118.